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## EDINBURGH NAPIER UNIVERSITY

STAFF DIVERSITY REPORT 2014

## Background and Context

1. This report continues to use the now well-established format used in previous years and compares the University's staff profile with data published by the Equality Challenge Unit (ECU). The data was captured on $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2015 and refers to the Higher Education Statistic Agency year 2013/14. More detailed information is provided in appendix 1.
2. The information contained within this report aligns closely with the University's Equality Outcomes Scheme 2013-15 and in particular with Outcome 6 within which the University committed to "demonstrating that it is a fair and inclusive employer which recruits, develops and provides opportunities based solely on merit".
3. By continuing to collect and interrogate staff diversity data the University is fulfilling its general duty obligations to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other prohibited conduct
- Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.

4. The collection of this data is not an end within itself and, in order to meet the Public Sector Equality Duty, it must be used as a tool to establish whether inadvertent discrimination may be occurring. To that end, the data is interrogated against the ECU's data at a national (UK) level and at Scotlandlevel, where relevant.
5. In order to demonstrate that the University provides employment opportunities fairly and, once in post, treats all staff in a fair, consistent and transparent manner the University invested heavily in its HR system, HR Connect. HR Connect provides data from application stage through to leaving employment (with the collection and analysis of the latter on an independent software platform).
6. The data produced by the system, and its predecessor, has in most respects achieved a high level of reliability and, as a result, allows the University to interrogate an increasing amount of 'experiential' information which can "reveal hidden inequalities, including unequal outcomes and areas of segregation"1. Having this increasing capability should, in time, provide the University with the evidential base for addressing inequalities where they occur.

## Alignment to Strategic Objectives/External Policy Driver

7. The information summarised in this report aligns with the University's overall mission statement and in particular with its stated objectives:

- To be academically excellent
- To develop confident employable graduates
- To achieve the highest standards.


## Summary of the data

Applications for employment
8. In 2013/14, the University placed adverts for 201 posts. As in previous years, the posts offered were diverse in nature and the terms on which they were offered. As in previous years associate and casual staff, part-time demonstrators and Ph.D studentships are excluded from the analysis, allowing for meaningful year-on-year comparison.
9. Appendix 1 shows that the number of applications for employment (5900) was almost exactly the same as last year (5998) for roughly the same number of posts (188 in 2012/13 and 201 in 2013/14). There was however a statistically significant change in the gender make-up of applicants with a $5 \%$ increase in male applicants, bringing the gender breakdown of applicants ( $47 \%$ male and $51 \%$ female) more in line with the national breakdown of $48.5 \%$ male and $51.5 \%$ female ${ }^{2}$. Interestingly, this increase has not changed the gender makeup of staff in post as will be seen later.
10. The facility to identify the 'diversity outcomes' of each instance of recruitment was identified as a possibility in last year's report and this is now to be

[^0]actioned in the upgrade of the HR Connect web recruitment project. It is anticipated that this will become 'live' in August 2015.
11. As now seems to be a continuing (and positive) trend, once again all applicants completed the disability field with $97 \%$ stating that they did not have a disability and $3 \%$ stating that they did. This is roughly in line with the national average ${ }^{3}$ of $3.9 \%$.
12. There has been no percentage change from last year in the numbers of minority ethnic applicants for employment (39\%). Non-disclosure has also remained constant at $2 \%$. It is too early to suggest that the application rate for minority ethnic applicants has peaked and, as part of the HR Connect project mentioned above, it is hoped that further refinements to the system will allow the University to assess which posts (or areas generally) receive the most interest.
13. It appears from this year's data that a point has been reached where the University can be confident that it has an accurate year-on-year picture of the faith or religious identity of its applicants. The largest 'group' remains those who stated that they had 'no religion or belief' (37\%) followed by those who identified as 'Christian' (36\%) and 'Muslim' (5\%), whereas, according to Scotland's Census 2011, the national figures are $37 \%, 53 \%$ and $1.4 \%$ respectively ${ }^{4}$.
14. These percentages have remained fairly static for the last three years. Interestingly however, a sharp disparity seems to have appeared this year when compared with staff in post. This may be an anomaly and will be reviewed next year.
15. As with religious or faith affiliation, the percentages for sexual orientation have also remained fairly constant. Although caution was advised in last year's report, it now appears that Stonewall, the national charity for lesbian, gay and bisexual (LGB) people, is accepting as 'reasonable' the Government's assumed figure of around $5-7 \%$ of the population as being LGB ${ }^{5}$. This being the case, the $5 \%$ who declared that they were LGB seems to be representative.

New Starts

[^1]16. A total of 223 new staff joined the University in 2013/14 and of these $47 \%$ were male and $53 \%$ female, which is roughly comparable with the percentages for staff in post and therefore continues to maintain the gender status quo.
17. Of these, 57 (26\%) identified as minority ethnic, 156 (70\%) as White and the remainder (10) are not known. As was the case last year, there is a significant percentage reduction for minority ethnic groups from application to securing employment. However, as was advised last year, this is a relatively small sample and therefore easily skewed. It remains the case that the University has maintained its solid ethnic-diversity base.
18.187 ( $84 \%$ ) new staff stated they did not have a disability, 23 declared that they had and the remaining 13 did not complete the field. As in previous years, it is difficult to draw any conclusions from such a small sample.
19. 193 (87\%) new staff identified as heterosexual, 10 (4\%) as lesbian/gay/bisexual and the remainder 20 ( $9 \%$ ) declined to complete the field. It is reasonable to assume from these percentages that they are a fairly accurate representation as they correlate very closely with the much larger sample described above.

Staff in post
20. The total number of staff rose, up from last year's figure of 1695 to 1776. Of these, $46 \%$ are in 'academic' posts and $54 \%$ in Professional Services posts. In the HE sector nationally, there has been a steady year-on-year decrease in the ratio of academic to professional services/support staff. From a 44:56 ratio of academics to professional services staff in 2003/4, the figure is approaching near parity with the latest ratio being 48.5 to 51.5 . This means that the University's ratio is above the national average ${ }^{6}$.
21. Whilst there has been an overall increase in staff numbers, the gender percentages have remained the same as last year. As previously reported, the proportion of males to females has remained stable since the first Staff Diversity Reports were produced in 2007/8. At an UK and Scotland level, the gap in female to male representation has widened considerably when taken over a longer time period (from $4.8 \%$ to $7.8 \%$ in favour of female staff) ${ }^{7}$.
22. Female staff on grades 2 to 4 continue to outnumber male staff with 369 (64\%) females in these lower grades compared to 205 (36\%) of males. There

[^2]appears to be some fluctuation in these percentages year-on-year (the figure for last year was $59 \%$ female and $63 \%$ female the year before) and it is unclear why this is the case.
23. There has been a small movement away from the near-parity reported last year at grades 5-6. Female staff now comprise a small minority with 484 ( $51.5 \%$ ) at these grades compared to 455 ( $48.5 \%$ ) male staff. At grades 7 and above, male staff ( 155 or $59 \%$ ) continue to outnumber female staff (108 or $41 \%)$. Both sets of figures are relatively small and to be treated with caution.
24. It is still not possible to make a direct comparison with ECU salary data due to the different pay structures (and made even more unlikely to be so in the future because the data is not disaggregated by country). Issues and proposed solutions for 'vertical gender segregation' are currently being addressed through a number of national initiatives, prominent amongst which is the Athena SWAN Charter Mark (the University is awaiting a decision on its submission).
25. A new Equal Pay Audit is planned for later this year which should provide further evidence of no fundamental equal pay issues as most of the recommendations emanating from the 2013 Audit have been actioned.
26. $58 \%$ of all male academics (262) work a full-time pattern and $42 \%$ (186) parttime. 49\% of female academics (185) also work a full-time pattern and 51\% part-time (190). This is in marked contrast to male Professional Services staff where full-time working is $87 \%$ (319) and just $13 \%$ for part-time working (48). Among female Professional Services staff the proportions are less stark with $60 \%$ on a full-time pattern (351) and $40 \%$ working part-time (235). The overall picture is consistent with ECU's data although the percentages differ ${ }^{8}$.
27. There has been considerable debate within the HE sector about the perceived increased use of fixed term contracts as an equality-related issue (the contention being that fixed-term contracts are disproportionately awarded to female staff). This data is included in this report and will be monitored annually. There were 206 fixed term staff in January 2015 and of these 66 were male and 140 female. The majority of staff on fixed term contracts were Professional Services female staff followed by Professional Services male staff.
28. There were 19 female and 23 male academic staff on fixed term contracts. These numbers are too small to make a meaningful comparison with ECU

[^3]data ${ }^{9}$ which reports that more male staff secure permanent full-time contracts than their female counterparts.
29. Between 2007/8 and 2009/10, the numbers of staff who identified as minority ethnic hovered around $5 \%$ to $6 \%$ and numbered on average 95 staff. There has since been a significant increase from $15 \%$ (248) in 2010/11 to the present $23 \%$ (410). Some of this increase is most likely explained by the introduction of HR Connect and its ability to more accurately record and report data. Human Resources staff have also actively encouraged staff to complete all the personal sensitive data fields providing for two consecutive years of complete data.
30. It is also fair to state that this would not explain all the increase and at least some has been played by the general increase in societal ethnic diversity. The two largest groups of minority ethnic staff within the University identified as 'White Other' and 'White Irish', accounting for nearly $50 \%$ of the total (203).
31. This increased ethnic diversity is in line with the sector as a whole and the University's $100 \%$ declaration rate is above the sector average of 95\% (in Scottish institutions it is slightly more at $96.9 \%$ ). Overall, there are more minority ethnic female staff employed by the University than males (13\% of all staff), while male minority ethnic staff comprise $10 \%$ of all staff.
32. It is now worth considering whether the University should publish more detailed ethnicity and nationality information which, in the past, it decided against doing for data protection purposes. This would provide for more detailed analysis against ECU data which separates out minority ethnic UK nationals from non-UK nationals ${ }^{10}$.
33. The percentage of staff declaring a disability has remained steady at 5\% (99 staff) as has the non-declaration rate (10\%). Declaration rates are higher than the sector average (3.9\%) and higher still when compared to Scottish institutions (3.4\%). Of these staff, 69\% worked at grades 2 to 5 and the rest at grade 5 or above. Applicants for employment and staff in post continue to benefit from a range of additional entitlements (such as the Guaranteed Interview Scheme for disabled applicants).
34. The University's age profile has remained fairly constant since 2010/11 with the most noteworthy observation being that the 65+ group has continued to grow reflecting a growing inclination for staff to work beyond the former

[^4]Default Retirement Age. The national sector average for this category of staff is $1.5 \%{ }^{11}$ and the University's stands at $3 \%$.
35. The rate of completion within the Religion or Belief categories is around $48 \%$ with the largest single group claiming to not identify with any religion or belief ( $28 \%$ ), which is far greater than the national figure of $7.5 \%$ quoted by ECU ${ }^{12}$. However, it needs to be borne in mind that just $28 \%$ provided information to ECU. The largest single faith group within the University identified as 'Christian' (20\%) which is far less than last year's figure of $42 \%$. Given that there appears to be unusually large swings in this data from last year's it is perhaps wise not to read too much into them and await figures for next and subsequent years.
36. The ratios for sexual orientation minorities (lesbian, gay and bisexual) are roughly comparable at both application and staff in post stages. There is however a stark contrast between heterosexual applicants (89\%) and heterosexual staff in post (46\%) suggesting an ongoing reluctance to complete the field once in post. ECU's data for this protected characteristic group is limited by the number of institutions which did not return any data (62\% nationally) as returning sexual orientation data was voluntary. Even where data was returned it is of little value for comparative purposes as $74 \%$ of staff nationally left the field blank.

ECU's development of 'multiple identities' and other protected characteristics data
37. ECU have begun to report on staff with 'multiple identities' and at present the data is limited to age and disability, age and ethnicity, age and gender, disability and ethnicity, disability and gender and, finally, ethnicity and gender. ECU has also begun to analyse data from other protected characteristics such as gender identity, religion and belief and sexual orientation.
38. The University has analysed, or has the capacity to analyse, most of the categories above and Committee could consider which of the above it may find most helpful. It may however be some time before both the University's and ECU's data reaches the levels of completion secured in the areas of gender, race and disability.

Leavers and the Exit Questionnaire
39. A total of 187 staff left the University of which 89 (48\%) were male and 98 (52\%) female. More male minority ethnic staff (27\%) left than female (18\%)

[^5]and around 9\% of the total number of leavers had previously declared a disability. Although this figure is higher than the disability declaration rate for the University it should be treated with caution as the numbers of actual leavers is comparatively small (17).
40. The number of staff who left and completed the online Exit Questionnaire increased from 72 to 118 . This is a very healthy increase in completion rates and, of those who completed, the questionnaire, 74 ( $63 \%$ ) were female and 42 ( $36 \%$ ) male ( 2 left this field blank). Importantly, the rate of completion of sensitive personal data was very high $(95 \%+$ ) within each of the fields (sex, ethnic origin, age, relationship status, sexual orientation, religion and belief and disability).
41. When asked to rate their satisfaction with key aspects of their experience, in all but one measure (Career Development/Promotion Prospects), respondents rated the University favourably. The questionnaire also afforded leavers an opportunity to leave free text messages but these are not reproduced in this report as many named staff still employed by the University. The responses will however be analysed.
42. The majority of leavers (81\%) identified as White (British/Scottish/English) and 16 used the free text box to input an ethnicity not listed in the drop-down menu. This means that around $17 \%$ of leavers were from a minority ethnic background.
43. The greatest number of leavers (65) left Professional Services posts and 31 left academic roles (the category 'Other' has been changed to more accurately reflect the ones used by HR Connect). Student and Academic Services leavers (22) provided the greatest numbers who left feedback followed by Property and Facilities and the Faculty of Health, Life and Social Sciences (12 each).
44. The majority of leavers (79) had 10 or less years of service and stated they would work for the University again (60). While many cited positive reasons for leaving (such as leaving because they had secured more senior posts) a worrying proportion cited reasons such as a lack of job satisfaction (16), stress/workload (5) and working relationships with either line manager (5) or colleagues (4). Taken together, these 'negative' reasons for leaving account for 30 or $25 \%$ of respondents.

Conclusions
45. As stated above, the collection and interrogation of this data is not an end in itself and the Public Sector Equality Duty requires the University to take action
where barriers are identified. Comparisons with ECU data provide the University with a useful sectoral comparator and it is also possible to use Census 2011 as another source of helpful data. When compared with census data, the University's staff profile hints at being representative in some key respects and anomalous in others (Census 2011 did not seek information on all of the protected characteristic groups the University has historically reported on).
46. As a tentative approach to looking at the 'bigger picture' comparing the University's data with both ECU and Census 2011 has limited value at present. It shows that, for example, applications and staff in post by gender are roughly comparable with Scotland's population but that the University has much more ethnic diversity than Scotland as a whole (or even with Edinburgh's 8\% figure).
47. The planned improvements to HR Connect data (where data within the system is unavailable or not directly comparable) should over time improve the University's bigger picture' capability and progress updates awill be published at the appropriate times.

## Equality Considerations

48. This paper is intended to meet the University's statutory obligations by providing comparative data for the purposes of specific duty obligations and the action taken by the University to support its general duty obligations. An Equality Impact Assessment is not therefore necessary.

Mohammed Hameed
Diversity Partner
$25^{\text {th }}$ February 2015

## Edinburgh Napier

UNIVERSITY

Appendix 1
Applications for Employment

| Sex | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $2013 / \mathbf{1 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | $1487(37 \%)$ | $1269(29 \%)$ | $893(48 \%)$ | $2029(41 \%)$ | $2507(42 \%)$ | $2760(47 \%)$ |
| Female | $2272(57 \%)$ | $1815(42 \%)$ | $954(51 \%)$ | $2852(57 \%)$ | $3342(56 \%)$ | $2996(51 \%)$ |
| Not stated | $248(6 \%)$ | $1257(29 \%)$ | $25(1 \%)$ | $66(1 \%)$ | $149(2 \%)$ | $144(2 \%)$ |
| Total | 4007 | $\mathbf{4 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 6 1}$ | 5998 | 5900 |


| Disability Status | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / \mathbf { 1 3 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Disability | $3695(92 \%)$ | $3092(71 \%)$ | $1828(98 \%)$ | $4828(97 \%)$ | $5837(97 \%)$ | $5713(97 \%)$ |
| Disability | $102(3 \%)$ | $76(2 \%)$ | $44(2 \%)$ | $133(3 \%)$ | $161(3 \%)$ | $187(3 \%)$ |
| Not stated | $210(5 \%)$ | $1173(27 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0) \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 9 8}$ |  |


| Ethnic Origin | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minority Ethnic | $1165(29 \%)$ | $1251(29 \%)$ | $680(36 \%)$ | $1671(34 \%)$ | $2322(39 \%)$ | $2308(39 \%)$ |
| White British | $2508(63 \%)$ | $1832(42 \%)$ | $1169(63 \%)$ | $3241(65 \%)$ | $3548(59 \%)$ | $3464(59 \%)$ |
| Not Stated | $334(8 \%)$ | $1258(29 \%)$ | $23(1 \%)$ | $49(1 \%)$ | $128(2 \%)$ | $128(2 \%)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 0 0}$ |


| Religion or Belief | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buddhist | 50 (1\%) | 58 (1\%) | 63 (1\%) |
| Christian | 1833 (37\%) | 2180 (36\%) | 2109 (36\%) |
| Hindu | 126 (2\%) | 157 (3\%) | 149 (3\%) |
| Jewish | 15 (<1\%) | 21 (<1\%) | 12 (0\%) |
| Muslim | 182 (4\%) | 256 (4\%) | 284 (5\%) |
| Sikh | 9 (<1\%) | 19 (<1\%) | 7 (0\%) |
| Other | 211 (4\%) | 236 (4\%) | 201 (3\%) |
| No religion or belief | 2384 (48\%) | 2800 (47\%) | 2841 (48\%) |
| Not known | 151(3\%) | 271 (4\%) | 234 (4\%) |
| Total | 4961 | 5998 | 5900 |


| Sexual Orientation | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bisexual | $74(1.5 \%)$ | $118(2 \%)$ | $101(2 \%)$ |
| Gay | $106(2 \%)$ | $87(1 \%)$ | $120(2 \%)$ |
| Heterosexual | $4534(91.5 \%)$ | $5367(90 \%)$ | $5280(89 \%)$ |
| Lesbian | $57(1 \%)$ | $373(6 \%)$ | $62(1 \%)$ |
| Not known | $190(4 \%)$ | 5998 | $337(6 \%)$ |
| Total | 4961 | 5900 |  |

New Staff by Ethnic Origin and Sex

| Ethnicity | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| White <br> British/Scottish/ <br> English | 35 | 71 | 62 | 78 (35\%) | 34 | 92 | 83 | 78 (35\%) |
| Minority Ethnic | 24 | 37 | 28 | 22 (10\%) | 10 | 34 | 35 | 35 (16\%) |
| Not Known/Not Stated | 6 | 16 | 8 | 5 (<1) | 4 | 16 | 6 | 5 (1\%) |
| Total | 65 | 124 | 98 | 105 (47\%) | 48 | 142 | 124 | 118 (53\%) |

New Staff by Disability Status

|  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Declared Disability | 2 | 9 | 10 | 12 (5\%) | 4 | 10 | 7 | 11 (5\%) |
| No Declared Disability | 53 | 83 | 80 | 88 (39\%) | 34 | 100 | 110 | 99 (44\%) |
| Not Known/Not Stated | 8 | 32 | 8 | $5(<1)$ | 12 | 32 | 7 | 8 (<1\%) |
| Total | 63 | 124 | 98 | 105 (47\%) | 50 | 142 | 124 | 118 (53\%) |

New Staff by Sexual Orientation

|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heterosexual | $187(84 \%)$ | $193(87 \%)$ |
| Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual | $7(3 \%)$ | $10(4)$ |
| Not Known | $28(3 \%)$ | $20(9 \%)$ |
| Total | 222 | 223 |

Staff in post by Sex
Data captured January 2015 (for HESA year 2013/14)

| Sex | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $933(52 \%)$ | $939(53 \%)$ | $879(54 \%)$ | $843(53 \%)$ | $871(54 \%)$ | $903(53 \%)$ | $961(54 \%)$ |
| Male | $871(48 \%)$ | $838(47 \%)$ | $750(46 \%)$ | $738(47 \%)$ | $754(46 \%)$ | $792(47 \%)$ | $815(46 \%)$ |
| Total | $1804(100 \%)$ | $1777(100 \%)$ | $1629(100 \%)$ | $1581(100 \%)$ | $1625(100 \%)$ | $1695(100 \%)$ | $1776(100 \%)$ |



Note: The total number of staff employed in January 2015 was 1776. However, in some of the data that follows this figure will differ as some staff occupy more than one post which may be at different grades.

## Staff by contract 2013/14

|  | Part-Time | Full-Time | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male (Academics) | $186(10 \%)$ | $262(15 \%)$ | 448 (54\% of all academics) |
| Female (Academics) | $190(10 \%)$ | $185(10 \%)$ | 375 (46\% of all academics) |
| Male (Professional Services) | $48(3 \%)$ | $319(18 \%)$ | 367 (39\% of all PS staff) |
| Female (Professional Services) | $235(13 \%)$ | $351(20 \%)$ | 586 (61\% of all PS staff) |
| Total | $659(37 \%$ of all staff) | $1117(63 \%$ of all staff) | 1776 |

Staff by Sex and Grade 2013/14


| Examples of Grade 2 posts: Vacation Letting Assistant, Security Assistant, Receptionist, <br> Cleaners | Examples of Grade 3 posts: Computer Support Technician, Finance Assistant, Information <br> Assistant, |
| :--- | :--- |
| Examples of Grade 4 posts: Assistant Faculty Manager, Research Assistant, Cleaning <br> Supervisor | Examples of Grade 5 posts: Campus Library Manager, Information Services Advisor, Research <br> Fellow |
| Examples of Grade 6 posts: Lecturer, HR Client Partner, Senior Research Fellow | Examples of Grade 7 posts: Principal Consultant, Senior Lecturer, Principal Consultant |
| Examples of Grade 8 and above posts: Professor, Assistant Director, Head of School |  |


| Grade | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |  |
| Grade 2 | 115 (54\%) | 69 (48\%) | 97 (46\%) | 75 (52\%) | 212 (12\%) | 144 (8\%) |  |
| Grade 3 | 56 (22\%) | 60 (23\%) | 202 (78\%) | 198 (77\%) | 258 (15\%) | 258 (15\%) |  |
| Grade 4 | 66 (41\%) | 76 (44\%) | 94 (59\%) | 96 (56\%) | 160(9\%) | 172 (10\%) |  |
| Grade 5 | 201 (48\%) | 245 (47\%) | 219 (52\%) | 271 (53\%) | 420 (25\%) | 516 (29\%) |  |
| Grade 6 | 221 (50\%) | 210 (50\%) | 217 (50\%) | 213 (50\%) | 438 (25\%) | 423 (24\%) |  |
| Grade 7 | 86 (61\%) | 85 (57\%) | 55 (39\%) | 65 (43\%) | 141 (8\%) | 150 (8\%) |  |
| Grade 8 and above | 61 (65\%) | 70 (62\%) | 33 (35\%) | 43 (38\%) | 94 (6\%) | 113 (6\%) |  |
| Grand total | 806 (47\%) | 815 (46\%) | $\underline{917}$ (53\%) | 961 (54\%) | $\frac{1723}{(100 \%)}$ | $\underline{1776}$ <br> (100\%) |  |

Staff by work pattern

| Academic | Full-Time |  | Part-Time |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| Female | $167(50 \%)$ | $185(49 \%)$ | $165(50 \%)$ | $190(51 \%)$ |
| Male | $257(59 \%)$ | $262(58 \%)$ | $179(41 \%)$ | $186(42 \%)$ |


| Professional <br> Services | $2012 / 13$ | Full-Time | Part-Time |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $323(56 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| Female | $297(83 \%)$ | $319(87 \%)$ | $248(44 \%)$ | $235(40 \%)$ |
| Male | $59(17 \%)$ | $48(13 \%)$ |  |  |


| Fixed Term <br> Staff | Academic | Professional Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2013 / 14$ |  | $2013 / 14$ |  |
| Female | $19(45 \%)$ |  | $121(74 \%)$ |  |
| Male | $23(55 \%)$ |  | $43(26 \%)$ |  |
| Total | 42 |  | 164 |  |

## Staff by Ethnic Origin

| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minority Ethnic | $91(5 \%)$ | $99(6 \%)$ | $93(6 \%)$ | $248(15 \%)$ | $274(17 \%)$ | $396(23 \%)$ | $410(23 \%)$ |
| White | $1591(88 \%)$ | $1568(88 \%)$ | $1452(89 \%)$ | $1258(80 \%)$ | $1261(78 \%)$ | $1299(77 \%)$ | $1366(77 \%)$ |
| Unknown | $122(7 \%)$ | $110(6 \%)$ | $80(5 \%)$ | $75(5 \%)$ | $90(5 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| Total | $1804(100 \%)$ | $1777(100 \%)$ | $1625(100 \%)$ | $1581(100 \%)$ | $1625(100 \%)$ | $1695(100 \%)$ | $1776(100 \%)$ |



Staff by Ethnic Origin and Employee Group 2013/14*

| Ethnicity | Academic |  | Professional Services |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |  |
| White British/Scottish/English | $326(18 \%)$ | $255(14 \%)$ | $303(17 \%)$ | $482(27 \%)$ | $629(35 \%)$ | $737(41 \%)$ |
| Minority Ethnic | $122(7 \%)$ | $120(7 \%)$ | $64(4 \%)$ | $104(6 \%)$ | $186(10 \%)$ | $224(13 \%)$ |
| Total | $448(25 \%)$ | $375(21 \%)$ | $367(21 \%)$ | $586(33 \%)$ | $815(46 \%)$ | $961(54 \%)$ |

*As a percentage of total workforce (1776)

Staff by Ethnic Origin and Gender


OWhite Male
$\square$ White Female
$\square$ Minority Ethnic Male
$\square$ Minority Ethnic Female

Grade 2

| Ethnicity | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| White <br> British/Scottish/English | 75 | 59 | 68 | 58 | $143(68 \%)$ | $117(81 \%)$ |
| Minority Ethnic | 40 | 10 | 28 | 17 | $68(32 \%)$ | $27(19 \%)$ |
| Total | 115 | 69 | 96 | 75 | 211 | 144 |

Grade 3

| Ethnicity | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| White <br> British/Scottish/English | 43 | 50 | 172 | 166 | $215(83 \%)$ | $216(83 \%)$ |
| Minority Ethnic | 13 | 10 | 30 | 32 | $43(17 \%)$ | $42(17 \%)$ |
| Total | 56 | 60 | 202 | 198 | 258 | 258 |

Grade 4

| Ethnicity | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| White <br> British/Scottish/English | 53 | 63 | 76 | 79 | $129(81 \%)$ | $142(83 \%)$ |
| Minority Ethnic | 13 | 13 | 18 | 17 | $31(19 \%)$ | $30(17 \%)$ |
| Total | 66 | 76 | 94 | 96 | 160 | 172 |

Grade 5

| Ethnicity | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| White <br> British/Scottish/English | 136 | 172 | 160 | 188 | $296(70 \%)$ | $360(70 \%)$ |
| Minority Ethnic | 65 | 73 | 59 | 83 | $124(30 \%)$ | $156(30 \%)$ |
| Total | 201 | 245 | 219 | 271 | 420 | 516 |

Grade 6

| Ethnicity | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| White <br> British/Scottish/English | 167 | 155 | 169 | 160 | $336(77 \%)$ | $315(74 \%)$ |
| Minority Ethnic | 54 | 55 | 48 | 53 | $102(23 \%)$ | $108(26 \%)$ |
| Total | 221 | 108 | 217 | 213 | 438 | 423 |

Grade 7

| Ethnicity | Male |  |  | Female | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| White British/Scottish/English | 75 | 74 | 44 | 49 | $119(84 \%)$ | $123(82 \%)$ |
| Minority Ethnic | 11 | 11 | 11 | 16 | $22(16 \%)$ | $27(18 \%)$ |
| Total | 86 | 85 | 55 | 65 | 141 | 150 |

Grade 8 and above

| Ethnicity | Male |  | Female | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ |
| White British/Scottish/English | 48 | 56 | 30 | 37 | $78(83 \%)$ | $93(82 \%)$ |
| Minority Ethnic | 13 | 14 | 3 | 6 | $16(17 \%)$ | $20(18 \%)$ |
| Total | 61 | 70 | 33 | 43 | 94 | 113 |

Staff by Disability Status

| Disability Status | $2010 / 11$ | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disabled | $57(4 \%)$ | $78(5 \%)$ | $91(5 \%)$ | $99(5 \%)$ |
| Not Disabled | $1371(87 \%)$ | $1363(84 \%)$ | $1428(84 \%)$ | $1504(85 \%)$ |
| Unknown | $153(10 \%)$ | $184(11 \%)$ | $176(11 \%)$ | $173(10 \%)$ |
| Total | $1581(100 \%)$ | $1625(100 \%)$ | $1695(100 \%)$ | $1776(100 \%)$ |


$\square$ Disabled
$\square$ Not Disabled ■Unknown

Staff with a Declared Disability and Grade

| Grade | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 2 to 5 | 35 | 51 | 57 | $68(69 \%)$ |
| Grade 5 and above | 22 | 28 | 34 | $31(31 \%)$ |
| Total | $57(4 \%)$ | $79(5 \%)$ | $91(5 \%)$ | $99(5 \%)$ |

Note: The University collects disability data in line with HESA categories but only publishes the data in the form above in order to not identify individuals.
Percentages shown are of total workforce.

Staff by Age Group

| Age Group | 2007/08 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / \mathbf { 1 3 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 35 | $440(24 \%)$ | $436(25 \%)$ | $351(22 \%)$ | $317(20 \%)$ | $316(19 \%)$ | $336(20 \%)$ | $339(19 \%)$ |
| $35-54$ | $975(54 \%)$ | $968(54 \%)$ | $934(57 \%)$ | $913(58 \%)$ | $926(57 \%)$ | $956(56 \%)$ | $984(55 \%)$ |
| Over 55 | $389(22 \%)$ | $373(21 \%)$ | $340(21 \%)$ | $351(22 \%)$ | $383(24 \%)$ | $360(21 \%)$ | $398(22 \%)$ |
| $65+$ |  |  |  |  | $53(3 \%)$ | $55(3 \%)$ |  |
| Total | $1804(100 \%)$ | $1777(100 \%)$ | $1625(100 \%)$ | $1581(100 \%)$ | $1625(100 \%)$ | $1695(100 \%)$ | $1776(100 \%)$ |



## $\square$ Under 35

$\square 35-54$
$\square$ Over 55 (from 2012/13 this should be read as 55-64)
$\square 65+$

Staff by Religion or Belief

| Religion or Belief | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Religion or Belief | $337(48 \%)$ | $495(28 \%)$ |
| Christian | $294(42 \%)$ | $363(20 \%)$ |
| Not Known | $1003(59 \%)$ | $849(48 \%)$ |
| All Others | $62(9 \%)$ | $70(4 \%)$ |

Male Staff by Age Group and Grade

| Grade | Under 35 |  |  |  | 35-54 |  |  |  | 55-64 |  |  |  | 65+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13* | 2013/14 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Grade 2 | 51 | 48 | 52 | 15 | 40 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 23 | 27 | 18 | 17 | 6 | 3 |
| Grade 3 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 26 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 29 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Grade 4 | 20 | 26 | 24 | 29 | 23 | 35 | 33 | 38 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 5 | 34 | 40 | 44 | 44 | 96 | 107 | 100 | 130 | 36 | 39 | 41 | 60 | 6 | 12 |
| Grade 6 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 9 | 141 | 145 | 152 | 138 | 46 | 50 | 39 | 48 | 10 | 15 |
| Grade 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 48 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 35 | 2 | 4 |
| Grade 8 and above | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 29 | 31 | 35 | 24 | 21 | 26 | 29 | 4 | 5 |
| Misc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ (20 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 147 \\ (19 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 153 \\ (19 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ (15 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 427 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 440 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 435 \\ (55 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 448 \\ (55 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 174 \\ (24 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 187 \\ (25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 171 \\ (22 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 202 \\ (25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (3.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

* Percentages are shown as of total number of male staff employed in each year

Female Staff by Age Group and Grade

| Grade | Under 35 |  |  |  | 35-54 |  |  |  | Over 55 |  |  |  | 65+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Grade 2 | 32 | 34 | 40 | 22 | 39 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 22 | 25 | 24 | 18 | 2 | 4 |
| Grade 3 | 48 | 57 | 53 | 55 | 97 | 101 | 100 | 104 | 50 | 51 | 47 | 37 | 2 | 2 |
| Grade 4 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 33 | 47 | 57 | 59 | 48 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| Grade 5 | 44 | 48 | 45 | 76 | 108 | 115 | 125 | 138 | 28 | 38 | 35 | 53 | 3 | 4 |
| Grade 6 | 23 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 147 | 152 | 153 | 149 | 39 | 43 | 41 | 39 | 2 | 3 |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 31 | 33 | 42 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 8 <br> and above | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 24 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| Misc | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 172 \\ (20 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ (20 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 214 \\ (22 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 490 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 507 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 520 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 536 \\ (58 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 181 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 203 \\ (24 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 189 \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 196 \\ (20 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 11 (1.2\%) | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

* Percentages are shown as of total number of male/female staff employed in each year

Staff by Sexual Orientation

| Sexual Orientation | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bisexual | $8(<1 \%)$ |
| Gay | $24(1 \%)$ |
| Heterosexual | $827(46 \%)$ |
| Lesbian | $11(1 \%)$ |
| Not known | $906(51 \%)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 7 6}$ |

Leavers by Ethnic Origin and Sex

| Ethnicity | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| White British/Scottish/English | 39 | 40 | 15 | 61 (62\%) | 46 | 35 | 33 | 75 (77\%) |
| Minority Ethnic | 2 | 20 | 9 | 24 (27\%) | 4 | 19 | 7 | 18 (18\%) |
| Not Known/Not Stated | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 (4\%) | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 (5\%) |
| Total | 42 | 63 | 29 | 89 | 52 | 56 | 43 | 98 |

Leavers by Disability Status

|  | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 |
| Declared Disability | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 (6\%) | 2 | 2 | 5 | 12 (12\%) |
| No Declared Disability | 34 | 52 | 23 | 76 (85\%) | 44 | 44 | 36 | 81 (83\%) |
| Not Known/Not Stated | 8 | 8 | 3 | 8 (9\%) | 6 | 10 | 2 | 5 (5\%) |
| Total | 42 | 63 | 29 | 89 | 52 | 56 | 43 | 98 |

Exit Questionnaire Response Data


Leavers by Ethnic Origin


## Leavers by Age Group



Leavers by Relationship Status


## Leavers by Sexual Orientation



Leavers by Religion or Belief


## Leavers by Staff Group



Leavers by Area


## Leavers by Contract-type



Leavers by Length of Service


Leavers by Main Reason for Leaving


Would you work for the University again?


Leavers by Destination


## Leavers by satisfaction with:

| On a scale of $1-5$, where 1 is Poor and 5 is Excellent, please rate the following | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Career Development/Promotion Prospects | 18 (17.8\%) | 33 (32.7\%) | 27 (26.7\%) | 18 (17.8\%) | 5 (5.0\%) |
| Flexibility of Working Hours/Arrangements | 4 (3.9\%) | 3 (2.9\%) | 15 (14.7\%) | 40 (39.2\%) | 40 (39.2\%) |
| Atmosphere of Co-operation | 10 (9.8\%) | 17 (16.7\%) | 27 (26.5\%) | 28 (27.5\%) | 20 (19.6\%) |
| Recognition for doing a good job | 16 (15.5\%) | 19 (18.4\%) | 27 (26.2\%) | 25 (24.3\%) | 16 (15.5\%) |
| Knowing what was expected of you as an employee | 2 (2.0\%) | 10 (9.8\%) | 29 (28.4\%) | 40 (39.2\%) | 21 (20.6\%) |
| Being treated fairly and consistently by your colleagues | 4 (3.9\%) | 11 (10.8\%) | 21 (20.6\%) | 40 (39.2\%) | 26 (25.5\%) |
| Being treated fairly and consistently by your manager | 10 (9.8\%) | 15 (14.7\%) | 19 (18.6\%) | 25 (24.5\%) | 33 (32.4\%) |
| Receiving constructive feedback when things could have been improved | 14 (13.9\%) | 13 (12.9\%) | 30 (29.7\%) | 28 (27.7\%) | 16 (15.8\%) |
| The University dealt promptly and fairly with concerns raised either by me or my colleagues | 17 (17.0\%) | 19 (19.0\%) | 27 (27.0\%) | 26 (26.0\%) | 11 (11.0\%) |
| Pay/Salary/Benefits | 8 (7.8\%) | 12 (11.8\%) | 29 (28.4\%) | 39 (38.2\%) | 14 (13.7\%) |


|  | ECU | Census 2011 | Applications | Staff in post |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 46\% | 48.5\% | 47\% | 46\% |
| Female | 54\% | 51.5\% | 51\% | 54\% |
| White (Scottish/British/English) | 97\% | 92\% | 59\% | 77\% |
| Minority Ethnic | 3\% | 8\% | 39\% | 23\% |
| No religion or belief | 7.5\%* | 37\% | 37\% | 28\% |
| Christian (All) | 8.3\%* | 53\% | 36\% | 20\% |
| Muslim | $0.4 *$ | 1.4\% | 5\% | <1\% |
| Disabled | 3.4\% | n/a | 3\% | 5\% |
| Heterosexual | n/a | n/a | 89\% | 46\%** |
| Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual | n/a | n/a | 5\% | 3\%** |

* Caution is advised as return rates for this data was extremely low (27\% of all institutions)
${ }^{* *}$ There is a substantial drop in the percentage of staff completing this field when in post and this figure is therefore unreliable


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The public sector equality duty: Implications for colleges and HEIs, Equality Challenge Unit.
    ${ }^{2}$ National Records Office, http://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/ods-web/area.htm/

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Equality Challenge Unit: Equality in higher education: Statistical report 2014 Part 1: Staff, p78.
    ${ }^{4}$ National Records Office, $\underline{\text { http://www.scotlandscensus.gov.uk/ods-web/area.html }}$
    ${ }^{5}$ http://www.stonewall.org.uk/at home/sexual orientation faqs/2694.asp

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Equality Challenge Unit: Equality in higher education: Statistical report 2014 Part 1: Staff, p27
    ${ }^{7}$ Ibid, p216.

[^3]:    ${ }^{8}$ Ibid, p. 215.

[^4]:    ${ }^{9}$ lbid, p. 222.
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[^5]:    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{Ibid}, \mathrm{p} .42$.
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