## The Routledge Research Companion to Geographies of Sex and Sexualities Section 3: Decolonizing Sexualities

# **Decolonizing Queer Epistemologies: Section Introduction**

Roberto Kulpa and Joseli Maria Silva

Since the 1960s and the publication of original works by Kuhn (1996), Feyerabend (1993), Foucault (2002), the Western European and Anglophone intellectual hemispheres have been going through a continuous change from positivist to more critical epistemologies (Carr, 1987). In the following decades these parts of the world also lived through rebellious social and cultural mobilizations that are now often called 'new social movements' - feminism, black liberation, black feminism, lesbian and gay liberation, and others. These movements made the issue of 'location' one of their primary objects of critique. For contemporary social initiatives and academic social sciences and humanities, particularly important are feminist debates about 'standpoint theory' in the 1980s (Harding, 1991; 2004; Hekman, 2004) and about 'situated knowledge' in the 1990s (Visweswaran, 1994; Haraway, 1997), along with, and developing since the 1970s, post-colonial studies (Fanon, 2008; Nandy, 1983; Said, 1994; Spivak, 1995). Among other things, they all share an interest in 'self-reflexivity', 'situated knowledge', 'politics of location', and 'critical epistemologies', an interest from which this section of the Companion to Geographies of Sex and Sexualities also stems. Although these 'alerting processes' are already decades long, we believe that the contemporary production and circulation of (scientific) knowledge, also within gender and sexuality studies, and geographies, is still affected by the 'coloniality of power' (Quijano, 2000; 2007) related to the (metaphorical and physical) place of knowledge enunciation.

As the editors and authors come from a range of geographical contexts, academic traditions, and are differently placed in relation to forms of academic privilege, we draw on these rich, diverse positionalities to revisit epistemological practices through geopolitical lenses directed at 'geographies', 'genders' and 'sexualities'. Inspiration is found in the work indicating Eurocentrism of contemporary 'social sciences' (Bhambra, 2007; Bortoluci and Jansen, 2013; Connell, 2007; Go, 2013; Oommen, 1991; Steinmetz, 2013), and other disciplines (Lal, 2005; Martinez, 2003; Wane et al., 2011; Comaroff and Comaroff, 2012; Hudson and Williams, 2004; Baber, 2002). In particular, we follow geographers, who criticized the Anglophone journals for reproducing 'the Anglophone' as '*the* canon', thus perpetuating inequality of knowledge (Aalbers, 2004; Aalbers and Rossi, 2006; Garcia-Ramon, 2003; Garcia Ramon et al., 2006; Fall and Rosière, 2008; Kitchin, 2005; Kitchin and Fuller, 2003); those who claimed that Anglophone geography gets self–centred and thus impoverished (Rodríguez-Pose, 2006; Vaiou, 2004; Whitehand, 2005); and those geographers, who have investigated the academic neoliberalism as a form of profit–making from the knowledge ownership (Berg, 2012; Best, 2009; Minca, 2000; Paasi, 2005).

The current organisation of scientific production is prone to an increasing number of encounters between researchers from around the world, due to the expansion

of communication networks, air transport and (supra)national incentives for the internationalization of intellectual labour (but mostly 'quantifiable knowledge outputs'). Consequently, the everyday life of academics is not only intersected by cultural, social or economic dynamics, but is made accountable to them, especially in terms of the neoliberal economy (think about that 'a track record of successful grant applications' requirements in the job ads, for example) (Bailey and Freedman, 2011; Collini, 2012; Farred, 2003; Raunig, 2013). We urgently need debates about the flux and exchanges between academics, to understand the risks but also possibilities of rebellion these exchanges and encounters offer to academic communities around the globe. For it is important to not only identify the 'Anglophone hegemony' in the scientific world, but – like in the project of 'decoloniality' (Bhambra, 2014; Mignolo, 2011) and 'critical pedagogy' (Freire, 2000; Giroux, 2011) – to also look for alternatives and resistance practices to these hegemonies. As the section editors, we are convinced that each of the chapters here offers such a two–step approach, and will become important reference points to working in broader 'critical gender and sexuality studies' (not just the geographies of sexualities).

### **Coloniality and the Decolonial project**

The control of language covers the different forms of colonization of epistemological beings (Fanon, 2008), for language is where knowledge is inscribed (Mignolo, 2003a). Consequently, a mastery of English as a *lingua franca* is a tool for gatekeeping and maintaining an unequal geopolitics of knowledge within Academia (Bajerski, 2011; Gutiérrez and López-Nieva, 2001; Lander, 2000; 2005; Short et al., 2001). An alternative can be inspired by the idea of 'decoloniality' (for example Grosfoguel, 2007; Mignolo, 1993; 2000; Lugones, 2007; Tlostanova and Mignolo, 2012; Quijano, 2000; 2007). The argument is that although colonialism is already history, coloniality as complex structures of interlocking economic and social axes continue to perpetuate the contemporary world. It operates on three dimensions of power (Eurocentric systems of economic and other production), knowledge (naturalization of the European thought as 'scientific') and being (through, for example, Eurocentric gendered and racialized hierarchies). Coloniality represents the dark and inseparable side of 'Modernity' (Mignolo, 2003b; Walsh, 2012): while Europe experienced what it calls 'Modernity', the conquered world has been subdued to its opposite, coloniality. In comparison with postcolonial thinkers, decolonial authors suggest the process began already with the 'discovery of Americas' in the fifteenth century, when bonds were formed between formal rationality, the aspiration to dominate the world and the emergence of a world market. These are the links that are the basis for the notion of linear progress, the superiority of white European men over nature, and capitalism as a unique framework to guide and control thought and life. Coloniality is omnipresent and sustains the mechanisms that hinder the possibilities of creating new knowledge-relationships, based on the ideas of the multiplicity and pluri-locality of knowledge(s).

The alternative path begins with an awareness of the effects and affects of the coloniality of being and knowing, hopefully leading to the promotion of social organisations that stand against the persisting inequalities of the modern world (Mignolo, 2000; 2009). Decolonial knowledge must go beyond the simple inclusion of those on the 'academic peripheries' (metaphorical and symbolic, material and geographical). In order to avoid accepting the conditions of inferiority of our knowledge, to avoid accepting the rules of the game that has been imposed on the 'non–Western world' through colonialism and coloniality, the project of decolonial knowledge demands a dedicated space for those hitherto excluded

voices to be enunciated (Tlostanova and Mignolo, 2012), a polyphony of voices, and the rebuilding of epistemological foundations of contemporary research and teaching practices.

#### Towards Queer Epistemologies / Epistemologies of Queer

The power shift of epistemic enunciation to produce non-hegemonic relationships between researchers working on genders, sexualities, and geographies, who, although they are spread globally actually co-exist on equal terms in the imagined world of academia, is necessary and will only be achieved through/in our everyday practices. We concur with Castro-Gómez (2007) and Walsh (2007), that these encounters will hopefully result in truly intercultural dialogue between scholars from around world, from the places of privilege and periphery, and will result in structures and practices that are truly inter-epistemic. Gender, sexuality and queer studies have from their inception offered critical perspectives on inequality, power, and systems of hegemony and subjugation in the 'modern world'. However, dare we play the devil's advocate role and say that the feminist and queer epistemologies we represent across many disciplines have yet to face their colonial legacy, and their mostly (Northern) American- and Eurocentrism, and Anglophone squint? A proliferation of 'post-colonial queer studies' and works attaining to geographies 'beyond the West' is not enough, if we are to take the decolonial project seriously.

As editors and authors of this section, we feel that as geographers, feminists, queer scholars, and all in/out-betweeners, we need not only to look for 'non-Western' examples of the world-wide diversity. Rather, and perhaps foremost, we must reconceptualize our own practices of 'doing knowledge'. We can start with reconsidering our citation policies: how many men over women do we cite? How many white people over other 'races'? How many Anglophone authors over those writing in other languages? We can follow by actively reconstituting our 'canons': who and what is left behind? Who is canonized as 'theorist' and who remains a mere 'informant'? As Browne (2014) does, let us think how the conditions of privilege that some producers of knowledge enjoy may be turned into elements of struggle in constructing alternative ways to overcome the cultural, political and economic barriers that prevail in contemporary networks of academic production.

In this spirit, we hope that this introduction, together with the following chapters, will provide an opportunity to open up a dialogue over the epistemic hegemonies in geographies and 'critical gender and sexuality studies', but also possible wilful resistances in our practices of producing ('queer') knowledge. The authors in their individual pieces are exploring a range of issues that are related to the epistemic considerations of what is, and who becomes 'a knowledge' within the realms of genders, sexes, sexualities, geographies, activisms and politics. Each author in their own way identifies and names the epistemic hegemonies they struggle with, each also thinks through the possible, and already present alternatives, and pathways to make them 'partners in dialogue'.

Joseli Maria Silva and Marcio Jose Ornat's attain to the economy of knowledge production in geography and sexuality studies, for instance financial limitation of access to texts or transformations of universities into for-profit corporations (the latter also highlighted by Borghi, Bourcier and Prieur). Subjecting knowledge to quantifiable outputs under the logic of neoliberal capitalism is also probed, as well as allowing business corporations (under the guise of 'academic publishing houses') to act as gatekeepers of academic credibility and thus employability.

Silva and Ornat also question the dominance of the English language, and a lack of reflection (especially among journal and book editors) that English is not only a means

and a vessel of communication knowledge, but also an active component constructing it (remember McLuhan's (1964) idea that 'the medium is the message'). Maria de Rodó Zárate ponders the usefulness of certain English concepts in other linguistic contexts, as well as the process of equivalence of English for 'international' academic debate, emptying it of the local and national, and thus universalizing English in a hegemonic erasure of its particularity.

De Rodó Zárate and Jan Simon Hutta consider how geographical location and (a lack of) institutional affiliation determines who gets to be recognized as a 'knowledge producer', and who is subdued as 'informant and data miner'. This translates onto a range of polarized hierarchies of value: theory/raw data, scientists/lay communities, queer scholars/queer activists, native English speakers/non-native English speakers, and so on. Similarly Niharika Banerjea, Katherine Browne, Leela Bakshi, and Subhagata Ghosh pay attention to the overt privileging of institutionalized forms of knowledge. They show how forms of ideas that are written down and university-institution-attached, and thus easy to quantify (and supposedly to reference) – in other words, 'academic texts' – are recognized as 'a (proper) knowledge', whereas the more elusive forms of creating, living, diffusing, collecting, archiving, embodying, and imagining of knowledge remain put down as information, examples, cases, empirical data, but hardly ever recognized as actual 'High Knowledge' and 'Theory'.

Rachele Borghi, Marie Helene Bourcier, and Charlotte Prieur, as well as Banerjea, Browne, Bakshi and Ghosh turn their critical eye on the tensions between academic and activist circles, and draw our attention to the alternative modes of 'community engagements' and dissemination practices, envisaging cross–field practices that nurture our hope for the alternatives.

Finally, the viciousness of collegial relations in 'Academia' more broadly, as well as within the feminist and queer studies, is of concern for Silva and Ornat. This is exemplified in the case of peer reviewing. Rather than being critical, reviewers could be positively engaged, entering into a dialogue *with* colleagues whose work is being reviewed. It is noted that peer reviewing turns out more often than not, to be a form of policing and gatekeeping of some imagined 'academic standard' (privilege?) that is performed *against* colleagues. Whether works are marked as 'suitable' and 'publishable' is determined by geographical location, linguistic and economic factors that are sites of unrecognized privilege on the part of the reviewing colleagues.

Kulpa, Robert and Joseli Maria Silva (2016), *Decolonizing Queer Epistemologies*, (pp. 139-42). In: Brown, Gavin and Kath Browne (eds.), "The Routledge Research Companion to Geographies of Sex and Sexualities". London: Routledge.

#### References: (paging outside of the printed layout)

- Aalbers, Manuel B. 2004. 'Creative Destruction through the Anglo-American Hegemony: A Non-Anglo-American View on Publications, Referees and Language'. *Area* 36 (3): 319–22. doi:10.1111/j.0004-0894.2004.00229.x.
- Aalbers, Manuel B., and Ugo Rossi. 2006. 'Beyond the Anglo-American Hegemony in Human Geography: A European Perspective'. *GeoJournal* 67 (2): 137–47. doi:10.1007/s10708-007-9041-8.
- Baber, Zaheer. 2002. 'Orientalism, Occidentalism, Nativism: The Culturalist Quest for Indigenous Science and Knowledge'. *The European Legacy* 7 (6): 747–58. doi:10.1080/1084877022000029037.
- Bailey, Michael, and Des Freedman, eds. 2011. The Assault on Universities. A Manifesto for Resistance. London; New York, NY: Pluto Press. http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN =434975.
- Bajerski, Artur. 2011. 'The Role of French, German and Spanish Journals in Scientific Communication in International Geography'. Area 43 (3): 305–13. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4762.2010.00989.x.
- Berg, Lawrence D. 2012. 'Knowledge Enclosure, Accumulation by Dispossession, and the Academic Publishing Industry'. *Political Geography* 31 (5): 260–62. doi:10.1016/j.polgeo.2012.05.011.
- Best, Ulrich. 2009. 'The Invented Periphery: Constructing Europe in Debates About" Anglo Hegemony" in Geography'. *Social Geography* 4 (1): 83–91.
- Bhambra, Gurminder K. 2007. *Rethinking Modernity: Postcolonialism and the Sociological Imagination*. London: Palgrave.
- Bhambra, Gurminder K. 2014. 'Postcolonial and Decolonial Dialogues'. *Postcolonial Studies* 17 (2): 115–21. doi:10.1080/13688790.2014.966414.
- Bortoluci, José H., and Robert S. Jansen. 2013. 'Toward a Postcolonial Sociology: The View from Latin America'. *Political Power and Social Theory* 24 (February): 199–229. doi:10.1108/S0198-8719(2013)0000024014.
- Browne, Katherine. 2014. 'Contestando O Privilégio Anglo-Americano Na Produção Do Conhecimento Em Geografias Das Sexualidades E De Gênero'. In *Interseccionalidades, Gênero E Sexualidades Na Análise Espacial*, edited by Joseli Maria Silva and Maria das Graças Silva Nascimento e Silva, 135–56. Ponta Grossa: Todapalavra.
- Carr, Edward Hallett. 1987. What Is History? 2nd ed. Penguin Books.
- Castro-Gómez, Santiago. 2007. 'Decolonizar La Universidad. La Hybris Del Punto Cero Y El Diálogo De Saberes'. In *El Giro Decolonial: Reflexiones Para Una Diversidad Epistémica Más Allá Del Capitalismo Global*, edited by Santiago Castro-Gómez and Ramón Grosfoguel, 79–91. Bogotá, D.C.: Siglo del Hombre Editores : Universidad Central, Instituto de Estudios Sociales Contemporáneos, IESCO-UC : Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Instituto de Estudios Sociales y Culturales, Pensar.
- Collini, Stefan. 2012. What Are Universities For? London; New York: Penguin.
- Comaroff, Jean, and John L. Comaroff. 2012. 'Theory From the South: Or, How Euro-America Is Evolving Toward Africa'. *Anthropological Forum* 22 (2): 113–31. doi:10.1080/00664677.2012.694169.
- Connell, Raewyn. 2007. Southern Theory: The Global Dynamics of Knowledge in Social Science. Cambridge: Polity.
- Fall, Juliet, and Stéphane Rosière. 2008. 'On the Limits of Dialogue Between Francophone and Anglophone Political Geography'. *Political Geography* 27 (7): 713–16.

Kulpa, Robert and Joseli Maria Silva (2016), *Decolonizing Queer Epistemologies*, (pp. 139-42). In: Brown, Gavin and Kath Browne (eds.), "The Routledge Research Companion to Geographies of Sex and Sexualities". London: Routledge.

doi:10.1016/j.polgeo.2008.08.003.

- Fanon, Frantz. 2008. Black Skin, White Masks. [First Ed: 1967]. New York: Grove Press.
- Farred, Grant. 2003. 'Reconfiguring the Humanities and the Social Sciences in the Age of the Global University: Introduction'. *Nepantla: Views from South* 4 (1): 41–50.
- Feyerabend, Paul K. 1993. *Against Method: Outline of an Anarchistic Theory of Knowledge*. 3rd Revised edition. Verso Books.
- Foucault, Michel. 2002. *The Order of Things : An Archaeology of the Human Sciences*. Routledge Classics. London; New York: Routledge.
- Freire, Paulo. 2000. Pedagogy of the Oppressed. London New York: Continuum.
- Garcia-Ramon, Maria-Dolors. 2003. 'Globalization and International Geography: The Questions of Languages and Scholarly Traditions'. *Progress in Human Geography* 27 (1): 1–5. doi:10.1191/0309132503ph409xx.
- Garcia Ramon, Maria Dolors, Kirsten Simonsen, and Dina Vaiou. 2006. 'Guest Editorial: Does Anglophone Hegemony Permeate Gender, Place and Culture?' *Gender, Place & Culture* 13 (1): 1–5. doi:10.1080/09663690500530867.
- Giroux, Henry A. 2011. On Critical Pedagogy. London New York: Continuum.
- Go, Julian. 2013. Postcolonial Sociology. Emerald Group Publishing.
- Grosfoguel, Ramón. 2007. 'The Epistemic Decolonial Turn'. *Cultural Studies* 21 (2): 211–23. doi:10.1080/09502380601162514.
- Gutiérrez, Javier, and Pedro López-Nieva. 2001. 'Are International Journals of Human Geography Really International?' *Progress in Human Geography* 25 (1): 53–69. doi:10.1191/030913201666823316.
- Haraway, Donna Jeanne. 1997. *Modest-Witness@Second-Millennium.FemaleMan\_Meets\_OncoMouse: Feminism and Technoscience.* New York: Routledge.
- Harding, Sandra. 1991. Whose Science? Whose Knowledge?: Thinking from Women's Lives. Cornell University Press.
  - ———. 2004. 'Introduction: Standpoint Theory as a Site of Political, Philosophic, and Scientific Debate.' In *The Feminist Standpoint Theory Reader : Intellectual and Political Controversies*, edited by Sandra Harding. New York, NY [u.a.]: Routledge.
- Hekman, Susan. 2004. 'Truth and Method: Feminist Standpoint Theory Revisited'. In *The Feminist Standpoint Theory Reader : Intellectual and Political Controversies*, edited by Sandra Harding. New York, NY [u.a.]: Routledge.
- Hudson, Ray, and Allan M. Williams. 2004. 'European Voices: Towards the Internationalization of Academic Discourse'. *European Urban and Regional Studies* 11 (4): 355–56. doi:10.1177/0969776404046267.
- Kitchin, Rob. 2005. 'Commentary: Disrupting and Destabilizing Anglo-American and English-Language Hegemony in Geography'. *Social & Cultural Geography* 6 (1): 1–15. doi:10.1080/1464936052000335937.
- Kitchin, Rob, and Duncan Fuller. 2003. 'Making the "Black Box" Transparent: Publishing and Presenting Geographic Knowledge'. *Area* 35 (3): 313–15.
- Kuhn, T. S. 1996. *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. New ed of 3 Revised ed. Chicago University Press.
- Lal, Vinay. 2005. *Empire of Knowledge: Culture And Plurality in the Global Economy*. 2nd Revised Version. New Delhi: Vistaar.
- Lander, Edgardo. 2000. 'Eurocentrism and Colonialism in Latin American Social Thought'. *Nepantla: Views from South* 1 (3): 519–32.

 
 —. 2005. 'Ciências Sociais: Saberes Coloniais E Eurocêntricos'. In A Colonialidade Do Saber: Eurocentrismo E Ciências Sociais, edited by Edgardo Lander, 21–54. Buenos Aires: Consejo Latinoamericano de Ciências Sociais.

Lugones, Maria. 2007. 'Heterosexualism and the Colonial/modern Gender System'. Hypatia 22 (1):

Kulpa, Robert and Joseli Maria Silva (2016), Decolonizing Queer Epistemologies, (pp. 139-42). In: Brown, Gavin and

Kath Browne (eds.), "The Routledge Research Companion to Geographies of Sex and Sexualities". London: Routledge. 186–219.

- Martinez, Jacqueline M. 2003. 'On the Possibility of the Latino Postcolonial Intellectual'. *Nepantla: Views from South* 4 (2): 253–56.
- McLuhan, Marshall. 1964. Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Mignolo, Walter D. 1993. 'Colonial and Postcolonial Discourse: Cultural Critique or Academic Colonialism?' *Latin American Research Review* 28 (3): 120–34. doi:10.2307/2503613.
  ——. 2000. Local Histories/Global Designs: Coloniality, Subaltern Knowledges, and Border

*Thinking*. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press.

- - ———., ed. 2003b. 'Second Thoughts on the Darker Side of the Renaissance: Afterword to the Second Edition'. In *The Darker Side of the Renaissance: Literacy, Territoriality, and Colonization*, 2nd ed., 428–33. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- ———. 2011. 'Epistemic Disobedience and the Decolonial Option: A Manifesto'. *TRANSMODERNITY: Journal of Peripheral Cultural Production of the Luso-Hispanic World* 1 (2): 44–66.
- Mignolo, W. D. 2009. 'Epistemic Disobedience, Independent Thought and Decolonial Freedom'. *Theory, Culture & Society* 26 (7-8): 159–81. doi:10.1177/0263276409349275.
- Minca, Claudio. 2000. 'Venetian Geographical Praxis'. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 18 (3): 285–89. doi:10.1068/d1803ed.
- Nandy, Ashis. 1983. *The Intimate Enemy: Loss and Recovery of Self Under Colonialism*. Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Oommen, T. K. 1991. 'Internationalization of Sociology: A View from Developing Countries'. *Current Sociology* 39 (1): 67–84. doi:10.1177/001139291039001005.
- Paasi, Anssi. 2005. 'Globalisation, Academic Capitalism, and the Uneven Geographies of International Journal Publishing Spaces'. *Environment and Planning A* 37 (5): 769–89. doi:10.1068/a3769.
- Quijano, Anibal. 2000. 'Coloniality of Power, Eurocentrism, and Latin America'. *Nepantla: Views* from South 1 (3): 533-80.
- Quijano, Aníbal. 2007. 'Coloniality and Modernity/Rationality'. *Cultural Studies* 21 (2): 168–78. doi:10.1080/09502380601164353.
- Raunig, Gerald. 2013. *Factories of Knowledge, Industries of Creativity*. Translated by Aileen Derieg. Los Angeles, CA.; Cambridge, MA.: Semiotext(e); distributed by the MIT Press.
- Rodríguez-Pose, Andrés. 2006. 'Is There an "Anglo-American" Domination in Human Geography? And, Is It Bad?' *Environment and Planning A* 38 (4): 603–10. doi:10.1068/a38280.
- Said, Edward W. 1994. Orientalism. New York: Vintage Books.
- Short, John Rennie, Armando Boniche, Yeong Kim, and Patrick Li Li. 2001. 'Cultural Globalization, Global English, and Geography Journals'. *The Professional Geographer* 53 (1): 1–11. doi:10.1111/0033-0124.00265.
- Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. 1995. 'Can the Subaltern Speak? [1985].' In *The Post-Colonial Studies Reader*, edited by Bill Ashcroft, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin. London: Routledge.
- Steinmetz, George, ed. 2013. Sociology & Empire: The Imperial Entanglements of a Discipline. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Tlostanova, Madina Vladimirovna, and Walter Mignolo. 2012. *Learning to Unlearn: Decolonial Reflections from Eurasia and the Americas*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press.
- Vaiou, Dina. 2004. 'The Contested and Negotiated Dominance of Anglophone Geography in Greece'. *Geoforum* 35 (5): 529–31. doi:10.1016/j.geoforum.2004.01.009.

Kulpa, Robert and Joseli Maria Silva (2016), *Decolonizing Queer Epistemologies*, (pp. 139-42). In: Brown, Gavin and Kath Browne (eds.), "The Routledge Research Companion to Geographies of Sex and Sexualities". London: Routledge.

- Visweswaran, Kamala. 1994. *Fictions of Feminist Ethnography*. Minneapolis ; London: University of Minnesota Press.
- Walsh, Catherine. 2007. '¿Son Posibles Unas Ciencias Sociales/ Culturales Otras? Reflexiones En Torno a Las Epistemologías Decoloniales'. *Nómadas (Col)* 26: 102–13.

—. 2012. 'Interculturalidad, Plurinacionalidad Y Razón Decolonial: Refundares Político-Epistémicos Em Marcha'. In *Lugares Descoloniales: Espacios De Intervención En Las Américas*, edited by Ramón. Grosfoguel and Roberto. Almanza Hernández, 95–118. Bogotá, D.C.: Pontificia Universidad Javeriana-Bogotá.

- Wane, Njoki, Arlo Kempf, and Marlon Simmons, eds. 2011. *The Politics of Cultural Knowledge*. Rotterdam: Sense.
- Whitehand, J W R. 2005. 'The Problem of Anglophone Squint'. *Area* 37 (2): 228–30. doi:10.1111/j.1475-4762.2005.00625.x.