

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis together with work contained herein was produced entirely by myself, and contains no materials that have been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university. To the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgment to others has been made.

Signature: .....

Salem A. Abukersh

# ABSTRACT

Sustainable development is gaining popularity around the globe nowadays. Governments are under pressure, on many fronts, to embed sustainable development in policies, practice, and operations to secure the planet's future. Adding to this, increased populations, and the need for more infrastructures, have unfortunately led to the unacceptable depletion of raw materials, increasing amounts of construction and demolition waste (C&DW) and accelerated deterioration of the natural environment in many places worldwide.

For the conservation of natural resources, reuse and recycling of C&DW is the most obvious way to achieve sustainability in the construction sector. Currently, recycled aggregate (RA) is produced from C&DW in modern recycling facilities, under good quality control provisions which could lead to improve its performance compared with the earlier days of recycling. In addition to C&DW, large amounts of industrial and mining by-products such as fly ash, slag, limestone powders, aggregate dust, *etc.* are dumped in landfills. Fly ash has been used successfully in concrete for a long time due to its numerous advantages across a wide range of properties, including aspects of durability. A concrete produced with the combination of PFA and RA *i.e.* recycled aggregate concrete (RAC) is obviously more sustainable and economical than conventional natural aggregate concrete (NAC).

To date, statistics show that a considerable proportion of the world's RA is used for low-utility applications due to perceived risks and uncertainty over their performance formed as a result of previous history of use when RA was produced manually and low strength cement and higher water to cement ratios were used. Despite the advances in recycling, materials and concreting technologies, this impression prevails. However, to increase the use of RA, it is believed that the quality of RAC should be improved by chemical and mineral additives. For cost effectiveness, quality-improving additives should be abundant, safe, and inexpensive; PFA and new generation polymer-based superplasticizer (SP) are deemed to be a good option.

The aims of this study are to investigate the possibility of producing good quality RAC that could be used as a substitute for NAC in normal strength concrete members, and to study its fundamental properties. An attempt has been made to create superplasticized RAC concretes, in which new generation polymer-based SP and PFA produced to the latest European standards were used. PFA was used to partially replace fine aggregate and cement in ordinary and self-compacting concretes. The thesis also includes an investigation into the potential of utilising an aggregate by-product (red granite dust (RGD) in producing environmentally beneficial RAC.

The findings show that good performance RAC can be produced with the help of SP and PFA. The study also revealed that it is possible to utilise RGD to substitute up to 30% of cement without substantially influencing the performance of concrete, while also providing cost savings. Strengths and stiffnesses of the ensuing RAC either with SP, PFA, or RGD were comparable, or better than, a wide range of counterpart NACs. The author's produced RAC concretes *can* replace NAC concrete used unnecessarily for many applications including structural concrete.

# DEDICATION

This study has been started in September 2005 under the guidance and supervision of Dr Fouad Khalaf who sadly passed away on 26 June 2008.

During the course of the study, Dr Khalaf had given me so much invaluable advice, encouragement, and support; the author is indeed indebted to him. This work is dedicated to Dr Khalaf's spirit, meanwhile asking Allah (God) to be kind and merciful with him on the day of judgment.

The author  
S. Abukersh

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep gratitude and sincere appreciation to the supervision team: Dr Ian Smith, Professor Charlie Fairfield and Dr David Reid for their help and advice. In particular, a very big thank you to Professor Fairfield for his invaluable advice and comments on the text of the thesis.

Thanks are also extended to all staff members of the School of Engineering and the Built Environment at Edinburgh Napier University, in particular, the technical staff of the concrete laboratory. Special gratitude is expressed to Willie Laing and Alan Barber. Thanks also to Bill Brownlee, of the advanced material laboratory for his assistance in producing the scanning electron micrographs.

I would also like to thank both Bowhill recycling plant in Fife and the Cloburn Quarry in Lanark for providing access to their sites and also for supplying the materials used in this study. Thanks are also due to ScotAsh Company and Fosroc, UK, for supplying the fly ash (PFA) and superplasticizers (SPs) respectively.

Deep gratitude is expressed to my lovely wife, Fatma for her unlimited patience, encouragement, and love. I am deeply indebted to her continuous support and extraordinary efforts to take the full responsibility of all shopping and home duties including supporting our four kids, Safa, Ahmed, Samah, and Malek. My sincere gratitude also goes to my great parents, brothers, and sisters who always wished me every success.

# CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Contents	v
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xvi
List of abbreviations	xxi

## CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	BACKGROUND.....	1
	a. Recycled aggregate.....	1
	b. Pulverized fuel ash in concrete.....	3
	c. The use of superplasticizers in concrete.....	5
1.2	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	6
1.3	GOOD QUALITY RA.....	7
1.4	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY.....	7
1.5	STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS.....	8

## CHAPTER 2 MOTIVATION FOR THE RESEARCH: RECYCLING AND CONCRETING ASPECTS

2.1	BACKGROUND.....	11
2.2	SCALE OF WASTE MATERIALS AND THE NEED FOR.....	13
	RECYCLING	
	a. General.....	13
	b. Construction and demolition waste.....	15
	c. Fly ash .....	21
	d. Red granite dust.....	23
2.3	PROCESSING OF C&DW MATERIALS	24
	a. Bowhill aggregate recycling facility.....	27
	i. Types of equipment in Bowhill facility.....	27
	ii. Recycling process at Bowhill facility .....	29
2.4	FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO STRENGTH AND PERFORMANCE	32

	OF RAC	
	a. Water to cement ratio.....	32
	b. Blending RA with good quality NA.....	33
	c. Use of mineral admixtures.....	33
	d. Use of chemical admixtures.....	34
	e. Use of higher cement content.....	35
2.5	THE NEED FOR MORE RESEARCH WORK ON RAC .....	35

### **CHAPTER 3 LITERATURE REVIEW**

3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	38
3.2	THE USE OF RA IN NEW CONCRETE: A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE	38
3.3	IMPURITIES IN RECYCLED AGGREGATES.....	41
	a. Mortar.....	42
	b. Bitumen.....	42
	c. Gypsum.....	43
	d. Organic materials.....	44
	e. Chlorides and sulphates.....	44
	f. Glass.....	45
3.4	PHYSICAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN RECYCLED AND NATURAL AGGREGATES	45
3.5	CLASSIFICATION OF RECYCLED AGGREGATES.....	48
3.6	BARRIERS TO RECYCLING.....	51
3.7	ECONOMICS OF RECYCLING.....	53
3.8	PREVIOUS RESEARCH ON THE PROPERTIES OF RAC.....	55
	a. Workability, strength and durability of RAC.....	55
	b. Stress-strain relationship of RAC.....	59
3.9	UTILISATION OF PFA IN CONCRETE.....	62
	a. General.....	62
	b. PFA-cement reaction and strength development.....	66
	c. PFA and the heat of hydration.....	67
	d. The use of PFA in concrete.....	68
	i. PFA replacing cement.....	68
	ii. PFA replacing fine aggregate.....	73
	iii. PFA replacement level.....	77

3.10	CLASSIFICATION OF CONCRETE.....	80
3.11	SUMMARY.....	81
<b>CHAPTER 4 HYPOTHESIS, METHODOLOGY AND MATERIAL CHARACTERISATION</b>		
4.1	BACKGROUND.....	85
4.2	HYPOTHESIS.....	85
4.3	METHODOLOGY.....	86
4.4	MATERIALS USED IN THE STUDY.....	86
	a. Natural aggregate.....	86
	b. Recycled aggregate.....	86
	c. Fine aggregate.....	87
	d. Cement.....	87
	e. Pulverized fuel ash.....	87
	f. Superplasticizers.....	87
	g. Red granite dust.....	88
	h. Water.....	89
4.5	MATERIAL TESTING.....	90
	a. Testing of aggregate.....	90
	i. Grading of coarse aggregate.....	91
	ii. Grading of fine aggregate.....	92
	iii. Impurities in RA.....	94
	iv. Aggregate impact value.....	96
	v. Unit weight of aggregate.....	97
	vi. Porosity of aggregate.....	99
	vii. Drying of aggregate and absorption capacity of aggregate.....	101
	b. Testing cement, PFA, and RGD.....	103
	i. Wet sieve analysis of cement.....	103
	ii. Wet sieve analysis of PFA.....	103
	iii. Wet sieve analysis of RGD.....	104
	iv. Chemical analysis of cement, PFA and RGD.....	104
	c. Scanning electron microscopy of cement, PFA, and RGD samples	106
	i. SEM of cement.....	107
	ii. SEM of PFA.....	108
	iii. SEM of RGD.....	109
4.6	DESIGN OF CONCRETE MIXES.....	110

4.7	SELECTION OF SP TYPE.....	111
	a. General.....	111
	b. Setting times of the binder paste.....	111
	c. Influence of SP and PFA on water demand of the paste.....	116
	d. Slump loss of RAC mixes.....	118
4.8	SELECTION OF APPROPRIATE WATER REDUCTION FOR CERTAIN SP.....	124
	a. Slump of control mix (0%WR).....	126
	b. Slump measurements for 15% WR.....	127
	c. Slump measurements for 20% WR.....	128
	d. Slump measurements for 25% WR.....	128
	e. Relationship between water reduction and slump.....	129
4.9	SUMMARY.....	132

## **CHAPTER 5 RECYCLED AGGREGATE CONCRETE MADE WITH SUPERPLASTICIZER**

5.1	BACKGROUND.....	134
5.2	SOME CONCRETE ASPECTS: AN OVERVIEW.....	135
	a. Modes of failure of concrete elements.....	135
	b. Compressive strength.....	136
	c. Splitting tensile strength.....	136
	d. Flexural strength.....	138
	e. Modulus of elasticity.....	139
	f. RAC: the use of SPs.....	141
5.3	RAC PRODUCED WITH SUPERPLASTICIZERS.....	141
	a. Compressive strength.....	143
	b. Splitting tensile strength.....	146
	c. Density of hardened concrete.....	149
5.4	Mixes made with blended aggregates.....	1
5.5	SUMMARY.....	151

## **CHAPTER 6 RECYCLED AGGREGATE CONCRETE MADE WITH PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF FINE AGGREGATE BY PFA**

6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	153
6.2	PROPERTIES OF RAC MADE WITH PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF FINE AGGREGATE WITH PFA.....	154



a.	General: superplasticizers, PFA and cement.....	154
b.	RAC concrete mixes.....	155
i.	Workability of fresh concrete.....	158
ii.	Compressive strength.....	161
iii.	Splitting tensile strength.....	169
c.	Density of hardened concrete.....	171
6.3	SUMMARY.....	172

## **CHAPTER 7 RAC PRODUCED BY CEMENT REPLACEMENT**

7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	175
7.2	RAC CONCRETE PRODUCED WITH PFA PARTIALLY REPLACING CEMENT	176
a.	General.....	176
b.	Selection of the best mixing option.....	176
i.	Workability of no PFA mixes.....	178
ii.	Compressive, tensile, and flexural strength of no PFA mixes	178
iii.	Selection of the best mixing option.....	180
c.	Concrete mixes with PFA replacing cement.....	181
i.	General.....	181
ii.	Workability of concrete when PFA replaces cement.....	183
iii.	Compressive strength of concrete when PFA replaces cement	183
iv.	Tensile strength of concrete when PFA replaces cement.....	186
v.	Modulus of elasticity of concrete when PFA replaces cement	190
vi.	Modulus of elasticity of concrete when PFA replaces cement estimated by other empirical methods	197
vii.	Density and pulse velocity of concrete when PFA replaces cement	198
viii.	Relationship between density and compressive strength	200
7.3	RAC CONCRETE PRODUCED WITH RGD PARTIALLY REPLACING CEMENT	202
a.	Introduction.....	202
b.	Selection of the best RGD cement replacement level.....	204
i.	Workability of mixes used for selection of optimal RGD cement replacement level	205
ii.	Mechanical properties of mixes used for selection of optimal RGD cement replacement level	207
iii.	Density and pulse velocity of mixes used for selection of	210

	optimal RGD cement replacement level	
c.	Concrete mixes with RGD replacing cement.....	213
i.	General.....	213
ii.	Workability of concrete mixes without and with 30% RGD replacing cement	214
iii.	Compressive, tensile, and flexural strength of concrete with 30% RGD replacing cement	216
iv.	Modulus of elasticity of concrete made with 30% RGD	220
d.	Comparison between PFA and RGD concrete .....	223
i.	Comparison between PFA and RGD concrete on the basis of strengths and elasticity modulus	223
ii.	Comparison of the measured strength and estimated mechanical properties of concrete made by PFA and RGD	227
7.4	SUMMARY.....	229
<b>CHAPTER 8 RECYCLED SELF-COMPACTING CONCRETE MADE WITH PFA OR RGD</b>		
8.1	INTRODUCTION.....	233
8.2	OBJECTIVES OF THE INVESTIGATION.....	233
8.3	SCC: A REVIEW.....	234
a.	Published literature.....	234
b.	British Standard and EFNARC guidelines for SCC.....	238
i.	British Standards and Concrete Society practice.....	238
ii.	EFNARC Guidelines.....	238
8.4	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION: RECYCLED SCC.....	239
	PRODUCTION	
a.	General.....	239
b.	Testing methods.....	240
i.	Slump flow.....	240
ii.	Spreading times of concrete ( $T_{500}$ and $T_{final}$ ).....	242
iii.	L-box test.....	242
iv.	Trial mixes and fresh concrete results.....	246
v.	Mechanical properties of RAC-SCC concrete.....	257
8.5	SUMMARY.....	260
<b>CHAPTER 9 COMMENTS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		
9.1	GENERAL.....	261
9.2	COMMENTS.....	261

9.3	CONCLUSIONS.....	263
9.4	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	266
	REFERENCES.....	268
	APPENDIXES.....	283

## List of Figures

### CHAPTER 1

Figure 1.1	Thesis structure.....	10
------------	-----------------------	----

### CHAPTER 2

Figure 2.1	Source of weakness of RA.....	12
Figure 2.2	Life cycle of construction materials.....	13
Figure 2.3	Samples of buildings under demolition in Edinburgh.....	14
Figure 2.4	Tuning C&DW to recycled aggregate of different sizes.....	16
Figure 2.5	The variability in shape, texture and origin of the RA.....	16
Figure 2.6	Waste arising in the UK.....	17
Figure 2.7	Estimated annual UK arisings (Defra 2009).....	18
Figure 2.8	Utilisation of PFA in the construction industry in Europe in 2004	22
Figure 2.9	Estimated total quantities of mining and quarrying by-products in the UK	24
Figure 2.10	Fixed recycling facility.....	25
Figure 2.11	Processing of demolition wastes.....	26
Figure 2.12	Aggregate screen.....	28
Figure 2.13	Powerscrub 120R crushing machine.....	29
Figure 2.14	Removing fines by washing with water.....	30
Figure 2.15	Bowhill produced RA.....	30
Figure 2.16	Red granite (NA).....	31
Figure 2.17	Production plant for recycled aggregates (Kiyoshi 2007).....	31
Figure 2.18	Factors contributing to increased RAC strength and performance	32

### CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1	Typical stress-strain ( $\sigma$ - $\epsilon$ ) curves of RAC.....	60
Figure 3.2	Variation of compressive strength with the level of PFA and age of curing	69

Figure 3.3	Compressive strength of NAC concrete with various levels of PFA	70
Figure 3.4	Compressive strength ratio versus fine aggregate replacement with fly ash	74

#### CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.1	Cloburn quarry near Lanark.....	89
Figure 4.2	Grading of natural coarse granite aggregate.....	92
Figure 4.3	Grading of recycled coarse aggregate.....	92
Figure 4.4	Grading of fine concrete aggregate.....	93
Figure 4.5	Impurities in the RA.....	94
Figure 4.6	Density testing apparatus (weight-in-air, weight-in-water..... method	99
Figure 4.7	Porosity testing apparatus.....	100
Figure 4.8	Drying of NA over time.....	102
Figure 4.9	Drying of RA over time.....	102
Figure 4.10	SEM image of cement specimen.....	107
Figure 4.11	Spectrum of the energy of characteristic X-rays emitted from the cement specimen	107
Figure 4.12	SEM image of PFA specimen.....	108
Figure 4.13	Spectrum of the energy of characteristic X-rays emitted from the PFA specimen	109
Figure 4.14	SEM image of RGD specimen.....	109
Figure 4.15	Spectrum of the energy of characteristic X-rays emitted from the RGD specimen	110
Figure 4.16	Influence of SP type on binder's IST .....	114
Figure 4.17	Influence of SP type on binder's FST .....	114
Figure 4.18	IST of mixes at different level of PFA and SP with respect to standard mix	115
Figure 4.19	IST of mixes at different level of PFA and SP with respect to standard mix	116
Figure 4.20	Influence of SP type and PFA on water demand of binder paste	117
Figure 4.21	Influence of SP type on slump loss at 30 minutes for mixes with 50% PFA replacement	122
Figure 4.22	Influence of PFA content on the rate of slump loss of NAC made SP A	123
Figure 4.23	Influence of PFA content on the rate of slump loss of RAC made with SP A	123
Figure 4.24	Slump against SP relationship for control mix (0% WR).....	127

Figure 4.25	Slump against SP relationship for control mix (15% WR).....	127
Figure 4.26	Slump against SP relationship for control mix (20% WR).....	128
Figure 4.27	Slump against SP relationship for control mix (25% WR).....	129
Figure 4.28	Relationship between slump and WR for 0.6% SP.....	130
Figure 4.29	Relationship between slump and WR for 0.8% SP.....	130
Figure 4.30	Relationship between slump and WR for 1.0% SP.....	130
Figure 4.31	Relationship between slump and WR for 1.2% SP.....	131
Figure 4.32	Relationship between slump and WR for 1.4% SP.....	131

## CHAPTER 5

Figure 5.1	Loading arrangement for tensile splitting strength.....	137
Figure 5.2	Loading of a cube for tensile splitting testing (L) and cube alignment (R)	137
Figure 5.3	Arrangement of loading test piece (two point loading).....	139
Figure 5.4	Concrete cubes prepared for modulus of elasticity test.....	140
Figure 5.5	Development of compressive strength of RAC as compared to NAC concrete	144
Figure 5.6	Compressive strength for NAC and RAC mixes produced with SP type A	145
Figure 5.7	Splitting tensile strength for NAC and RAC mixes of different grades	146
Figure 5.8	Fracture surface of samples (a) NAC 40-A and (b) RAC 40-A	147
Figure 5.9	Fracture surface of samples (a) NAC 50-A and (b) RAC 50-A	148
Figure 5.10	Fracture surface of samples (a) NAC 60-A and (b) RAC 60-A	148
Figure 5.11	Fracture surface of NA50-RA50 (blended aggregate concrete)	151

## CHAPTER 6

Figure 6.1a	Development of compressive strength of RAC with PFA replacing fine aggregate at different levels (SP A used) compared to the control mix	163
Fig. 6.1b	Variation of the 28 day compressive strength of RAC with PFA content	163
Figure 6.2	Influence of PFA level in sand on compressive strength ratio	164
Figure 6.3	Compressive strength of RAC concrete produced with different PFA levels and SP A at early (7 days) and later age (90 days)	165
Figure 6.4	Compressive strength of RAC concretes produced with 50% PFA and different SP types compared with the control mix	165

Figure 6.5	The 28 day compressive strengths of concrete produced with different PFA contents (SP A used)	166
Figure 6.6	Influence of PFA content on the compressive strength of concrete	166
Figure 6.7	Compressive strength of RAC concrete as compared with mix design values	167
Figure 6.8	The shape of crushed RAC and NAC concrete samples.....	168
Figure 6.9	The 28 day tensile strengths produced with different levels of PFA and SP A	170
Figure 6.10	Influence of PFA content on the density of concrete.....	172

## CHAPTER 7

Figure 7.1	Beams and cubes kept in water tank at $20 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	179
Figure 7.2	Compressive strength of NAC mixes with PFA at different ages compared to control mixes	184
Figure 7.3	Compressive strength of RAC mixes with PFA at different ages compared to control mixes	185
Figure 7.4	Comparison of compressive strength of concrete with PFA at different ages	185
Figure 7.5	Tensile strength of RAC with and without PFA compared to control mixes	187
Figure 7.6	Tensile splitting and flexural strength of mixes (NAC and RAC) with PFA compared to control mixes	187
Figure 7.7	Fracture surfaces of concrete samples	188
Figure 7.8	A stack subjected to two cycles of loading controlled by a computer before crushing	191
Figure 7.9	Testing for the concrete modulus of elasticity: a sample under compression up to failure	192
Figure 7.10	Typical stress-strain curve for NAC specimen (NAC-CM)	194
Figure 7.11	Typical stress-strain curve for RAC specimen (RAC-CM)	194
Figure 7.12	Influence of PFA cement replacement on the bulk density of NAC concrete	199
Figure 7.13	Influence of PFA cement replacement on the bulk density of RAC concrete	200
Figure 7.14	Density of RAC mixes with admixtures at different ages against the corresponding compressive strength	201
Figure 7.15	RGD, cement and fly ash samples .....	203
Figure 7.16	Effect of RGD content on workability of NAC mixes	206
Figure 7.17	Concrete cubes with different RGD content.....	208
Figure 7.18	Compressive strength of RGD concretes at 28 days	209

Figure 7.19	Compressive strength of different RGD concretes at different ages	209
Figure 7.20	Tensile strength of different RGD concretes at different ages	210
Figure 7.21	Variation of concrete bulk density with binder RGD content	211
Figure 7.22	Variation of pulse velocity of concrete with the level of RGD cement substitution	212
Figure 7.23	Surface finish of RGD compared to cement and PFA concrete	216
Figure 7.24	Fracture surface of concrete samples with 30% RGD substitution	217
Figure 7.25	Comparison of compressive strength of mixes with RGD at different ages	218
Figure 7.26	Development of compressive strength of concrete mixes made with 30% RGD	219
Figure 7.27	Stress-strain curve for RGD specimen (NAC-30RGD)	221
Figure 7.28	Stress-strain curve for RGD specimen (RAC-30RGD)	222
Figure 7.29	Comparison of 28 day compressive strength of mixes with PFA and RGD	224
Figure 7.30	Comparison of tensile splitting strength of mixes with PFA and RGD and the 28 day control mix	224
Figure 7.31	Comparison of flexural strength of mixes with PFA and RGD and the 28 day control mix	225
Figure 7.32	Comparison of modulus of elasticity of mixes with PFA and RGD and the 28 day control mix	225

## **CHAPTER 8**

Figure 8.1	Dimensions and marking of the base plate (EFNARC 2005)	241
Figure 8.2	Slump cone located on the inner circle above the base plate	241
Figure 8.3	Dimensions and typical design of L-box (EFNARC 2005)	243
Figure 8.4	L-box apparatus.....	244
Figure 8.5	Concrete flow into the bottom tank of the L-box.....	245
Figure 8.6	Blockages behind reinforcing bars.....	245
Figure 8.7	Concrete failing the flow test.....	248
Figure 8.8	Failed mixes due to segregation between coarse aggregate and cement paste although the mix passed the flow test	250
Figure 8.9	Measuring flow diameter of a successful SCC mix.....	250
Figure 8.10	Concretes failing to complete an L-box test having passed the flow test	251
Figure 8.11	Samples of concrete successfully passing slump flow test	252

Figure 8.12	Samples of concrete successfully passing slump flow and L-box tests	253
Figure 8.13	Slump against time.....	256
Figure 8.14	Flow diameter against time.....	256
Figure 8.15	Fracture surface of SCC samples.....	259

## List of Tables

### CHAPTER 1

Table 1.1	Increase of standard rate of landfill tax.....	2
-----------	------------------------------------------------	---

### CHAPTER 2

There are no tables in Chapter 2

### CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1	Requirements for recycled aggregates (BS 8500-2:2002).....	41
Table 3.2	Classification of recycled coarse aggregates for concrete (RILEM 1994)	49
Table 3.3	Classification of the constituents of coarse recycled aggregates (BS EN 12620:2002+A1:2008)	50
Table 3.4	Classification of RA (Vivian 2007).....	51
Table 3.5	Comparison of standards for fly ash.....	65
Table 3.6	PFA replacement level in concrete.....	79
Table 3.7	Classes of concrete based on compressive strength.....	80
Table 3.8	Concrete mixes commonly used for various type of work.....	81

### CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1	Typical sieve analysis result of NA and RA coarse aggregates	91
Table 4.2	Grading limits for coarse aggregate (from BS 882-1983).....	91
Table 4.3	Typical sieve analysis result of fine aggregate.....	93
Table 4.4	Grading limits for fine aggregate (from BS 882).....	93
Table 4.5	Average percentages of impurities present in RA.....	94
Table 4.6	Typical result for the estimation of percentage of bitumen-coated RA	95
Table 4.7	Typical impact value test for NA and RA.....	96
Table 4.8	Relative density of coarse NA.....	97
Table 4.9	Relative density of coarse RA.....	98



Table 4.10	Initial water content of aggregate samples.....	101
Table 4.11	Wet sieve analysis of Cement.....	103
Table 4.12	Wet sieve analysis of PFA.....	103
Table 4.13	Wet sieve analysis of RGD.....	104
Table 4.14	Chemical composition of cement, PFA and RGD.....	105
Table 4.15	Other properties of cement.....	105
Table 4.16	Other properties of PFA.....	105
Table 4.17	Normal consistency and setting times of binding paste with different percentages of PFA and different types of SP	113
Table 4.18	Mix proportions of standard mix for slump monitoring purpose	119
Table 4.19	Adjusted mix proportions used for slump monitoring purpose	119
Table 4.20	Influence of SP and PFA on slump loss over time of NAC and RAC mixes	121
Table 4.21	Mix proportions of standard mixes used for the selection of WR	125
Table 4.22	Slump for different SP percentage for control mix (0% WR)	126
Table 4.23	Slump for different SP percentage at 15% WR.....	127
Table 4.24	Slump for different SP percentage at 20% WR.....	128
Table 4.25	Slump for different SP percentage at 25% WR.....	128
Table 4.26	Relationship between slump and WR of trial mixes at different SP percentages	129
Table 4.27	The percentage of SP and the corresponding WR.....	131
 <b>CHAPTER 5</b>		
Table 5.1	Mixes proportions of concrete grades 40, 50 and 60.....	143
Table 5.2	Standard mixes and the successful trial mixes with SP.....	143
Table 5.3	Compressive strength of concrete mixes with SP.....	144
Table 5.4	Splitting tensile strength of concrete mixes with SP (without PFA)	146
Table 5.5	Bulk density of hardened concrete mixes with SP (without PFA)	149
Table 5.6	Strengths of blended RAC.....	151
 <b>CHAPTER 6</b>		
Table 6.1	Mixes in which PFA replaces fine aggregate, SP type and content	156
Table 6.2	Mix proportions of standard mixes.....	156
Table 6.3	Mix proportions for mixes when PFA replaces fine aggregates at different levels	157
Table 6.4	Adjusted mix proportions for mixes used to produce NAC and RAC concrete	158

Table 6.5	Amounts of SP used in the mixes.....	159
Table 6.6	Measured slump of concrete mixes.....	159
Table 6.7	Compressive strength of NAC and RAC concrete in which PFA replaces fine aggregates at different levels	161
Table 6.8	Relative compressive strengths.....	164
Table 6.9	Tensile splitting strength of NAC and RAC concrete in which PFA replaces fine aggregates at different levels	170
Table 6.10	Bulk density of hardened concrete with PFA .....	171
 <b>CHAPTER 7</b>		
Table 7.1	Concrete mixes without PFA (Mixes 1 to 8).....	177
Table 7.2	Mix proportion of standard mixes (Mixes 1 and 2).....	177
Table 7.3	Workability without PFA (Mixes 1 to 8).....	178
Table 7.4	Compressive strength of mixes without PFA (Mixes 1 to 8).....	178
Table 7.5	Tensile strength of mixes without PFA (Mixes 1 to 8).....	179
Table 7.6	Density and pulse velocity of no PFA concrete (Mixes 1 to 8)	180
Table 7.7	Concrete mixes with PFA (Mixes 9 to 12).....	182
Table 7.8	Mix proportion mixes contains 30% PFA (Mixes 9 and 10).....	182
Table 7.9	Workability of mixes with PFA replacing cement.....	183
Table 7.10	Compressive strength of mixes without and with PFA replacing cement	184
Table 7.11	Tensile splitting and flexural strength of mixes with PFA replacing cement	186
Table 7.12	Modulus of elasticity measurements for NAC specimen (NAC-CM)	193
Table 7.13	Modulus of elasticity measurements for RAC specimen (RAC-CM)	193
Table 7.14	Modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio of mixes when PFA replacing cement	195
Table 7.15	Measured and estimated $E_s$ values for NAC concrete samples	197
Table 7.16	Measured and estimated $E_s$ values for RAC concrete samples	198
Table 7.17	Density and pulse velocity of concrete made without and with PFA replacing cement	199
Table 7.18	Influence of PFA on the density and compressive strength of concrete	200
Table 7.19	Compressive strength and density of mixes.....	201
Table 7.20	Measured and estimated $F_{cu}$ values for RAC concrete samples	202
Table 7.21	Standard mixes with RGD at different levels	205

Table 7.22	Workability of mixes used to determine the best RGD percentage	205
Table 7.23	Strengths of mixes used to determine the best RGD percentage	207
Table 7.24	Density and pulse velocity of mixes used to determine the best RGD percentage	211
Table 7.25	Classification of the quality of concrete on the basis of pulse velocity	213
Table 7.26	Concrete mixes without and with 30% RGD replacing cement	214
Table 7.27	Workability of mixes with 30% RGD replacing cement	214
Table 7.28	Compressive strength of mixes with 30% RGD replacing cement and reference mixes	217
Table 7.29	Tensile splitting and flexural strength of mixes with 30% RGD replacing cement and reference mixes	218
Table 7.30	Relative compressive strength of concrete with 30% RGD.....	220
Table 7.31	Modulus of elasticity measurements for NAC-30RGD.....	221
Table 7.32	Modulus of elasticity measurements for RAC-30RGD.....	221
Table 7.33	Modulus of elasticity of mixes with RGD replacing cement	222
Table 7.34	Compressive, tensile and flexural strength and modulus of elasticity of concrete made with 30% PFA or 30% RGD	223
Table 7.35	Major components in PFA, RGD and GBFS.....	226
Table 7.36	Comparison of strength of concrete made with 30% PFA and 30% RGD	226
Table 7.37	Models used to estimate concrete properties from the compressive strength	228
Table 7.38	Modulus of elasticity $E_s$ (GPa) obtained by different models and the measured $E_s$ for NAC mixes made with PFA and RGD	228
Table 7.39	Modulus of elasticity $E_s$ (GPa) obtained by different model and the measured $E_s$ for RAC mixes	228
Table 7.40	Modulus of rupture ( $F_r$ ) obtained by different model and the measured $F_r$ for NAC mixes	229
Table 7.41	Modulus of rupture ( $F_r$ ) obtained by different model and the measured $F_r$ for RAC mixes	229
<b>CHAPTER 8</b>		
Table 8.1	Mix proportions of standard mixes for SCC (control mixes)	239
Table 8.2	Mix proportions of standard mixes for SCC with 30% PFA or RGD	239
Table 8.3	List of mixes selected to produce RAC-SCC with SP, PFA, and RGD	247
Table 8.4	Adjusted mix proportions of SCC concrete standard mixes	247
Table 8.5	The amounts of SP and WR found to meet SCC criteria for the given mix	254

Table 8.6	Mix proportions of SCC concrete (all mixes).....	254
Table 8.7	The w/c and w/b ratio of SCC mixes.....	255
Table 8.8	Workability of successful mixes of RAC-SCC.....	255
Table 8.9	Slump and flow of SCC mixes at different times.....	256
Table 8.10	Strengths of the SCC mixes.....	258

## List of abbreviations

ASR	Alkali silica reaction	PA	Passing ability of SCC
C&DW	Construction and demolition waste	RGD	Red granite dust
$C_u$	Uniformity coefficient of coarse aggregate	RD	Relative density of aggregate
EDXA	Energy dispersive X-ray analysis	PFA	Pulverised fuel ash
$E_s$	Modulus of elasticity of concrete	RAC	Recycled aggregate concrete
FST	Final setting time of the paste	SCC	Self-compacting concrete
$F_{cu}$	Cube strength of concrete	SCC-NAC	Natural aggregate self-compacting concrete
$F_{cy}$	Cylindrical strength of concrete	SCC-RAC	Recycled aggregate self-compacting concrete
$f_{ct}$	Tensile splitting strength of concrete	SEM	Scanning electron microscope
$f_r$	Flexural strength of concrete	$T_{500}$	Time taken by a concrete to spread 500 mm
GGBS	Ground granulated blast furnace slag (slag)	$T_{final}$	Time taken by a concrete to cease spreading
HSC	High strength concrete	VMA	Viscosity modifying agent
IST	Initial setting time	w/b	Water to binder ratio
NC	Normal consistency	w/c	Water to cement ratio
NAC	Natural aggregate concrete	$\mu$	Poisson's ratio