## Edinburgh Napier

# EDINBURGH NAPIER UNIVERSITY <br> EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY COMMITTEE <br> STUDENT DIVERSITY REPORT 2012 

## Background and Context

1. The University's Equality Scheme and Action Plan is nearing the end of its 3 year cycle and this report is the last to be produced under the guidance issued by the Equality and Human Rights Commission to aid institutions in the intervening period between the old Specific Duties for Scotland and the new ones which come into effect on $30^{\text {th }}$ April 2013.
2. The University can confidently claim to be prepared for the new duties as it has been working towards the revised compliance framework since the Equality Act 2010 came into force. The ability to produce and interrogate student diversity data and thereby identify and address inequalities is a key requirement of the duties and the University has consistently improved its ability to do so over the last 3 years.
3. This year's report follows the format produced in last year's report and provides comparative data at a Scotland and United Kingdom level where available. The data captured refers to academic year 2010/11. To bring it more completely into line with the requirements of the Specific Duties, the report restricts itself to reporting and analysing diversity data only.
4. All general duty activity not directly relevant to student diversity will be contained in the main annual report due to be published in April 2013. The collection and interrogation of student diversity data supports the University's general duty obligations to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other prohibited conduct
- Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.


## Alignment to Strategic Objectives/External Policy Driver

5. The information summarised in this report aligns with the University's overall mission statement and in particular with its stated objectives:

- To be academically excellent
- To develop confident employable graduates
- To achieve the highest standards


## Summary of the data

6. The collection and interrogation of diversity data for potential barriers to equality of opportunity forms a key part of the University's practice. The Equality and Diversity Committee has received several reports and updates on the development of diversity data sets in 2012 and endorsed the approach taken.
7. Data is divided into four categories in order to map the 'student journey' from application through to awards. As in previous years, where available, the data is disaggregated by protected characteristic. Reliable data is currently only available for sex, age, disability and ethnicity.

## Applications

8. Appendix 1 shows that there has been an overall increase in applications to study at the University. This is not matched by other Scottish or UK institutions and may be explained, at least in part, by the impact of process changes in Nursing and Creative Arts \& Design (2010/11 is the first year that applications for nursing have been made through UCAS rather than CATCH, leading to an impact on reported application trends from UCAS).
9. Roughly equal numbers of applications were made by both sexes ( $51 \%$ female, $49 \%$ male) and more detailed analysis can be found in appendix 1. The numbers of applications by students with a disability (1545) continued to increase, standing at the highest number since 2008/9 (1060). Of these, 1221 or $79 \%$ subsequently enrolled. Whether the increase in applications is as a result of a greater awareness of the benefits of declaring at application stage or a positive consequence of the University's presence on the DisabledGo website remains unclear (www.disabledgo.com/en/org/edinburgh-napieruniversity ).
10. Applications by age group show considerable fluctuations from previous years with 'Mature' applications at gender parity. This is against a backdrop of increased applications from 'Young' applicants which have risen from 41\% to $58 \%$ of the total population.

## Enrolments

11. Enrolment data (appendix 2) shows a consistent picture for the third year running and mirrors closely the Scottish gender split with female students (55\%) continuing to form a greater percentage than male students (45\%). There are variations in the gender split as other factors, such as part-time or full-time study and choice of subject areas are taken into consideration.
12. Female students made up the majority (and in some cases an overwhelming majority) in the following subject groups. Caution is advisable however as the numbers for Veterinary Sciences and Languages are relatively small:

- $\quad$ Subjects allied to Medicine (87\%)
- Veterinary Sciences, Agriculture and related subjects (93\%)
- European Languages, Literature and related subjects (86\%)

13. Similarly, male students formed a majority (and in some cases an overwhelming majority) in the following subject groups:

- Mathematical and Computer Sciences (82\%)
- Engineering (79\%)
- Architecture, Building and Planning (82\%)

14. The University has been a signatory to the Athena SWAN Charter since 2008 and is working towards setting-out its plans on how it will meet the new requirement to bring the University's STEM (Science, Engineering, Technology and Mathematics) departments to bronze award level of the Charter, as required by the Scottish Funding Council. The aim is to improve the student (and ultimately staff) journey for female students and this initiative may begin to affect the gender distribution as noted above.
15. The figures above suggest a similar case for looking at the reasons why male students form a minority in some subject areas. It should however be noted that with the exception of 'Subjects allied to Medicine' (which includes Nursing) the numbers are relatively small. Further, this gender split mirrors very closely the national (UK-wide) picture. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]16. The percentage of enrolled students declaring a disability has increased again this year to $9 \%$, which remains slightly above the Scottish and UK figure of $8 \%^{2}$. This represents a steadily increasing figure up from 964 in 2008/9 to 1221 in 2010/11.
17. As in previous years, Dyslexia, Dyspraxia and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity disorders form the largest category of disclosed disability (715 or 58\%). The percentage of enrolled disabled students across all faculties has remained fairly steady over the same period. This is also the case when the data is further interrogated with reference to ethnicity and age group.
18. The percentage of UK-domiciled minority ethnic students enrolled at the University has remained at $15 \%$ and tend to predominantly study full-time taught and postgraduate courses. This figure remains well above the Scottish average of $5 \%$. An interesting change in 2010/11 was the marked narrowing of the overall gender distribution within this group. Male minority ethnic students formed a reduced majority, down from 59\% in 2009/10 to 53\% in 2010/11.
19. Mature students, defined as over 21 for undergraduate courses and over 25 for postgraduate courses, continued to account for the majority (2/3rds) of students. Mature students are predominately in part-time study. This is much higher than the percentage of mature students across Scotland (47\%). ${ }^{3}$

## Continuation

20. Appendix 3 shows a continuing gender variation in the number of students either continuing with studies or qualifying. Although the gap narrowed to near-parity in 2009/10 it appears to be widening again with less male continuing students than female. This trend mirrors national trends although the percentages of continuing students are slightly higher. ${ }^{4}$
21. There is some evidence to suggest that continuation or qualifying rates for students with a disclosed disability are continuing to improve but this should be treated with caution as the numbers are relatively small ( $86 \%$ in 2008/9, $92 \%$ in 2010/11). This is also the case for students who self-identified as 'Black'.
[^1]
## Awards

22. Reliable trend data is only available for awards by gender and age. No comment is offered on attainments by students from a minority ethnic background and or who disclosed a disability due to the relatively small numbers.
23. Appendix 4 shows that the percentage of the University's students who attained a First ( $15 \%$ female, $14 \%$ male) is lower than the Scotland average ( $17.4 \%$ female, $18.4 \%$ male). The percentages for all other classes of degree are much closer to the Scotland average. ${ }^{5}$
24. A higher percentage of female students were awarded 2:1s (54\%) than male students (47\%). The situation is reversed for 2:2s (34\% male, 28\% female). The figures for 3rds are relatively small and no comment is provided for them. This has been a consistent picture for 3 consecutive years.
25. A direct comparison with national data is problematic as the Equality Challenge Unit employs different age groups but the overall trend appears to be that the two older age groups attained a greater percentage of $1^{\text {st }} s$ than the younger age groups. This has been the case for 3 consecutive years.

Conclusions
26. The University's student diversity profile appears typical of the sector at both Scotland and UK-wide levels. Where there is some variance, it is difficult to draw any firm conclusions, as the numbers are relatively small.
27. There remain concerns in some quarters that the gender make-up in particular subject areas, such as STEM and Nursing, remain predominantly male or female. National initiatives, such as Athena SWAN and the recentlyproposed extension of this to non-STEM areas, may result in changes to the make-up. However, it should also be noted that subject (and ultimately career) choices begin at a much earlier stage in a student's education.

Communication Issues
28. This paper is fully disclosable under the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002.

## Equality Considerations

29. This paper is intended to meet the University's statutory obligations by providing comparative data for the purposes of specific duty obligations and

[^2]the action taken by the University to support its general duty obligations. An Equality Impact Assessment is therefore not necessary.

Recommendations
30. Committee is asked to note the contents of this paper and approve the paper for submission to the Students' Association Committee.

## Mohammed Hameed

Diversity Partner
$21^{\text {st }}$ January 2013

Helen Sinclair
Planning Officer

## Appendix 1: Student Applications Data

Note: 2010/11 is the first year that applications for nursing have been made through UCAS rather than CATCH, which has had an impact on reported application trends from UCAS


- Increase of $5.3 \%$ in total applications from $8 / 9$ to $9 / 10$
- Increase of $14.8 \%$ in total applications from 9/10 to $10 / 1$
- The increase in applications from 9/10 to $10 / 1$ to Edinburgh Napier are not matched by other Scottish or UK institutions. This may, in part, reflect differences in academic portfolio considering the impact of process changes in Nursing and Creative Arts \& Design.

- There are differences in gender split by level and mode of study which remain fairly constant from the previous year
- Increasing Female UG FT\&PT, TPG FT populations
- Increasing Male UG FT\&PT populations


## Applications by Gender, level and Mode of study

|  | 2008/9 |  |  | $2009 / 0$ |  |  | $2010 / 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Female | $\%$ Female | Male | $\%$ Male | Female | $\%$ Female | Male | $\%$ Male | Female | $\%$ Female | Male |
|  | $\%$ Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG FT | 6717 | $49 \%$ | 7100 | $51 \%$ | 7179 | $50 \%$ | 7283 | $50 \%$ | 9558 | $54 \%$ | 8261 |
| UG PT | 1051 | $67 \%$ | 524 | $33 \%$ | 979 | $66 \%$ | 502 | $34 \%$ | 1076 | $65 \%$ | 582 |
| TPG FT | 979 | $26 \%$ | 2811 | $74 \%$ | 1289 | $30 \%$ | 2958 | $70 \%$ | 1550 | $35 \%$ | 2883 |
| TPG PT | 407 | $54 \%$ | 346 | $46 \%$ | 508 | $57 \%$ | 391 | $43 \%$ | 494 | $56 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| RPG FT | 29 | $28 \%$ | 76 | $72 \%$ | 23 | $29 \%$ | 57 | $71 \%$ | 19 | $27 \%$ | 51 |
| RPG PT | 26 | $49 \%$ | 27 | $51 \%$ | 20 | $43 \%$ | 26 | $57 \%$ | 13 | $41 \%$ | 19 |
| Total | 9209 | $46 \%$ | 10884 | $54 \%$ | 9998 | $47 \%$ | 11217 | $53 \%$ | 12710 | $51 \%$ | 12184 |

Applications by Gender and Subject Group

|  | 2008/9 |  |  |  | 2009/0 |  |  |  | 2010/1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subjects | Female | \% Female | Male | \% Male | Female | \% Female | Male | \% Male | Female | \% Female | Male | \% Male |
| Subjects allied to Medicine | 1941 | 86\% | 307 | 14\% | 2101 | 85\% | 383 | 15\% | 3418 | 85\% | 610 | 15\% |
| Biological Sciences | 1287 | 55\% | 1061 | 45\% | 1484 | 57\% | 1129 | 43\% | 1639 | 55\% | 1352 | 45\% |
| Veterinary Sciences, Agriculture and related subjects | 146 | 95\% | 7 | 5\% | 153 | 94\% | 9 | 6\% | 223 | 87\% | 32 | 13\% |
| Physical Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 246 | 14\% | 1519 | 86\% | 224 | 13\% | 1532 | 87\% | 275 | 16\% | 1438 | 84\% |
| Engineering | 443 | 18\% | 2070 | 82\% | 423 | 17\% | 2122 | 83\% | 486 | 17\% | 2339 | 83\% |
| Technologies | 3 | 6\% | 44 | 94\% | 23 | 22\% | 80 | 78\% | 24 | 30\% | 55 | 70\% |
| Architecture, Building and Planning | 203 | 18\% | 913 | 82\% | 196 | 16\% | 1032 | 84\% | 198 | 18\% | 916 | 82\% |
| Social studies | 343 | 68\% | 165 | 32\% | 334 | 63\% | 200 | 37\% | 305 | 65\% | 165 | 35\% |
| Law | 231 | 57\% | 171 | 43\% | 230 | 60\% | 156 | 40\% | 477 | 66\% | 244 | 34\% |
| Business and Administrative studies | 3151 | 47\% | 3553 | 53\% | 3250 | 50\% | 3274 | 50\% | 3711 | 53\% | 3338 | 47\% |
| Mass Communications and Documentation | 393 | 62\% | 240 | 38\% | 425 | 62\% | 263 | 38\% | 516 | 59\% | 352 | 41\% |
| Linguistics, Classics and related subjects | 181 | 64\% | 100 | 36\% | 206 | 59\% | 141 | 41\% | 319 | 64\% | 181 | 36\% |
| European Languages, Literature and related subjects | 72 | 88\% | 10 | 12\% | 148 | 77\% | 44 | 23\% | 208 | 80\% | 51 | 20\% |
| Creative Arts and Design | 556 | 45\% | 679 | 55\% | 801 | 48\% | 851 | 52\% | 911 | 45\% | 1111 | 55\% |
| Customised Programmes | 13 | 22\% | 45 | 78\% |  | 0\% | 1 | 100\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Total | 9209 |  | 10884 |  | 9998 |  | 11217 |  | 12710 |  | 12184 |  |



- Disclosed disability application trends have increased from $5.3 \%$ in 8/9 to $6.2 \%$ in 10/1

- There are differences in disclosed disability by level of study and gender
- Increasing UG Female disclosed disability from $5.8 \%$ in $8 / 9$ to $6.6 \%$ in $10 / 1$
- Increasing UG Male disclosed disability from $6.5 \%$ in $8 / 9$ to $8.0 \%$ in 10/1


## Disclosed Disability Applications by Type

| DisabilityType | $2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Asperger's Syndrome | 9 | 10 | 28 |
| Autistic Spectrum Disorder | 15 | 12 | $? ? ? ?$ |
| Blind/Partial Sighted | 33 | 25 | 25 |
| Deaf/Hearing Difficulty | 41 | 47 | 51 |
| Disability not listed | 89 | 99 | 135 |
| Dyslexia, Dyspraxia, ADHD | 577 | 636 | 929 |
| Mental Health Difficulties | 51 | 64 | 106 |
| Wheelchair User/Mobility Difficulties | 42 | 34 | 44 |
| Multiple Disabilites | 32 | 37 | 24 |
| Unseen Disability | 171 | 193 | 203 |
| Grand Total | 1060 | 1157 | 1545 |

## Disclosed Disability Applications by Faculty

| FACULTY | $2008 / 9$ | $\% 2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 0$ | $\% 2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ | $\% 2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUSINESS SCHOOL | 262 | $25 \%$ | 263 | $23 \%$ | 348 | $23 \%$ |
| FECCI | 415 | $39 \%$ | 468 | $40 \%$ | 593 | $38 \%$ |
| FHLSS | 364 | $34 \%$ | 408 | $35 \%$ | 584 | $38 \%$ |
| ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT | 19 | $2 \%$ | 18 | $2 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 1060 |  | 1157 |  | 1545 |  |

## Disclosed Disability Applications by Age Group

| AGE GROUP | $2008 / 9$ | $\%$ 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | $\%$ 2009/0 | $2010 / 1$ | $\% 2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under 18 |  | $0 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ | 129 | $8 \%$ |
| $18-20$ | 436 | $41 \%$ | 467 | $40 \%$ | 692 | $45 \%$ |
| $21-24$ | 297 | $28 \%$ | 289 | $25 \%$ | 284 | $18 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 129 | $12 \%$ | 170 | $15 \%$ | 194 | $13 \%$ |
| $30+$ | 198 | $19 \%$ | 231 | $20 \%$ | 246 | $16 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 1060 |  | 1157 |  | 1545 |  |

Disclosed Disability Applications by Level and Gender

|  | 2008/9 |  |  |  | 2009/0 |  |  |  | 2010/1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disability | \% Disability | No Known disability | \% No Known Disability | Disability | \% Disability | No <br> Known disability | \% No Known Disability | Disability | \% Disability | No <br> Known <br> disability | \% No <br> Known <br> Disability |
| UG Female | 453 | 6\% | 7315 | 94\% | 491 | 6\% | 7667 | 94\% | 702 | 7\% | 9932 | 93\% |
| UG Male | 498 | 7\% | 7126 | 93\% | 534 | 7\% | 7251 | 93\% | 704 | 8\% | 8139 | 92\% |
| TPG Female | 50 | 4\% | 1336 | 96\% | 59 | 3\% | 1738 | 97\% | 71 | 3\% | 1973 | 97\% |
| TPG Male | 55 | 2\% | 3102 | 98\% | 70 | 2\% | 3279 | 98\% | 62 | 2\% | 3209 | 98\% |
| RPG Female | 1 | 2\% | 54 | 98\% | 1 | 2\% | 42 | 98\% | 2 | 6\% | 30 | 94\% |
| RPG Male | 3 | 3\% | 100 | 97\% | 2 | 2\% | 81 | 98\% | 4 | 6\% | 66 | 94\% |
| Total | 1060 | 5\% | 19033 | 95\% | 1157 | 5\% | 20058 | 95\% | 1545 | 6\% | 23349 | 94\% |

## Mature (UG + PG) Student Applications

Mature students defined as over 21 for undergraduate and over 25 for postgraduate courses.


- Young applications have increased as a proportion of the total population from $41 \%$ in $8 / 9$ to $58 \%$ in 10/1



## Mature (UG + PG) Applications by Faculty

| FACULTY | $2008 / 9$ | $\%$ 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | $\%$ 2009/0 | $2010 / 1$ | $\% ~ 2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUSINESS SCHOOL | 4453 | $37 \%$ | 4215 | $34 \%$ | 3093 | $30 \%$ |
| FECCI | 4067 | $34 \%$ | 4575 | $37 \%$ | 3581 | $34 \%$ |
| FHLSS | 3078 | $26 \%$ | 3252 | $27 \%$ | 3554 | $34 \%$ |
| ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT | 330 | $3 \%$ | 189 | $2 \%$ | 204 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 11928 |  | 12231 |  | 10432 |  |

## Mature (UG + PG) Applications by Gender

| GENDER | $2008 / 9$ | \% 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | \% 2009/0 | $2010 / 0$ | $\%$ 2010/1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FEMALE | 5364 | $44 \%$ | 5659 | $54 \%$ | 5170 | $50 \%$ |
| MALE | 6564 | $54 \%$ | 6572 | $63 \%$ | 5262 | $50 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 11928 |  | 12231 |  | 10432 |  |

## Mature (UG + PG) Applications by Level and Mode of study

|  | 2008/9 |  |  |  | 2009/0 |  |  |  | 2010/1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mature (UG+PG) | \%Mature (UG+PG) | Young | \% Young | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Mature } \\ (\text { UG }+ \text { PG }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \%Mature (UG+PG) | Young | \% Young | Mature (UG+PG) | \%Mature (UG+PG) | Young | \% Young |
| UG FT | 7086 | 51\% | 6731 | 49\% | 7281 | 50\% | 7181 | 50\% | 6053 | $34 \%$ | 11766 | 66\% |
| UG PT | 1546 | 98\% | 29 | 2\% | 1452 | 98\% | 29 | 2\% | 1537 | 93\% | 121 | 7\% |
| TPG FT | 2456 | 65\% | 1334 | 35\% | 2548 | 60\% | 1699 | 40\% | 2000 | 45\% | 2433 | 55\% |
| TPG PT | 696 | 92\% | 57 | 8\% | 833 | 93\% | 66 | 7\% | 759 | 86\% | 123 | 14\% |
| RPG FT | 92 | 88\% | 13 | 12\% | 72 | 90\% | 8 | 10\% | 51 | 73\% | 19 | 27\% |
| RPG PT | 52 | 98\% | 1 | 2\% | 45 | 98\% | 1 | 2\% | 32 | 100\% |  | 0\% |
| Total | 11928 | 59\% | 8165 | 41\% | 12231 | 58\% | 8984 | 42\% | 10432 | 42\% | 14462 | 58\% |

## Subject Group Applications

| Subject Group | $2008 / 9$ | $\%$ 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | $\%$ 2009/0 | $2010 / 1$ | $\% 2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Subjects allied to Medicine | 2248 | $11 \%$ | 2484 | $12 \%$ | 4028 | $16 \%$ |
| Biological Sciences | 2348 | $12 \%$ | 2613 | $12 \%$ | 2991 | $12 \%$ |
| Veterinary Sciences, Agriculture and related subjects | 153 | $1 \%$ | 162 | $1 \%$ | 255 | $1 \%$ |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 1765 | $9 \%$ | 1756 | $8 \%$ | 1713 | $7 \%$ |
| Engineering | 2513 | $13 \%$ | 2545 | $12 \%$ | 2825 | $11 \%$ |
| Technologies | 47 | $0 \%$ | 103 | $0 \%$ | 79 | $0 \%$ |
| Architecture, Building and Planning | 1116 | $6 \%$ | 1228 | $6 \%$ | 1114 | $4 \%$ |
| Social studies | 508 | $3 \%$ | 534 | $3 \%$ | 470 | $2 \%$ |
| Law | 402 | $2 \%$ | 386 | $2 \%$ | 721 | $3 \%$ |
| Business and Administrative studies | 6704 | $33 \%$ | 6524 | $31 \%$ | 7049 | $28 \%$ |
| Mass Communications and Documentation | 633 | $3 \%$ | 688 | $3 \%$ | 868 | $3 \%$ |
| Linguistics, Classics and related subjects | 281 | $1 \%$ | 347 | $2 \%$ | 500 | $2 \%$ |
| European Languages, Literature and related subjects | 82 | $0 \%$ | 192 | $1 \%$ | 259 | $1 \%$ |
| Creative Arts and Design | 1235 | $6 \%$ | 1652 | $8 \%$ | 2022 | $8 \%$ |
| Customised Programmes | 58 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $0 \%$ |  | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 20093 |  | 21215 |  | 24894 |  |

- \% applications by subject group have remained constant for most of the groups except:
- Slight decrease in Business and Administrative studies, Architecture, Building and Planning studies, Engineering and Mathematical and Computer Sciences.
- Slight increase in Subjects allied to Medicine.

- Applications by gender have remained constant for most of the subject groups except:
- Increase in Male applications in Subjects allied to medicine, Veterinary Sciences, Maths and computing and Social studies.
- Increase in Female applications in Biological Sciences, Law and Creative Arts.

Appendix 2: Student Enrolment Data Analysis


- \% gender split remains constant at 55\% Female, 45\% Male
- UK and Scottish enrolment trends have remained constant over this time period at 56\% Female, 43\% Male.

- Female students are predominately in Part-time study.
- UG population remains fairly constant. Increasing Female TPG FT population. Increasing Male RPG FT population.


## Enrolments by Gender, level and Mode of study

|  | $2008 / 9$ |  |  |  | $2009 / 0$ |  |  | 2010/1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Female | $\%$ Female | Male | $\%$ Male | Female | $\%$ Female Male | $\%$ Male | Female | $\%$ Female Male | $\%$ Male |
| UG FT | 5102 | $54 \%$ | 4338 | $46 \%$ | 5359 | $54 \%$ | 4562 | $46 \%$ | 5323 | $56 \%$ |
| 4245 | $44 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UG PT | 1070 | $62 \%$ | 647 | $38 \%$ | 1145 | $63 \%$ | 672 | $37 \%$ | 1285 | $63 \%$ |
| 755 | $37 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TPG FT | 329 | $34 \%$ | 625 | $66 \%$ | 428 | $37 \%$ | 725 | $63 \%$ | 516 | $42 \%$ |
| 701 | $58 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TPG PT | 545 | $58 \%$ | 401 | $42 \%$ | 721 | $60 \%$ | 479 | $40 \%$ | 691 | $57 \%$ |
| RPG FT | 49 | $38 \%$ | 79 | $62 \%$ | 39 | $35 \%$ | 74 | $65 \%$ | 35 | $43 \%$ |
| RPG PT | 41 | $45 \%$ | 51 | $55 \%$ | 52 | $48 \%$ | 56 | $52 \%$ | 53 | $46 \%$ |
| Total | 7136 | $54 \%$ | 6141 | $46 \%$ | 7744 | $54 \%$ | 6568 | $46 \%$ | 7903 | $55 \%$ |

## Enrolments by Gender and Subject group

|  | $2008 / 9$ |  |  | $2009 / 0$ |  |  | 2010/1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Female | $\%$ | Female | Male | $\%$ | Male | Female | \% Female | Male | \% Male |

## Disability



- Edinburgh Napier disclosed disability trends have increased from 7\% in 8/9 to 9\% in 10/1.
- UK and Scottish disclosed disability trends have increased slightly from $7 \%$ in $8 / 9$ to $8 \%$ in $10 / 1$


Disclosed Disability Enrolments by Type

| Disability Type | $2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Asperger's Syndrome |  | 8 | 18 |
| Autistic Spectrum Disorder | 14 | 10 |  |
| Visual Impairment | 23 | 20 | 24 |
| Hearing Impaired | 45 | 45 | 43 |
| Disability not listed | 72 | 80 | 85 |
| Dyslexia, Dyspraxia, ADHD | 526 | 663 | 715 |
| Mental Health Difficulties | 59 | 65 | 67 |
| Wheelchair User/Mobility Impaired | 23 | 27 | 30 |
| Multiple Disabilities | 23 | 26 | 22 |
| Hidden Disability | 179 | 216 | 217 |
| Total | 964 | 1160 | 1221 |

## Disclosed Disability Enrolments by Faculty

| FACULTY | $2008 / 9$ | $\% ~ 2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 0$ | $\% ~ 2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ | $\% ~ 2009 / 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUSINESS SCHOOL | 232 | $24 \%$ | 236 | $20 \%$ | 263 | $22 \%$ |
| FECCI | 347 | $36 \%$ | 437 | $38 \%$ | 428 | $35 \%$ |
| FHLSS | 373 | $39 \%$ | 465 | $40 \%$ | 520 | $43 \%$ |
| ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT | 12 | $1 \%$ | 22 | $2 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 964 |  | 1160 |  | 1221 |  |

## Disclosed Disability Enrolments by Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | $2008 / 9$ | $\%$ 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | $\% 2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ | $\% ~ 2009 / 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Asian | 41 | $4 \%$ | 43 | $4 \%$ | 34 | $3 \%$ |
| Black | 13 | $1 \%$ | 12 | $1 \%$ | 20 | $2 \%$ |
| Chinese | 11 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Mixed | 9 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ | 16 | $1 \%$ |
| Other/Unknown | 9 | $1 \%$ | 4 | $0 \%$ | 5 | $0 \%$ |
| White | 881 | $91 \%$ | 1081 | $93 \%$ | 1136 | $93 \%$ |
| Total | 964 |  | 1160 |  | 1221 |  |

Disclosed Disability Enrolments by Age Group

| Age Group | $2008 / 9$ | $\% ~ 2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 0$ | $\% 2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ | $\% 2009 / 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under 18 | 23 | $2 \%$ | 21 | $2 \%$ | 23 | $2 \%$ |
| $18-20$ | 270 | $28 \%$ | 337 | $29 \%$ | 316 | $26 \%$ |
| $21-24$ | 277 | $29 \%$ | 346 | $30 \%$ | 365 | $30 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 151 | $16 \%$ | 175 | $15 \%$ | 198 | $16 \%$ |
| $30+$ | 243 | $25 \%$ | 281 | $24 \%$ | 319 | $26 \%$ |
| Total | 964 |  | 1160 |  | 1221 |  |

Disclosed Disability Enrolments by Level and Gender

|  | 2008/9 |  |  |  | 2009/0 |  |  |  | 2010/1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disability | \% Disability | No Known disability | \% No <br> Known <br> Disability | Disability | \% Disability | No Known disability | \% No Known Disability | Disability | \% Disability | No Known disability | \% No Known Disability |
| UG Female | 461 | 7\% | 5711 | 93\% | 539 | 8\% | 5965 | 92\% | 573 | 9\% | 6035 | 91\% |
| UG Male | 403 | 8\% | 4582 | 92\% | 499 | 10\% | 4735 | 90\% | 516 | 10\% | 4484 | 90\% |
| TPG Female | 41 | 5\% | 833 | 95\% | 56 | 5\% | 1093 | 95\% | 69 | 6\% | 1138 | 94\% |
| TPG Male | 46 | 4\% | 980 | 96\% | 54 | 4\% | 1150 | 96\% | 52 | 4\% | 1169 | 96\% |
| RPG Female | 8 | 9\% | 82 | 91\% | 7 | 8\% | 84 | 92\% | 4 | 5\% | 84 | 95\% |
| RPG Male | 5 | 4\% | 125 | 96\% | 5 | 4\% | 125 | 96\% | 7 | 5\% | 132 | 95\% |
| Total | 964 | 7\% | 12313 | 93\% | 1160 | 8\% | 13152 | 92\% | 1221 | 9\% | 13040 | 91\% |

Ethnicity


- BME students at Napier account for $15 \%$ of the total population.
- Scottish BME figures have remained constant at 5\% for the last 3 years.
- UK BME figures have remained constant at $15 \%$ for the last 2 years.

- BME students are predominantly in Full-time TPG and RPG courses which reflect the university recruitment policy for more overseas students at this level of study.
- BME TPG FT population has decreased over the last few years.

Further breakdown of BME enrolment

| Ethnicity | $2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Asian - Other Backgrounds | 150 | 151 | 158 |
| Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi | 29 | 28 | 24 |
| Asian or Asian British - Indian | 479 | 583 | 415 |
| Asian or Asian British - Pakistani | 175 | 154 | 150 |
| Black - African | 290 | 298 | 334 |
| Black - Caribbean | 34 | 33 | 35 |
| Black - Other | 42 | 50 | 60 |
| Chinese | 515 | 586 | 697 |
| Mixed - Other Backgrounds | 80 | 95 | 82 |
| Mixed - White and Asian | 64 | 66 | 61 |
| Mixed - White and Black African | 24 | 33 | 28 |
| Mixed - White and Black Caribbean | 21 | 18 | 19 |
| Total | 1903 | 2095 | 2063 |

BME Enrolments by Faculty

| FACULTY | $2008 / 9$ | $\%$ 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | $\% 2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ | $\% 2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUSINESS SCHOOL | 910 | $48 \%$ | 1049 | $50 \%$ | 1029 | $50 \%$ |
| FECCI | 626 | $33 \%$ | 628 | $30 \%$ | 557 | $27 \%$ |
| FHLSS | 336 | $18 \%$ | 396 | $19 \%$ | 448 | $22 \%$ |
| ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT | 31 | $2 \%$ | 22 | $1 \%$ | 29 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 1903 |  | 2095 |  | 2063 |  |

BME Enrolments by Gender

| GENDER | $2008 / 9$ | $\%$ 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | $\%$ 2009/0 | $2010 / 1$ | $\%$ 2010/1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | 780 | $41 \%$ | 851 | $41 \%$ | 961 | $47 \%$ |
| Male | 1123 | $59 \%$ | 1244 | $59 \%$ | 1102 | $53 \%$ |
| Total | 1903 |  | 2095 |  | 2063 |  |

## BME Enrolments by Age Group

| Age Group | $2008 / 9$ | $\%$ 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | $\% ~ 2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ | $\% 2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under 18 | 15 | $1 \%$ | 14 | $1 \%$ | 17 | $1 \%$ |
| $18-20$ | 317 | $17 \%$ | 374 | $18 \%$ | 272 | $13 \%$ |
| $21-24$ | 807 | $42 \%$ | 907 | $43 \%$ | 945 | $46 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | 364 | $19 \%$ | 383 | $18 \%$ | 349 | $17 \%$ |
| $30+$ | 396 | $21 \%$ | 415 | $20 \%$ | 479 | $23 \%$ |
| Unknown | 4 | $0 \%$ | 2 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 1903 | $100 \%$ | 2095 | $100 \%$ | 2063 | $100 \%$ |

BME Enrolments by Level and Mode of study

|  | 2008/9 |  |  |  | 2009/0 |  |  |  | 2010/1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BME | \% BME | White | \% White | BME | \% BME | White | \% White | BME | \% BME | White | \% White |
| UG FT | 993 | 11\% | 8313 | 89\% | 1107 | 11\% | 8735 | 89\% | 1033 | 11\% | 8484 | 89\% |
| UG PT | 189 | 11\% | 1515 | 89\% | 203 | 11\% | 1607 | 89\% | 286 | 14\% | 1743 | 86\% |
| TPGFT | 560 | 60\% | 381 | 40\% | 618 | 54\% | 518 | 46\% | 560 | 46\% | 647 | 54\% |
| TPG PT | 101 | 11\% | 837 | 89\% | 105 | 9\% | 1088 | 91\% | 127 | 11\% | 1081 | 89\% |
| RPG FT | 45 | 38\% | 74 | 62\% | 44 | 42\% | 60 | 58\% | 37 | 37\% | 63 | 63\% |
| RPG PT | 15 | 17\% | 72 | 83\% | 18 | 17\% | 90 | 83\% | 20 | 17\% | 96 | 83\% |
| Total | 1903 |  | 11192 |  | 2095 |  | 12098 |  | 2063 |  | 12114 |  |

## Mature Student Enrolments

Mature students defined as over 21 for undergraduate and over 25 for postgraduate courses.


- Mature students account for $2 / 3$ rds of the total population across all the years.

- Mature students are predominately in Part-time study.

Mature Student Enrolments by Level and Mode of study

|  | 2008/9 |  |  |  | 2009/0 |  |  | 2010/1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mature | $\%$ Mature | Rest | $\%$ Rest | Mature | $\%$ Mature | Rest | $\%$ Rest | Mature | $\%$ Mature | Rest | $\%$ Rest |
| UG FT | 5728 | $61 \%$ | 3712 | $39 \%$ | 5925 | $60 \%$ | 3996 | $40 \%$ | 5664 | $59 \%$ | 3904 | $41 \%$ |
| UG PT | 1648 | $96 \%$ | 69 | $4 \%$ | 1730 | $95 \%$ | 87 | $5 \%$ | 1933 | $95 \%$ | 107 | $5 \%$ |
| TPG FT | 448 | $47 \%$ | 506 | $53 \%$ | 519 | $45 \%$ | 634 | $55 \%$ | 528 | $43 \%$ | 689 | $57 \%$ |
| TPG PT | 853 | $90 \%$ | 93 | $10 \%$ | 1113 | $93 \%$ | 87 | $7 \%$ | 1121 | $93 \%$ | 90 | $7 \%$ |
| RPG FT | 107 | $84 \%$ | 21 | $16 \%$ | 91 | $81 \%$ | 22 | $19 \%$ | 89 | $80 \%$ | 22 | $20 \%$ |
| RPG PT | 80 | $87 \%$ | 12 | $13 \%$ | 94 | $87 \%$ | 14 | $13 \%$ | 112 | $97 \%$ | 4 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | 8864 | $67 \%$ | 4413 | $33 \%$ | 9472 | $66 \%$ | 4840 | $34 \%$ | 9447 | $66 \%$ | 4816 | $34 \%$ |

Mature students by Faculty

| FACULTY | $2008 / 9$ | $\% ~ 2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 0$ | $\%$ 2009/0 | $2010 / 1$ | $\% ~ 2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUSINESS SCHOOL | 2458 | $28 \%$ | 2678 | $28 \%$ | 2707 | $29 \%$ |
| FECCI | 2757 | $31 \%$ | 2964 | $31 \%$ | 2833 | $30 \%$ |
| FHLSS | 3504 | $40 \%$ | 3640 | $38 \%$ | 3719 | $39 \%$ |
| ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT | 145 | $2 \%$ | 190 | $2 \%$ | 188 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 8864 |  | 9472 |  | 9447 |  |

Mature students by Gender

| GENDER | $2008 / 9$ | $\%$ 2008/9 | $2009 / 0$ | $\% 2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ | $\% 2010 / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | 4933 | $56 \%$ | 5237 | $55 \%$ | 5338 | $57 \%$ |
| Male | 3931 | $44 \%$ | 4235 | $45 \%$ | 4109 | $43 \%$ |
| Total | 8864 |  | 9472 |  | 9447 |  |

## Subject Group Enrolments

| Subject Group | $2008 / 9$ | $\% 2008 / 9$ | $2009 / 0$ | $\% 2009 / 0$ | $2010 / 1$ | $\%$ 2010/1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subjects allied to Medicine | 3062 | $23 \%$ | 3298 | $23 \%$ | 3398 | $24 \%$ |
| Biological Sciences | 1306 | $10 \%$ | 1441 | $10 \%$ | 1466 | $10 \%$ |
| Veterinary Sciences, Agriculture and related subjects | 75 | $1 \%$ | 89 | $1 \%$ | 106 | $1 \%$ |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 1088 | $8 \%$ | 1135 | $8 \%$ | 1142 | $8 \%$ |
| Engineering | 1424 | $11 \%$ | 1515 | $11 \%$ | 1377 | $10 \%$ |
| Technologies | 10 | $0 \%$ | 16 | $0 \%$ | 22 | $0 \%$ |
| Architecture, Building and Planning | 704 | $5 \%$ | 703 | $5 \%$ | 588 | $4 \%$ |
| Social studies | 343 | $3 \%$ | 303 | $2 \%$ | 288 | $2 \%$ |
| Law | 330 | $2 \%$ | 284 | $2 \%$ | 330 | $2 \%$ |
| Business and Administrative studies | 3478 | $26 \%$ | 3912 | $27 \%$ | 3887 | $27 \%$ |
| Mass Communications and Documentation | 411 | $3 \%$ | 422 | $3 \%$ | 411 | $3 \%$ |
| Linguistics, Classics and related subjects | 121 | $1 \%$ | 156 | $1 \%$ | 231 | $2 \%$ |
| European Languages, Literature and related subjects | 122 | $1 \%$ | 98 | $1 \%$ | 111 | $1 \%$ |
| Creative Arts and Design | 803 | $6 \%$ | 940 | $7 \%$ | 906 | $6 \%$ |
| Total | 13277 |  | 14312 |  | 14263 |  |

- \% enrolment by subject group has remained constant for most of the groups.
- Slight increases in Subjects allied to Medicine
- Slight decreases in Engineering, Architecture, Building and Planning, Creative Arts.

- Increase in Female enrolments in Engineering, Technologies and Mass communication and documentation.

Subject Group Enrolments by Gender

|  | $2008 / 9$ |  |  |  | $2009 / 0$ |  |  | $2010 / 1$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Female | \% Female | Male | $\%$ | Male | Female | $\%$ | Female | Male | \% Male | Female |



## Appendix 3: Continuation Data Analysis

The population for this analysis is the Full-time, First Degree Undergraduate entrants based in the UK

## Gender



- The gender imbalance is still evident in the number of students either continuing with their studies next year or qualifying.

| Gender | 200809 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 Mid Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | continue | Quality | Total | Students | Continue | Qualify | Total | Students | Continue | Qualify | Total |
| Male | 1224 | 72.6\% | 5.9\% | 78.5\% | 1078 | 81.5\% | 5.9\% | 87.5\% | 1024 | 79.2\% | 5.1\% | 84.3\% |
| Female | 1565 | 82.9\% | 3.2\% | 86.1\% | 1497 | 85.4\% |  | 88.9\% | 1325 | 83.2\% | 4.8\% | 88.1\% |
| Grand Total | 2789 | 78.4\% | 4.4\% | 82.8\% | 2575 | 83.8\% |  | 88.3\% | 2349 | 81.5\% | 4.9\% | 86.4\% |

## Age Group



- The 35 to 39 age group have the largest percentage of students continuing or qualifying compared to the other age groups in 2010/11.
Although numbers are small in this category.

|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 Mid Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Age Category | Students Continue | Qualify | Total | Students Continue | Qualify | Total | Students Continue | Qualify | Total |  |
| Under 18 | 350 | $80.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ | 268 | $89.6 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $90.3 \%$ | 316 | $85.1 \%$ |  |
| $0.6 \%$ | $85.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $18-20$ | 1161 | $80.4 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $83.2 \%$ | 1134 | $85.4 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | 1077 | $83.7 \%$ |  |
| $3.4 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $21-24$ | 565 | $79.8 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | 500 | $81.6 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ | 398 | $74.4 \%$ |  |
| $10.8 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $25-29$ | 306 | $74.8 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ | 311 | $81.0 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | 240 | $80.8 \%$ |  |
| $4.2 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 160 | $66.3 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | 153 | $78.4 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ | 139 | $82.0 \%$ |  |
| $4.3 \%$ | $86.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $35-39$ | 105 | $68.6 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | 93 | $81.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ | 90 | $80.0 \%$ |  |
| $40.9 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40+$ | 142 | $79.6 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $85.2 \%$ | 116 | $80.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ | 89 | $76.4 \%$ |  |
| Grand Total | 2789 | $78.4 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | 2575 | $83.8 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | 2349 | $81.5 \%$ |  |

## Age Group and Gender



- In 10/11 there is a marked difference in rates between Females and Males in the 18-20, 21-24 and 25-29 age group categories.
- This is a changing picture from previous years.

| Gender | Age Category | 2008/09 |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  | 2010/11 Mid Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Continue | Qualify | Total | Continue | Qualify | Total | Continue | Qualify | Total |
| Male | Under 18 | 73.9\% | 1.4\% | 75.4\% | 87.2\% | 0.9\% | 88.1\% | 85.2\% | 0.7\% | 85.9\% |
|  | 18-20 | 77.6\% | 2.8\% | 80.5\% | 83.8\% | 4.0\% | 87.8\% | 81.9\% | 3.2\% | 85.1\% |
|  | 21-24 | 74.1\% | 6.2\% | 80.3\% | 78.2\% | 8.7\% | 86.9\% | 69.3\% | 12.9\% | 82.2\% |
|  | 25-29 | 65.0\% | 9.2\% | 74.2\% | 79.5\% | 8.7\% | 88.2\% | 73.9\% | 4.3\% | 78.3\% |
|  | 30-34 | 55.2\% | 19.0\% | 74.1\% | 70.0\% | 11.7\% | 81.7\% | 81.0\% | 4.8\% | 85.7\% |
|  | 35-39 | 50.0\% | 22.7\% | 72.7\% | 85.0\% | 15.0\% | 100.0\% | 77.8\% | 11.1\% | 88.9\% |
|  | 40+ | 61.9\% | 14.3\% | 76.2\% | 79.4\% | 5.9\% | 85.3\% | 70.0\% | 16.7\% | 86.7\% |
| Male Total |  | 72.6\% | 5.9\% | 78.5\% | 81.5\% | 5.9\% | 87.5\% | 79.2\% | 5.1\% | 84.3\% |
| Female | Under 18 | 84.4\% | 0.0\% | 84.4\% | 91.3\% | 0.6\% | 91.9\% | 85.1\% | 0.6\% | 85.6\% |
|  | 18-20 | 83.1\% | 2.7\% | 85.8\% | 86.8\% | 2.1\% | 88.8\% | 85.4\% | 3.7\% | 89.1\% |
|  | 21-24 | 84.6\% | 4.2\% | 88.9\% | 84.5\% | 5.5\% | 90.0\% | 77.9\% | 9.4\% | 87.2\% |
|  | 25-29 | 81.2\% | 4.8\% | 86.0\% | 82.1\% | 4.3\% | 86.4\% | 85.1\% | 4.1\% | 89.2\% |
|  | 30-34 | 72.5\% | 6.9\% | 79.4\% | 83.9\% | 5.4\% | 89.2\% | 82.5\% | 4.1\% | 86.6\% |
|  | 35-39 | 82.0\% | 4.9\% | 86.9\% | 80.8\% | 8.2\% | 89.0\% | 80.6\% | 8.3\% | 88.9\% |
|  | 40+ | 87.0\% | 2.0\% | 89.0\% | 80.5\% | 4.9\% | 85.4\% | 79.7\% | 8.5\% | 88.1\% |
| Female Total |  | 82.9\% | 3.2\% | 86.1\% | 85.4\% | 3.5\% | 88.9\% | 83.2\% | 4.8\% | 88.1\% |
| Grand Total |  | 78.4\% | 4.4\% | 82.8\% | 83.8\% | 4.5\% | 88.3\% | 81.5\% | 4.9\% | 86.4\% |

## Disclosed Disability



- There is an improving continuation or qualifying rate of students with a disclosed disability however population numbers are still quite small.

|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  | $2009 / 10$ |  |  | 2010/11 Mid Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Disability Allowance | Students Continue | Qualify | Total | Students Continue | Qualify | Total | Students Continue | Qualify | Total |  |
| No Disability Allowance | 2753 | $78.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ | 2753 | $83.8 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | 2462 |  |
| $81.3 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disability Allowance | 36 | $86.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $86.1 \%$ | 36 | $85.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $90.3 \%$ | 113 |  |
| Grand Total | 2789 | $78.4 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | 2789 | $83.8 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | 2575 |  |

## Ethnicity



- Black students have the highest continuation scores compared with the rest of the student population and previous years. (but numbers are quite small)

| Ethnicity | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 Mid Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | ntinue | Qualify | Total | Students | Continue | Quality | Total | Students | Continue | Qualify | Total |
| White | 2592 | 78.8\% | 4.3\% | 83.1\% | 2420 | 83.8\% | 4.5\% | 88.3\% | 2238 | 81.5\% | 4.9\% | 86.5\% |
| Asian | 70 | 74.3\% | 5.7\% | 80.0\% | 50 | 84.0\% | 8.0\% | 92.0\% | 42 | 78.6\% | 2.4\% | 81.0\% |
| Black | 62 | 62.9\% | 3.2\% | 66.1\% | 34 | 70.6\% | 5.9\% | 76.5\% | 20 | 95.0\% | 0.0\% | 95.0\% |
| Chinese | 18 | 77.8\% | 5.6\% | 83.3\% | 16 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 15 | 53.3\% | 33.3\% | 86.7\% |
| Other | 47 | 80.4\% | 6.5\% | 87.0\% | 55 | 85.5\% | 1.8\% | 87.3\% | 34 | 85.3\% | 2.9\% | 88.2\% |
| Grand Total | 2789 | 78.4\% | 4.4\% | 82.8\% | 2575 | 83.8\% | 4.5\% | 88.3\% | 2349 | 81.5\% | 5.0\% | 86.5\% |

## Appendix 4: Awards Analysis

The population for this analysis is all undergraduate Honours awards (including MEng Hons)

## Gender



The table below shows that

- A higher percentage of Females have been awarded 2:1's than Males for the last 3 years.
- A higher percentage of Males have been awarded 2:2's than Females for the last 3 years.

|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/1 |  | 2009/0 |  | 2008/9 |  | 2010/1 |  | 2009/0 |  | 2008/9 |  |
| Class | No.Stus | \% Stus | No.Stus | \% Stus | No.Stus | \% Stus | No.Stus | \% Stus | No.Stus | \% Stus | No.Stus | \% Stus |
| 1st | 118 | 15\% | 83 | 12\% | 91 | 16\% | 134 | 14\% | 111 | 13\% | 107 | 15\% |
| 2:1 | 427 | 54\% | 395 | 55\% | 304 | 52\% | 461 | 47\% | 370 | 45\% | 352 | 48\% |
| 2:2 | 216 | 28\% | 225 | 31\% | 167 | 28\% | 332 | 34\% | 307 | 37\% | 235 | 32\% |
| 3rd | 24 | 3\% | 18 | 2\% | 24 | 4\% | 45 | 5\% | 42 | 5\% | 40 | 5\% |
| Total | 785 | 100\% | 721 | 100\% | 586 | 100\% | 972 | 100\% | 830 | 100\% | 734 | 100\% |

## Age Group



- These age group differences remain fairly constant over the last 3 years.
- The two older age groups have a greater percentage of $1^{\text {st }}$ than the younger age groups.

|  | $18-20$ |  |  |  | $21-24$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $2010 / 1$ |  |  | $2009 / 0$ |  | $2008 / 9$ | $2010 / 1$ | $2009 / 0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 25-29 |  |  | OVER 30 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/1 | 2009/0 | 2008/9 | 2010/1 | 2009/0 | 2008/9 |
| Class | No. Stus \% Stus | No. Stus \% Stus | No. Stus \% Stus | No. Stus \% Stus | No. Stus \% Stus | No. Stus \% Stus |
| 1st | 49 21\% | 46 24\% | 38 25\% | 39 28\% | 22 19\% | 30 27\% |
| 2:1 | 111 48\% | 90 47\% | 69 46\% | 56 41\% | 55 47\% | 47 42\% |
| 2:2 | 63 27\% | 50 26\% | 38 25\% | 40 29\% | 38 32\% | 26 23\% |
| 3rd | 7 3\% | 4 2\% | 5 3\% | 3 2\% | 3 3\% | 10 9\% |
| Total | 230 100\% | $190 \quad 100 \%$ | 150 100\% | 138 100\% | 118 100\% | 113 100\% |

## Disclosed Disability



- There are slight variations year on year but this is probably explained by the small numbers involved.

|  | Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  | No Disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/1 |  | 2009/0 |  | 2008/9 |  |  | 2010/1 |  | 2009/0 |  |  | 2008/9 |  |  |
| Class | No. Stus | \% Stus | No. Stus \% | \% Stus | No. | Stus \% | \% Stus | No. Stus | \% Stus |  | Stus \% | \% Stus | No. | Stus | \% Stus |
| 1st | 10 | 16\% | 4 | 9\% |  | 11 | 15\% | 242 | 14\% |  | 190 | 13\% |  | 187 | 15\% |
| 2:1 | 34 | 56\% | 25 | 57\% |  | 38 | 51\% | 854 | 50\% |  | 740 | 49\% |  | 616 | 50\% |
| 2:2 | 16 | 26\% |  | 34\% |  |  | 31\% | 532 | 31\% |  | 517 | 34\% |  | 378 | 30\% |
| 3rd | 1 | 2\% |  | 0\% |  | 3 | 4\% | 68 | 4\% |  | 60 | 4\% |  | 61 | 5\% |
| Total | 61 | 100\% | 44 | 100\% |  | 75 | 100\% | 1696 | 100\% |  | 1507 | 100\% |  | 1242 | 100\% |

## Ethnicity



- The percentage of White students gaining a $1^{\text {st }}$ has remained relatively even over the last few years. However the percentage of BME students gaining a $1^{\text {st }}$ is quite variable but this could simply be a result of small population numbers

|  | White |  |  |  |  |  | BME |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010/1 |  | 2009/0 |  | 2008/9 |  | 2010/1 |  | 2009/0 |  | 2008/9 |  |
| Class | No. Stus | \% Stus | No. Stus | \% Stus | No. Stus | \% Stus | No. Stus | \% Stus | No. Stus | \% Stus | No. Stus | \% Stus |
| 1st | 231 | 16\% | 185 | 14\% | 177 | 16\% | 21 | 6\% | 8 | 3\% | 13 | 7\% |
| 2:1 | 754 | 54\% | 675 | 52\% | 564 | 52\% | 134 | 38\% | 87 | 36\% | 65 | 36\% |
| 2:2 | 392 | 28\% | 407 | 31\% | 298 | 28\% | 156 | 44\% | 123 | 51\% | 83 | 45\% |
| 3rd | 24 | 2\% | 38 | 3\% | 37 | 3\% | 45 | 13\% | 22 | 9\% | 22 | 12\% |
| Total | 1401 | 100\% | 1305 | 100\% | 1076 | 100\% | 356 | 100\% | 240 | 100\% | 183 | 100\% |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Equality Challenge Unit: Equality in higher education: Statistical report 2012. Part 2: Students pp38-45. To be made available to download from www.ecu.ac.uk/publications .

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid, p96
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid, p139
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid, p48

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid, p49

