Micro-End-Milling of Carbon Nanotube Reinforced 1 **Epoxy Nanocomposites Manufactured using Three** 2 **Roll Mill Technique** 3 Bao Le^a*, Arnaud Kernin^b, Jibran Khaliq^a, Guoyu Fu^c, Dehong Huo^c, Emiliano Bilotti^b, Han 4 Zhang^b, Islam Shyha^{a, d} 5 6 ^aMechanical and Construction Engineering Department, Northumbria University at Newcastle, NE1 8ST, 7 Newcastle upon Tyne, UK 8 ^bSchool of Engineering and Material Science, Queen Mary University, E1 4NS, London, UK 9 ^cMechanical Engineering, School of Engineering, Newcastle University, NE1 7RU, Newcastle upon 10 Tvne, UK ^d School of Engineering and the Built Environment, Edinburgh Napier University, EH10 5DT, 11 Edinburgh, UK 12 *Corresponding author 13 14

15 Keywords: nanocomposites, micro-end-milling, carbon nanotube, machinability

16 Abstract

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have been applied as nano-fillers to improve mechanical, 17 thermal and electrical properties of polymers. Despite near net shape techniques could be 18 used to manufacture nanocomposites, micromachining processes are still necessary to attain 19 high surface quality and dimensional accuracy. Besides, micromachining of nanocomposites 20 could be a potential approach to produce micro-features/components, following the 21 22 miniaturisation trend of modern manufacturing. Therefore, micro-machining of these relatively new materials needs to be investigated. A comprehensive investigation on 23 machinability of nanocomposites will be presented in terms of chip formation, cutting force, 24 tool wear, surface morphology and surface roughness. Three controlled quantitative factors 25 are investigated at different levels, including filler loading, cutting speed and feed per tooth 26 (FPT). Micro-slotting is performed on an ultra-precision desktop micro-machine tool using 27 uncoated carbide micro-end mill. The additions of multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) 28 have shown significant effects on the machinability of these epoxy-based nanocomposites 29 including a dramatic reduction in cutting force and machined surface roughness with 30 accelerating tool wear compared with a neat polymer. The irregular cutting force variations 31 when micro-milling epoxy/MWCNT nanocomposites at feed rates below minimum uncut 32 chip thickness (MUCT) (lower than 2 µm) indicating by their fluctuations that different 33 from those in higher feed rates. It possibly shows the impact of size effects that are 34 illustrated by the observations of chip formation, surface morphology, cutting force profiles 35 36 as well as specific cutting energy calculation.

37 **1. Introduction**

CNTs are allotropes of carbon that made of a cylindrical rolled-up single layer of carbon 38 atoms. The diameters and lengths of CNTs typically range from 1-100 nm and $0.1 - 100 \mu m$, 39 respectively [1], with a high aspect ratio tubular structure and surface areas in the range of 200–900 40 m^2/g [2]. CNTs were discovered by Sumio Ijima in 1991 when examining the structure of carbon 41 materials using an electron microscope [3], and the first single-walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) 42 was synthesised in 1993 by the same author [4]. Since their discovery, SWCNTs and MWCNTs 43 44 are being used in different applications such as drug delivery [5], health care [6], electronics [7], and improving electrical and thermal properties of materials [8]. Most of these applications have 45 used CNTs as a reinforcing agent in combination with polymers, especially epoxy. 46 The commercial applications of epoxy reinforced with carbon fibre (CF), glass fibre (GF), Kevlar, or 47 boron as structural materials have been found in many industrial areas such as aerospace [9], 48 automotive industry [10], electronic packaging [11], wind turbine [12], or sport components [13]. 49 Due to the high strength-to-weight ratio, thermal and electrical properties [14, 15], CNTs have 50 been considered as a possible alternative to replace these conventional reinforcements in terms of 51 fabricating light-weight polymer nanocomposites. However, the applications of CNT reinforced 52 epoxy nanocomposites have been still limited despite many pieces of research on this field. These 53 nanocomposites have been commercially utilised to manufacture hockey sticks, baseball bats [16], 54 or components of nano-enhanced bikes [17]. Despite the substantial potential application, CNTs 55 have been still currently used as a secondary reinforcing phase in carbon fibre reinforced polymer 56 nanocomposites (CFRP) in all these commercial products. It is possibly due to the higher cost of 57 CNTs compared to CF that prevented these nano-fibres from being applied in large structures [18]. 58 Furthermore, the literature review from [19] showed that the primary barrier that limited the 59 applications of CNT based nanocomposites was the synthesis optimisation. Uniform CNT 60 distribution and CNT agglomeration have been still the main problems that reduce their reinforcing 61 effectiveness of this nano-filler in polymer nanocomposites. 62

Micromachining of epoxy/CNT nanocomposites showed high potential to be applied in 63 manufacturing of micro-components due to the miniaturisation demands of modern manufacturing 64 [19, 20] such as micro-electronics [21], micro-mechanical devices [22]. Although many near-net-65 shape methods such as micro-moulding, lithography have been employed to manufacture CNT 66 reinforced polymer nanocomposites, mechanical micromachining techniques (i.e. micro-drilling, 67 micro-turning or micro-milling) are deemed to be necessary to provide sufficient quality of 68 69 machined surface or dimensional accuracy as post-processes. However, micromachining of nanocomposites seemed to be a complicated process due to the anisotropic, heterogeneous 70 structure of workpiece materials [20] and thermo-mechanical reinforcements of nano-filler [23]. 71 72 Furthermore, research into nanocomposites micromachining will be able to fill in the gap between macro and micromachining, namely "size effect". This physical phenomenon exhibits by the 73 combinations of various effects including cutting edge radius, microstructure, and minimum uncut 74 chip thickness [20]. As a result, it became necessary to investigate the micromachining behaviours 75 of these polymer nanocomposites while taking into account the size effect. 76

77 Despite the vast potential of epoxy/CNT micromachining, most studies in this field have have focused on MWCNT reinforced polycarbonate (PC/MWCNT) [24], MWCNT reinforced 78 polystyrene (PS/MWCNT) [25], graphene and **MWCNT** reinforced 79 or PC (PC/graphene/MWCNT) nanocomposites [26]. There were also some researchers investigated the 80 machinability of graphene reinforced epoxy nanocomposites [27, 28]. However, no research was 81 found to be done on epoxy/CNT nanocomposite micromachining. Furthermore, the size effect 82 when micromachining polymer nanocomposites has also not been thoroughly investigated. 83 Therefore, this paper aimed to provide a comprehensive investigation on micro-machinability of 84

epoxy/MWCNT nanocomposite. The micromachining experiments were conducted on different 85 cutting conditions (feed per tooth, cutting speed) and MWCNT filler loadings at a constant axial 86 depth of cut of 200 µm in dry cutting condition. The main objectives included cutting force and 87 surface roughness. Additionally, the investigations on chip morphology, machined surface 88 morphology were addressed to support the analysis of these two main machinability indicators. 89 Tool wear behaviour of micro-cutting tools at the end of the micro-milling trials (for each 90 91 composition and plain epoxy) was also addressed to assess the effect of MWCNT loading on this category. Additionally, low feed per tooth (0.2 and 0.5 µm) were also employed to investigate the 92 size effect in polymer nanocomposites micromachining. Besides, material properties including 93 tensile mechanical properties and thermal conductivity were supposed to have considerable 94 influences on the machinability of epoxy/MWCNT nanocomposites in micro-milling, hence 95 characterised before the micromachining trials. 96

97

98 **2.** Experimental work

99 2.1 Materials synthesis

Epoxy nanocomposites with various MWCNT loadings (0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1 wt.%) were synthesised using a two-component epoxy system as a matrix having epoxy resin RX771C and epoxy hardener HX932C, both supplied by Robnor Resinlab, UK. MWCNTs (NC7000TM (purchased from Nanocyl Inc., Belgium) had an average diameter of 9.5 nm, average length ~ 1.5 μ m, density of 1.66 g/cm³, and surface area around 250-300 m²/g.

The mixtures of MWCNT and epoxy resin were first prepared by manual mixing for 5 105 minutes. A three-roll mill (TRM) (80E EXAKT GmbH, Germany) was then used to 106 incorporate nanotubes into epoxy resin. After homogenous mixtures of MWCNT and epoxy 107 108 resin were attained by TRM, they were manually mixed with epoxy hardener (HX932C) for 5 minutes. Subsequently, these mixtures were degassed in a vacuum chamber (pressure of -1 109 bar) at 50°C for 1 hour, while stirring (with a magnetic stirrer), before poured into silicone 110 moulds at room temperature. The mixture was then cured in an oven at 120 °C for 12 hours, 111 as recommended by the supplier (Robnor Resinlab) to attain full crosslinking of epoxy. 112

113 **2.2** Characterisation of MWCNT/EP nanocomposite

The standard ASTM D638 test method was selected to conduct the characterisation of the tensile properties of nanocomposites. Tensile tests were conducted on a Universal Testing machine (INSTRON 3382) to characterise the tensile behaviour (tensile strength, Young's modulus and fracture strain) of all epoxy/MWCNT nanocomposites and plain epoxy. Following the ASTM D638 standard, the type V specimen prepared by moulding had the dimension shown in Figure 1.



121 Figure 1: Tensile test specimen, type V geometry (ASTM D638) (Unit: mm)

ASTM D5470 standard was employed to measure the thermal conductivity of epoxy/MWCNT. The linear heat conduction tests were performed on Hilton H112A device. The characterisation set up of thermal conductivity and dimensions of the sample are shown in Figure 2.



127

Figure 2: Thermal conductivity test setup on Hilton H112A linear heat conduction (ASTM
 D5740) with the dimensions of specimens (unit: mm)

Five specimens were used for each composition (including plain epoxy) for both tensile and thermal conduction tests. One measurement was performed on each sample and the average values were used to indicate the magnitudes of tensile properties (i.e., tensile strength)and thermal conductivity.

134 2.3 Micromachining experiments

Micro-end milling experiments were performed on an ultra-precision desktop micro-135 machine tool (Nanowave MTS5R) with machine size of 413 x 450 x 470 mm. High-speed 136 cutting in micromachining was attained by using high-speed spindle with a max speed of 137 80,000 rpm. The spindle contained air spindle to minimise the vibration during the cutting 138 process. The experimental setup is shown in Figure 3, which includes nanocomposite 139 specimen, main spindle, micro-end mill and dynamometer. Besides, the high rigidity of the 140 machine stage also allowed stable operations during micromachining process at such a low 141 feed rate (0.1 µm). Full immersion micro-milling was applied for all cutting trials with a 142 constant axial cutting depth of 200 µm in dry condition. The micro-end milling uncoated tools 143 used in this study (Kyocera 1610-0197.059) had some main features as follows: micro-grain 144 tungsten carbide, two flutes, cutting diameter of 0.5 mm and helix angle of 20° . Ultra-145 precision collets were also employed to minimise the adverse effects of tool runout (below 1 146 μ m). However, this threshold could be further reduced with adjustment. 147



148

149 Figure 3: Experimental setup for the micro-milling trials

Following the manufacturing of MWCNT/epoxy loaded with various CNT contents using three roll milled technique, the study aimed to investigate the effect of three controlled quantitative factors, i.e. CNT loading, feed rate and cutting speed when micro-end-milling. Each test at every specific condition was repeated three times. The dimensions of machining specimens were 70 x 13 x 3 mm (Length x Width x Thickness). The experimental plan is shown in Table 1. The experimental results of micro-milling neat epoxy specimens were also collected and compared to other compositions.

157 Table 1: Experimental settings

Specimen	Motorial	MWCNT reinforced epoxy and plain		
		epoxy		
	Dimension (L x W x T) (mm)	70 x 13 x 3		
	Filler loading (wt%)	0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.7 and 1.0		
Cutting tool	Material	Micro-grain tungsten carbide		
	Туре	Uncoated micro-end mill		
	Number of flutes	2		
	Flute length (mm)	1.5		
	Cutting diameter (mm)	0.5		
	Helix angle	20^{0}		
Cutting conditions	Cutting grand (m/min) (mm)	62.8 (20,000), 125.6 (40,000) and		
	Cutting speed (m/mm) (tpm)	188.5 (60,000)		
	Feed per tooth (µm)	0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 4		
	Axial depth of cut (DoC) (µm)	200		
	Cutting width (µm)	0.5		
	Cutting length (mm)	13		

Kistler (9256C2) piezoelectric dynamometer with high frequency (up to 4.8 kHz) and 159 large measuring range (-250 to 250 N) was attached behind the fixture to measure the micro-160 cutting forces in x, y, and z directions. In this case, Fy was the feed force (F_f) and was 161 measured in the feed direction of the tool. Fx was the feed normal force (F_{fn}) (perpendicular to 162 F_f), while F_z was the passive cutting force (F_p) (axial to the central tool line) (Figure 4a). The 163 signals generated from the force sensor were conducted into the charge amplifier (Kistler 164 5070A) (Figure 4b). Based on that, resultant cutting forces were calculated using the formula 165 below: 166

$$F = \sqrt{F_x^2 + F_y^2 + F_z^2}$$

168

167





Figure 4: (a) Schematic representing cutting force measurement in micro-milling and (b)
 Typical cutting force signals during micro-milling process

A scanning electron microscope (SEM) TESCAN MIRA3 was used to investigate tool 172 wear. The geometry of new tools was first considered by SEM imaging. The observation on 173 tool wear was made after finishing every CNT loading. The cutting volume of each 174 composition was 58.5 mm³. The non-uniform flank wear (VB 2) and the stair-formed face 175 wear (KT 2) were used as the main criteria tool wear assessment that were based on ISO 176 8688-2 standard [29]. The flank wear VB2 was the maximum bandwidth in the perpendicular 177 direction to the original cutting edge on the side view (Figure 5). The face wear KT 2 occurred 178 at the intersection of the wear scar and the major flank surface was measured perpendicular to 179 the tool face (Figure 6). 180

All used tools were from the same batch to minimise manufacturing errors. SEM was also employed to investigate the surface morphology as well as the microstructure of the specimens after micro-milling. Surface roughness Ra was measured based on ISO 4287-1997 standard [30] (contact-based measurement) using a profilometer Mitutoyo Surftest SJ-410 (0.25 mm and 2.5 mm cut off and measurement length, respectively). The chips from the micro-milling processes at each cutting condition were collected using carbon tape. The chip morphology was then investigated using SEM analysis.

188



189

190 Figure 5: Non-uniform flank wear measurement

191



202

193 Figure 6: Stair-formed face wear measurement (with the original cutting-edge outline)

Based on the experimental results of cutting force and surface roughness, Analysis of Variance technique (ANOVA) was applied to analyse these experimental data as well as identify the most significant factor (i.e., cutting speed, FPT, filler content) that affects the machinability.

Furthermore, the specific cutting energy was also calculated to validate the size effect when micromachining at FPT below MUCT. This indicator was considered as the cutting energy consuming for a volume unit of material removal. It could be identified by using the equation below:

$$E = \frac{Cutting \ energy}{MRR} = \frac{F.V}{w.D.V_{f}}$$

- 203 Where:
- E: Specific cutting energy (J/mm3)
- F: Resultant cutting force (N)
- V: Cutting speed (m/min)
- W: Width of cut (mm)
- D: Depth of Cut (mm)
- V_f: Table feed (mm/min)
- MRR: Material removal rate (mm³/min)
- 211 **3. Results and discussion**

212 **3.1 Tensile properties**

The tensile properties of nanocomposites with different MWCNT contents are shown 213 in Figure 7(a-c). The addition of varying filler contents, from 0.1 to 1 wt.%, significantly 214 affected the tensile behaviour of epoxy-based nanocomposites. It could be observed that both 215 tensile strength (Figure 8a) and Young's modulus (Figure 7b) were improved when adding 216 more MWCNT into epoxy matrix compared to the plain epoxy that were consistent with the 217 literature [31]. These improvements were possibly contributed by the homogeneous 218 distribution of MWCNTs generated from using TRM. Furthermore, it could also be seen that 219 the fracture strain of these nanocomposites (Figure 7c) increased when incorporating 220 MWCNT from 0 to 0.3 wt.%. However, it started to decrease when the filler loading reached 221 0.7 wt.%, indicating a ductile-to-brittle transition. This phenomenon was possibly due to more 222

223 agglomerations of MWCNT at high filler content, generating more stress concentration, hence leading to crack propagations under tensile loadings. Some similar findings could be found in 224 [31, 32]. The improvements of tensile strength and modulus combined with the decrease of 225 strain failure could be used to explain the effects of filler content on the machinability of these 226 227 nanocomposites (i.e., cutting force, surface roughness, chip formation) [19]. Furthermore, the thermal conductivity of these nanocomposites should also be considered since it could also 228 influence the micromachining process in particular when thermal softening phenomenon was 229 dominant (at high cutting speed). Therefore, the thermal characterisation of epoxy/MWCNT 230 nanocomposites was expressed in section 3.2. 231



232

Figure 7: Tensile properties of MWCNT/epoxy nanocomposites: (a) Tensile strength, (b)
Young's modulus, and (c) Fracture strain

235 **3.2 Thermal conductivity**

Since the cutting process generated high temperature in the cutting zone, causing workpiece material softening and chip adhesion that might affect the surface quality and surface roughness. In micromachining, high cutting speed is preferred, so this phenomenon was expected to be more severe. Therefore, micromachining low-thermal-conductivity materials, such as polymers, required the investigation on their thermal conductivity. The thermal conductivity of MWCNT/epoxy nanocomposites is shown in Figure 8. A slight improvement could be observed when the filler content reached 0.7 wt.%. The highest thermal

conductivity value was found for 1 wt.% MWCNT, which was in a reasonable agreement with

other studies [33, 34]. These enhancements of thermal conductivity was possibly due the heat

flow formed by dense MWCNTs network inside epoxy matrix at high filler contents (0.7 and

246 1 wt.%) as reported in the literature [35].



247

248 Figure 8: Thermal conductivity of MWCNT/epoxy nanocomposites at different filler contents

249 **3.3 Chip morphology**

The chip morphology in micromachining plays a vital role in identifying the cutting 250 mechanism as well as the behaviours of workpiece materials under the cutting process. In this 251 section, the morphology of chips from different material compositions and cutting conditions 252 was investigated. It was expected that feed rate and filler content would show apparent 253 influences on the chip formation due to the improvements of tensile properties and thermal 254 conductivities of nanocomposites. Additionally, more details of chip morphology at low feed 255 rates were also analysed to identify the minimum uncut chip thickness (MUCT). This was 256 indicated by a transition point from ploughing to the shearing-dominated regime and was an 257 essential indicator of size effect when micromachining. 258

Figure 9 shows the chip morphology characterisation at a cutting speed of 62.8 m/min 259 with the consideration of filler content and feed rate effects. For all compositions, the chips 260 were transferred from discontinuous to continuous forms when increasing the feed rate. It 261 indicated the transition of cutting mechanism from ploughing into shearing. However, this 262 trend seemed to be different between each composition. For plain epoxy, 0.1 wt.% and 0.3 263 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposites, the chips were crushed with fracture debris at lowest FPT of 264 0.2 µm and became more noticeable but still was in discontinuous form when FPT reached to 265 1 µm. For 0.7 wt.% and 1 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposites, the chips were much more 266 apparent, even at 0.2 µm FPT. 267

Furthermore, the chip transition points from discontinuous to continuous form in these higher filler content nanocomposites were between 0.5 and 1 μ m, which were smaller than those of lower filler contents and plain counterparts (from 1 to 2 μ m). Since the chip formation was characterised at the lowest cutting speed (62.8 m/min), the effect of thermal 272 softening could be eliminated. Tensile behaviour of these materials would be considered as 273 the main reason for the change of MUCT between them. Based on the stress-strain curves in Figure 7, it could be seen that the reduction of failure strain seemed to reduce the MUCT 274 when micromachining high-filler-content nanocomposites. It made the shearing mechanism 275 dominant even at a low feed rate. On the contrary, ploughing predominated when 276 micromachining lower filler content nanocomposites due to their viscoelastic behaviour. 277 Therefore, MWCNT content likely influenced the MUCT thresholds when micromachining of 278 these nanocomposites. 279



Figure 9: Chip formations when micro-milling at different FPTs and CNT weight contents (Cutting speed = 62.8 m/min; Scale bar is 200 µm)

In this case, MUCTs of 0.7 wt.% and 1 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposites were in the 282 range of 0.5 - 1 µm) while these values were from 1 to 2 µm in case of low-filler-content 283 nanocomposites. On closer observation of chip formations at low feed rates (Figure 10), it 284 could be seen that chip formation of 0.7 and 1 wt% MWCNT nanocomposites were 285 286 continuous and partly discontinuous at lowest FPT of 0.2 µm indicating a partial shearingdominant regime. At the same time, a completed ploughing mechanism was dominant at 287 lower filler contents. At FPT of 1 µm, the chips of higher filler content nanocomposites were 288 likely to curl and become thicker. However, their chip surfaces were rough with the presence 289 of micro-cracks, possibly due to low tensile strain-to-failure behaviour of nanocomposites at 290 high filler contents that has been confirmed from the tensile results. 291



Figure 10: Chip formations at low FPTs at different CNT weight contents (Cutting speed = 62.8 m/min; Magnification = 1.5kx; Scale bar length is 50 μ m)

3.4 Cutting Force

In micromachining nanocomposites, cutting force response seemed to be more sensitive with the changes of cutting conditions and filler content due to the utilisation of high cutting speed, low feed rate, the complex microstructure of nanocomposites and micro-cutting tool. Therefore, this machinability indicator was likely imperative for micromachining mechanics study.

Firstly, ANOVA was applied based on the cutting force results from all cutting conditions and filler contents.

Table 2 depicts all input factors, including filler content (wt.%), cutting speed (V) and FPT with their levels of effects on cutting force representing as contribution indicator. The filler content and FPT showed the most significant influences with their contributions to cutting force variation of ~ 30% and ~ 32%, respectively. The cutting speed only marginally 306 influenced cutting force (2.76%)/

Source	DF	Seq SS	Contribution	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Filler Content (wt.%)	4	3.5764	30.03%	3.5764	0.89409	13.79	< 0.001
Cutting Speed (m/min)	2	0.3285	2.76%	0.3285	0.16425	2.53	0.087
FPT (µm)	4	3.8542	32.37%	3.8542	0.96356	14.86	< 0.001
Error	64	4.1491	34.84%	4.1491	0.06483		
Total	74	11.9082	100.00%				

Table 2: ANOVA result for cutting force when micro-milling MWCNT/epoxynanocomposites

310

307

Figure 11 shows some main effect plots for the resultant cutting force. In terms of filler 311 content effect, the average cutting force showed lowest for plain epoxy while its maximum 312 value of ~2.6 N could be seen at 1 wt.% of MWCNT. However, the cutting force appeared to 313 have less dependence on the filler content when micro-milling other compositions (from 0.1 to 314 0.7 wt.%), exhibited by the slight variations of cutting force magnitudes. Given such a high 315 filler loading, the microstructure effect seemed to be dominant in this case as more contact 316 between micro-tool and nano-fibres resulted in higher cutting force at 1 wt.% MWCNT. 317 318 Regarding the effect of chip load, cutting force showed a noticeable increment with FPT in the range of 1 - 4 µm. This rising portion of cutting force indicated the similar feature with 319 macro-machining. However, the cutting force variation seemed to be more complicated in the 320 MUCT domain (0.2 - 1 µm). It dropped to the bottom at 0.5 µm and then slightly increased. 321 This fluctuation was likely to indicate the size effect where the cutting mechanism transferred 322 from ploughing into the shearing regime. 323



324

Figure 11: Main effects on cutting forces when micro-milling MWCNT/Epoxy
nanocomposites: (a, b) ANOVA main effect plots and (c, d) ANOVA interaction plots

327 Figure 12 shows the variations of cutting force as a function of FPT when a micromilling epoxy/MWCNT nanocomposite at different cutting speeds. A gradual increase of 328 cutting force along with feed rate increment was observed for all cutting speeds. Micro-329 milling 1 wt.% MWCNT generated highest cutting force regardless of the cutting conditions. 330 However, its cutting force magnitude was highest and sharper increase could be seen at 62.8 331 332 m/min compared to those of other compositions (Figure 12a). These results possibly indicated the dominance of mechanical strengthening effect at low cutting speed. At higher cutting 333 speeds, this phenomenon became less evident due to the interferences of thermal softening 334 and microstructure effects. The cutting forces when micro-milling other compositions at 62.8 335 m/min showed comparable magnitudes at FPT below 2 µm. However, the influence of filler 336 content and, consequently, strengthening effect became more evident at higher FPTs. 337

The ploughing tended to dominate the cutting mechanism in this domain. The effect of 338 filler content on cutting force was most apparent when micromachining at the highest cutting 339 speed of 188.5 m/min (Figure 12b). It was expected that heat generation from milling at such 340 high cutting speed would make the thermal softening more sensitive, especially with low-341 thermal-conductivity materials such as plain epoxy, 0.1 wt.% and 0.3 wt.% MWCNT 342 nanocomposites. Therefore, it seemed to have a fundamental difference between 343 micromachining lower and higher thermal conductivity materials (0.7 wt.% and 1 wt.% 344 MWCNT nanocomposites) in this case. While a mechanical strengthening-dominant regime 345 could be seen at high filler content, the thermal-softening effect seemed to occur at the rest. It 346 led to the most obvious influence of MWCNT content on cutting force at the highest cutting 347 348 speed.



Figure 12: Cutting force when micro-milling epoxy based nanocomposites at different 349 MWCNT contents and FPTs: a) Cutting speed = 62.8 m/min (20,000 rpm); b) Cutting speed = 350 188.5 m/min (60,000 rpm) 351

Micromachining at low FPT (0.2 - 1 μ m) showed a distinct trend compared to others 352 353 for all cutting speeds. Instead of increasing along with feed rate, cutting forces were high at the beginning and then fluctuated within this low range of FPT. It again confirmed the 354 predominance of the size effect in this region while micromachining at feed rate below MUCT 355 boundary. The ploughing or partial shearing made the cutting force variation complicated. 356 Additionally, this domain seemed to be larger (from 0.2 to 2 µm) as the highest cutting speed 357 was employed due to thermal softening effect. Figure 13 depicts some specific cutting profiles 358 on feed direction (F_{ν}) at cutting speed of 62.8 m/min to clarify the MUCT effect on cutting 359 force variation for FPT of 0.5 and 1 µm. The influence of microstructure could be eliminated 360 at such low cutting speed. The cutting force profile appeared to be irregular at FPT of 0.5 µm 361 regardless of the material type. However, cutting profile at 1 wt.%, MWCNT seemed to have 362 most regular fluctuation compared to other compositions that indicate a certainly regular 363 shearing, even at low FPT. When 1 µm FPT was employed, only micromachining of 0.7 wt.% 364 and 1 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposite achieved regular cutting profiles. In contrast, others still 365 kept non-uniform, indicating a lower MUCT for high-filler-content materials at around 0.5 - 1 366 µm. This result was likely to confirm the MUCT identification that was found in the chip 367 morphology section (section 3.3) (Figure 9). 368



(a) Plain Epoxy



Figure 13: Cutting force profiles in feed direction at low FPT (0.5 and 1 μ m) (Cutting speed= 62.8 m/min)

371 **3.5 Specific cutting energy**

Figure 14 shows the variation of the specific cutting energy when micro-milling different material compositions at the cutting speed of 62.8 m/min and the axial depth of cut of 200 µm. A rapidly non-linear increase of the specific cutting force as FPT decreased below MUCT threshold could be observed for all materials. The cutting process likely underwent ploughing-dominant mechanism, making elastic deformation of material rather than being sheared at this FPT range. As a consequence, the specific cutting energy at FPT
of 0.2 μm reached to highest values, especially for 1 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposite.

On the contrary, micromachining as FPT beyond MUCT appeared to achieve stable material removal mechanism as their specific cutting energy gradually reducing at lower magnitudes. Shearing regime was likely predominant, leading to plastic deformation of the material. This claim could be confirmed by the chip morphology observation in the previous section (section 3.3) with discontinuous chips at low FPTs and became more continuous and curlier as FPT increasing.

385



386



389 **3.6 Machined surface morphology**

390 Figure 15 shows general views of machined surface morphology at low magnification of 750x (cutting speed of 62.7 m/min and FPT of 4 µm). These SEM images have been 391 captured at the central area of each slot. It was observed that the presence of feed marks 392 becomes more pronounced when micro-milling high-content-filler nanocomposites. It was 393 possibly due to lower failure strain as high filler loadings are used. The feed marks on 394 machined surfaces of plain epoxy and lower filler content nanocomposites seemed to be 395 smeared by the matrix material due to their visco-elastic characteristic. It was likely 396 397 compatible with the tensile characterisation of these materials.

398



Figure 15: Surface morphology of machined surface at different CNT weight contents (FPT = $4 \mu m$; Cutting speed = 62.8 m/min; Scale bar is 100 μm)

On closer investigation (Figure 16), other SEM images for all FPTs were taken at a 402 higher magnification of 5kx. It was generally observed that the surface morphology from 403 micro-milling higher filler content nanocomposites (0.7 wt.% and 1 wt.% MWCNT) tended 404 to be smoother than those of the other compositions. The presences of cracks and crack 405 ridges on their machined surfaces were also less frequent and prominent. It was likely due 406 to MWCNT bridging the cracks that seemed to occur when high filler contents were 407 employed. It has been confirmed by Samuel et al. [36] when micromachining PC/CNT 408 nanocomposites. For plain epoxy, 0.1 and 0.3 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposites, machined 409 surfaces seemed to be relatively smooth at the beginning (FPT from 0.2 to 0.5 µm) but 410 became rougher with clear micro-cracks along with the feed marks when FPT increased, 411 especially for 0.1 wt.% nanocomposites. It was possibly due to the effect of microstructure 412 when the low interfacial strength of MWCT- epoxy making the fibres pull-out instead of 413 being cut at 0.1 wt.% MWCNT. Polymer smearing of plain epoxy and partial CNT bridging 414

of 0.3 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposites might contribute to their smoother surfaces 415 compared to 0.1 wt.% counterpart. However, the machined surfaces of these materials 416 appeared to be less rough as FPT reaching to 4 µm. The predominance of shearing regime 417 might be the main reason for this. For micro-milling high-filler-content nanocomposites 418 (0.7 and 1 wt.% MWCNT), the machined surfaces showed the evidence of the low-strain-419 failure effect that was seen in crack ridges as FPTs below MUCT. However, feed marks 420 were much noticeable at FPT of 4 µm. It possibly led to higher surface roughness that 421 422 indicated the main effect of feed rate at this cutting condition.

423 Overall, different surface morphologies have been observed for all material compositions. 424 Tensile behaviour, microstructure and MUCT have shown significant influences on surface 425 morphology. These were confirmed through the discussion and SEM images. However, feed 426 rate seemed to inconsiderably affect surface morphology while only clear feed marks were 427 found at highest FPT. This claim should be confirmed by surface roughness measurements 428 and its ANOVA analysis in section 3.7.





430 Figure 16: Surface morphology of machined surface at different CNT weight contents and

431 FPTs (Cutting speed = 62.8 m/min; Scale bar is $10 \mu \text{m}$)

432 **3.7 Surface roughness**

Before investigating surface roughness variation, ANOVA was first applied based on the experiment results from all cutting conditions and filler contents. Table 3 shows all input factors, including filler content, cutting speed, and FPT with their effects on surface roughness representing as contribution indicators. It could be seen that filler content significantly affected the surface roughness with its contribution of ~30% followed by cutting speed (contribution of 25.69%) while FPT showed the least effect (2.46 5). These statistical results seemed to be consistent with the surface morphology analysis (section 3.6).

Table 3: ANOVA result for surface roughness when micro-milling MWCNT/epoxynanocomposites

	· · · · ·						
Source	DF	Seq SS	Contribution	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Filler Content (wt.%)	4	0.061	30.50%	0.061	0.015	11.80	< 0.001
Cutting Speed (m/min)	2	0.051	25.69%	0.051	0.026	19.87	< 0.001
FPT (µm)	4	0.005	2.46%	0.005	0.001	0.95	0.440
Error	64	0.082	41.36%	0.082	0.001		
Total	74	0.199	100.00%				

442

Figure 17 shows some main effect plots for the average surface roughness. In terms of 443 filler content affecting the surface roughness, the additions of 0.7 wt.% and 1 wt.% MWCNTs 444 seemed to improve surface quality significantly. It was possibly contributed by the lubricant 445 nature of MWCNT [37] that reduced the surface roughness of the machined surfaces. At the 446 447 same time, higher Ra magnitudes were observed when micro-milling of plain epoxy or low filler-content compositions (0.1 wt.% and 0.3 wt.%). The micro-cutting tool was likely to 448 contact with polymer phase rather than MWCNTs. It led to the dominance of adverse 449 polymer-related effects such as scaling or tearing [38] that contributed to high surface 450 451 roughness. On the contrary, these factors were likely unclear at higher filler contents. High loadings of MWCNT led to more CNT bridging and locking polymer chains, hence 452 significantly reducing the negative polymer-related effect and subsequently improving the 453 surface quality. It also needed to be mentioned that other factors such as low thermal 454 conductivity and visco-elastic nature of epoxy and low-filler-content nanocomposites might 455 exacerbate the adverse polymer-related effects on surface roughness. It was likely confirmed 456 by the main effect plots of cutting speed, showing a sharp rise of Ra as cutting speed increased 457 and, subsequently, the large heat generated from the high-speed cutting conditions. It led to 458 the dominance of thermal softening effect that reduced the surface quality. 459





Figure 17: Main effect plots for surface roughness when micro-milling epoxy/MWCNT nanocomposites

On a closer investigation, Figure 18 depicts the surface roughness variation as a 463 function of FPT at different filler contents. Surface roughness from micromachining 0.7 wt.% 464 and 1 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposites were lower than the other composition regardless of the 465 cutting conditions. It showed a firm agreement with the ANOVA analysis above. The Ra 466 467 magnitudes of plain epoxy and other low-filler-content nanocomposites seemed to be 468 comparable with each other, and their trends with FPT variations were also unclear indicating the minor effect of feed rate on surface roughness. However, from these figures (Figure 18), 469 the effect of MUCT could be identified. In conventional machining, the increase of feed rate 470 471 leads to the rise of surface roughness due to the effect of feed marks formation. In 472 micromachining, when cutting below MUCT threshold, the ploughing mechanism occurs that may have negative impacts on machined surface generation. From this study, it was seen that 473 for all cutting conditions, surface roughness fluctuated along with FPT. As FPT increasing 474 from 0.2 to 1 µm which was below MUCT (as indicated by chip morphology and cutting force 475 discussion), there was a fluctuation of surface roughness with high magnitudes at the 476 beginning due to ploughing. It then reached to the bottom at $FPT = 0.5 \mu m$ with ploughing-477 478 shearing and then increased again as FPT reached to 1 µm when a shearing regime becomes more dominant. 479



Figure 18: Surface roughness (Ra) when micro-milling epoxy-based nanocomposites at different
MWCNT contents and FPTs (cutting speed = 62.8 m/min)

A fluctuation of Ra could be seen for all materials as FPT increasing up to 1 µm. As 483 indicated by chip morphology investigation, this range of FPT was still below MUCT (below 484 485 $2 \mu m$) for these nanocomposites, hence showing the impact of the size effect. A continuous decrease of Ra at the beginning was possibly responsible for partial ploughing, therefore 486 mostly having no shearing at this stage. When the FPT kept increasing into 4 µm, the 487 dominance feed mark effect was now responsible for the significant increase of Ra. However, 488 the surface roughness of epoxy and low filler content nanocomposites (0.1 wt.% and 0.3 489 wt.%) started to decrease when FPR reaches to 2 µm. More polymer smearing due to high 490 cutting temperature at high feed rates might be the reason for this phenomenon. 491

492 **3.8 Tool wear**

Figure 19 shows the side and top views of machined micro-end mill for all material 493 compositions to depict the effect of workpiece properties on the flank wear and the face wear, 494 respectively. These two wear patterns seemed to be only visible at high filler contents (0.7 and 495 496 1 w.t%). This phenomenon was confirmed by the results of tool wear measurements Figure 20. For low filler content compositions and plain epoxy, there was unobvious effect of filler 497 contents on the tool wear. However, an increase from 0.7 to 1 wt.% MWCNT content 498 499 exhibited considerable tool wear acceleration, especially flank wear. It was exhibited by the visible scratches on the tool flank face when micro-milling these compositions (Figure 19). 500

501 Given such high filler loadings of MWCNT, it likely indicated more physical contact between 502 the tools and nano-fibers, hence resulting in more tool wear. Additionally, MWCNT 503 agglomeration at high filler loadings might also contribute to more trapping between tool and 504 workpiece, caused more tool wear due to rubbing. This claim was supported by the stack of 505 MWCNTs adhered on the tool surfaces when machined 0.7 and 1 wt.% nanocomposites 506 (Figure 19).

In addition, the apparent melting chip adhesion on the flank face could be seen for the 507 micro-tools which machined epoxy and low filler-content nanocomposites (0.1 and 0.3 w.t%). 508 It possibly indicated the effect of thermal softening when micromachining these low-thermal-509 conductivity materials. On the other hand, the chips adhered on the tool surfaces when 510 machined high-filler-content compositions (0.7 and 1 w.t%) were in discontinuous form, 511 implying the high brittleness of these nanocomposites. Also, their high thermal conductivity 512 might contribute to the reduction of thermal softening, hence resulting in less melting chip 513 adhesion. 514

Filler content	Side view (Scale bar is 50 µm)	Top View (Scale bar length 10 µm)
Plain epoxy	Melting chip adhesion	
0.1 wt%		
0.3 wt%	Chip adhesion	
0.7 wt%		



516 Figure 19: SEM images of tool wear at the end of the micro-milling process for each 517 composition (cutting volume of 58.5 mm3) (Yellow dashed line indicating wear area)



518 519

520 Figure 20: Effect of MWCNT content on tool wear when micro-milling epoxy/MWCNT

521 nanocomposites

522 4. Conclusions

523 The micro-machinability of multiwalled carbon nanotube reinforced epoxy nanocomposites (MWCNT/epoxy) was experimentally investigated and compared to those of 524 plain epoxy with the consideration of size effect. The variations of cutting force and surface 525 roughness were investigation with the validation from chip formation, surface morphology as 526 well as workpiece material properties (mechanical tensile properties and thermal 527 conductivity). Additionally, the influences of other factors including thermal softening, 528 mechanical strengthening, micro-structure, ploughing-shearing from MUCT or size effect 529 were also addressed. Nevertheless, within the scope of this paper, some main conclusions 530 could be drawn: 531

a. MWCNT content was the most critical factor affecting the micro-milling machinability of MWCNT/epoxy nanocomposites in terms of cutting force, surface roughness and tool wear. It indicated the main effects of microstructure as well as the correlation of mechanical strengthening - thermal softening dominant regimes. This aspect has been confirmed and 536 linked to mechanical tensile properties and thermal conductivity characterisation of the 537 materials.

b. Feed rate had the most effect on cutting force variation. Its acceleration significantly
led to an apparent upward trend of cutting force when micromachining at feed rates beyond
MUCT that was similar to that of macro-machining. On the other hand, the size effect
dominated at lower FPTs, indicating by a fluctuation of cutting force at this range of feed
rate.

c. Cutting speed showed its considerable influence on surface roughness generation.
Rougher machined surfaces could be seen as cutting speed increasing, indicating a converse
trend compared to that of macro-machining. Thermal-softening associated with polymernegative effects might negatively contribute to an upward trend of surface roughness in this
case.

d. The size effect was likely to occur in both cutting force and surface roughness variations at FPT below MUCT. The MUCT threshold seemed to be different between high and low-filler-content categories. It was identified as around 2 μ m for plain epoxy, 0.1 and 0.3 wt.% MWCNT nanocomposites while this value was possibly reduced into ~1 μ m with more MWCNT percentages added. This claim was likely to be supported by the observations from SEM imaging of chip morphology, cutting profile, as well as the specific cutting energy.

555 References

- 556
- 557 [1] B. Han, S. Sun, S. Ding, L. Zhang, X. Yu, and J. Ou, "Review of nanocarbon-engineered
 558 multifunctional cementitious composites," *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*,
 559 vol. 70, pp. 69-81, 2015.
- 560 [2]X.-L. Xie, Y.-W. Mai, and X.-P. Zhou, "Dispersion and alignment of carbon nanotubes in polymer561matrix: a review," *Materials science and engineering: R: Reports,* vol. 49, pp. 89-112, 2005.
- 562 [3] S. lijima, "Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon," *nature*, vol. 354, pp. 56-58, 1991.
- 563 [4]S. lijima and T. Ichihashi, "Single-shell carbon nanotubes of 1-nm diameter," *nature*, vol. 363, pp.564603-605, 1993.
- 565 [5] B. Singh, C. Baburao, V. Pispati, H. Pathipati, N. Muthy, S. Prassana, *et al.*, "Carbon nanotubes. A
 566 novel drug delivery system," *International Journal of Research in Pharmacy and Chemistry*, vol. 2,
 567 pp. 523-532, 2012.
- 568 [6]S. Kumar, R. Rani, N. Dilbaghi, K. Tankeshwar, and K.-H. Kim, "Carbon nanotubes: a novel material569for multifaceted applications in human healthcare," *Chemical society reviews*, vol. 46, pp. 158-196,5702017.
- 571 [7]L.-M. Peng, Z. Zhang, and S. Wang, "Carbon nanotube electronics: recent advances," *Materials*572today, vol. 17, pp. 433-442, 2014.
- 573 [8]M. F. De Volder, S. H. Tawfick, R. H. Baughman, and A. J. Hart, "Carbon nanotubes: present and574future commercial applications," *science*, vol. 339, pp. 535-539, 2013.
- 575 [9]A. Toldy, B. Szolnoki, and G. Marosi, "Flame retardancy of fibre-reinforced epoxy resin composites576for aerospace applications," *Polymer degradation and stability,* vol. 96, pp. 371-376, 2011.
- 577 [10]A. K. Naskar, J. K. Keum, and R. G. Boeman, "Polymer matrix nanocomposites for automotive578structural components," *Nature nanotechnology,* vol. 11, pp. 1026-1030, 2016.
- 579 [11] C. Chen, Y. Xue, X. Li, Y. Wen, J. Liu, Z. Xue, *et al.*, "High-performance epoxy/binary spherical
 580 alumina composite as underfill material for electronic packaging," *Composites Part A: Applied*581 *Science and Manufacturing*, vol. 118, pp. 67-74, 2019.

- [12] K. A. Muhammed, C. R. Kannan, B. Stalin, and M. Ravichandran, "Experimental investigation on
 AW 106 Epoxy/E-Glass fiber/nano clay composite for wind turbine blade," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 21, pp. 202-205, 2020.
- [13] E. Yusup, S. Mahzan, and M. Kamaruddin, "Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer for the Application of
 Sports Equipment using Mold Casting Method," in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2019, p. 012040.
- 588[14]H. Cebeci, R. G. de Villoria, A. J. Hart, and B. L. Wardle, "Multifunctional properties of high volume589fraction aligned carbon nanotube polymer composites with controlled morphology," *Composites*590*Science and Technology*, vol. 69, pp. 2649-2656, 2009.
- 591 [15]A. Allaoui, S. Bai, H.-M. Cheng, and J. Bai, "Mechanical and electrical properties of a MWNT/epoxy592composite," *Composites science and technology,* vol. 62, pp. 1993-1998, 2002.
- 593 [16]M. Loos, Carbon nanotube reinforced composites: CNT Polymer Science and Technology: Elsevier,5942014.
- 595 [17] M. Anis, G. AlTaher, W. Sarhan, and M. Elsemary, "Sports Equipment," in *Nanovate*, ed: Springer,
 596 2017, pp. 183-192.
- 597 [18]T. Hayashi and M. Endo, "Carbon nanotubes as structural material and their application in598composites," *Composites Part B: Engineering,* vol. 42, pp. 2151-2157, 2011.
- 599 [19]B. Le, J. Khaliq, D. Huo, X. Teng, and I. Shyha, "A Review on Nanocomposites. Part 1: Mechanical600Properties," Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, vol. 142, 2020.
- 601[20]B. Le, J. Khaliq, D. Huo, X. Teng, and I. Shyha, "A Review on Nanocomposites. Part 2:602Micromachining," Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, vol. 142, 2020.
- [21] X. Xin, M. Liang, Z. Yao, L. Su, J. Zhang, P. Li, *et al.*, "Self-sensing behavior and mechanical
 properties of carbon nanotubes/epoxy resin composite for asphalt pavement strain monitoring,"
 Construction and Building Materials, vol. 257, p. 119404, 2020.
- 606 [22] D. Kumar, T. Goyal, V. Kumar, P. Mohite, S. Kamle, and V. Verma, "Development and modal
 607 analysis of bioinspired CNT/epoxy nanocomposite MAV flapping wings," *J. Aerosp. Sci. Technol*,
 608 vol. 67, pp. 88-93, 2015.
- [23] N. Shakoori, G. Fu, B. Le, J. Khaliq, L. Jiang, D. Huo, *et al.*, "An experimental investigation on tool
 wear behaviour of uncoated and coated micro-tools in micro-milling of graphene-reinforced
 polymer nanocomposites," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol.
 113, pp. 2003-2015, 2021.
- [24] J. Samuel, A. Dikshit, R. E. DeVor, S. G. Kapoor, and K. J. Hsia, "Effect of carbon nanotube (CNT)
 loading on the thermomechanical properties and the machinability of CNT-reinforced polymer
 composites," *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 131, 2009.
- 616 [25] M. Mahmoodi, M. Mostofa, M. Jun, and S. S. Park, "Characterization and micromilling of flow
 617 induced aligned carbon nanotube nanocomposites," *Journal of Micro and Nano-Manufacturing*,
 618 vol. 1, 2013.
- M. N. Kumar, M. Mahmoodi, M. TabkhPaz, S. Park, and X. Jin, "Characterization and micro end
 milling of graphene nano platelet and carbon nanotube filled nanocomposites," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 249, pp. 96-107, 2017.
- [27] I. Arora, J. Samuel, and N. Koratkar, "Experimental investigation of the machinability of epoxy
 reinforced with graphene platelets," *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 135,
 2013.
- [28] I. Shyha, G. Y. Fu, D. H. Huo, B. Le, F. Inam, M. S. Saharudin, *et al.*, "Micro-machining of nanopolymer composites reinforced with graphene and nano-clay fillers," in *Key Engineering Materials*,
 2018, pp. 197-205.
- [29] "Tool Life Testing in Milling Part 2: End Milling," *International Standards Organisation*, vol. ISO
 8688-2, 1989.

- 630 [30]"Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS) Surface texture: Profile method Terms, definitions631and surface texture parameters," International Standards Organisation, vol. ISO 4287:1997, 1997.
- [31] A. T. Seyhan, M. Tanoğlu, and K. Schulte, "Tensile mechanical behavior and fracture toughness of
 MWCNT and DWCNT modified vinyl-ester/polyester hybrid nanocomposites produced by 3-roll
 milling," *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, vol. 523, pp. 85-92, 2009.
- B. K. Satapathy, R. Weidisch, P. Pötschke, and A. Janke, "Tough-to-brittle transition in multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWNT)/polycarbonate nanocomposites," *Composites science and technology*, vol. 67, pp. 867-879, 2007.
- 638[33]F. Gardea and D. C. Lagoudas, "Characterization of electrical and thermal properties of carbon639nanotube/epoxy composites," *Composites Part B: Engineering,* vol. 56, pp. 611-620, 2014.
- F. H. Gojny, M. H. Wichmann, B. Fiedler, I. A. Kinloch, W. Bauhofer, A. H. Windle, *et al.*, "Evaluation and identification of electrical and thermal conduction mechanisms in carbon nanotube/epoxy
 composites," *Polymer*, vol. 47, pp. 2036-2045, 2006.
- [35] S.-Y. Yang, C.-C. M. Ma, C.-C. Teng, Y.-W. Huang, S.-H. Liao, Y.-L. Huang, *et al.*, "Effect of
 functionalized carbon nanotubes on the thermal conductivity of epoxy composites," *Carbon*, vol.
 48, pp. 592-603, 2010.
- [36] J. Samuel, A. Dikshit, R. E. DeVor, S. G. Kapoor, and K. J. Hsia, "Effect of carbon nanotube (CNT)
 loading on the thermomechanical properties and the machinability of CNT-reinforced polymer
 composites," *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 131, p. 031008, 2009.
- [37] J. A. C. Cornelio, P. A. Cuervo, L. M. Hoyos-Palacio, J. Lara-Romero, and A. Toro, "Tribological
 properties of carbon nanotubes as lubricant additive in oil and water for a wheel-rail system,"
 Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 5, pp. 68-76, 2016.
- [38] I. Ghai, J. Samuel, R. DeVor, and S. Kapoor, "Effect of Carbon Nanotube Loading on the
 Machinability of Polycarbonate Nanocomposites," *Int. J. Mech. Eng. Mater. Sci*, vol. 1, pp. 75-84,
 2007.