- **1** Effects of Pile-driving Playbacks and Cadmium Co-Exposure on
- 2 the Early-Life-Stage Development of the Norway Lobster,
- 3 Nephrops norvegicus
- 4 **<u>C.A. Stenton</u>**<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, E.L. Bolger <sup>a,b,c</sup>, M. Michenot <sup>e</sup>, J.A Dodd <sup>a,b</sup>, M.A. Wale <sup>a,b,c</sup>, R.A.
- 5 Briers <sup>a,b</sup>, M.G.J. Hartl <sup>f</sup>, K. Diele <sup>a,b,c</sup>
- <sup>a</sup> Aquatic Noise Research Group. School of Applied Science, Edinburgh Napier
- 7 University, 9 Sighthill Court, Edinburgh, EH11 4BN, UK
- 8 <sup>b</sup> Centre for Conservation and Restoration Science, Edinburgh Napier University, 9
- 9 Sighthill Court, Edinburgh, EH11 4BN, UK
- 10 <sup>c</sup> St Abbs Marine Station, The Harbour, St Abbs, Eyemouth, TD14 5PW, UK
- <sup>d</sup> Ocean Science Consulting Ltd., Spott Road, Dunbar, EH42 1RR, UK
- <sup>e</sup> École Nationale des Travaux Publics de L'état, 3 Rue Maurice Audin, 69 120 Vaulx en
- 13 Velin, France
- 14 <sup>f</sup> Centre for Marine Biodiversity & Biotechnology, Institute of Life and Earth Sciences,
- 15 School of Energy, Geoscience, Infrastructure & Society, Heriot-Watt University,
- 16 Edinburgh EH14 4AS, UK
- 17

# 18 Permits and Ethical Approval

- 19 The research conducted falls outside the remit of the Animals (Scientific Protection)
- 20 Act 1986, therefore required no licensing or ethical approval. Research was conducted
- 21 under best practices, and in accordance with Edinburgh Napier University's ethical
- 22 guidelines.
- 23 No specific chemical licensing was required. Prior to the study, biosecurity and
- 24 chemical handling procedures were developed in conjunction with St Abbs Marine
- 25 Station, where the laboratory experiment was performed, and in consultation with
- 26 both the Scottish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA) and Marine Scotland to
- 27 ensure negligible risk of accidental release of Cd into the broader environment.
- 28

# 29 Methods





Figure S1: Top: Longitudinal cross-sectional schematic of the exposure systems. Bottom: Photograph showing exposure vessels within an exposure system.

# 30 Chemical exposures

# 31 **Dosing solutions**

- 32 Cadmium dosing solutions were made from a 1 g<sub>[Cd]</sub> L<sup>-1</sup> primary stock solution of
- 33 cadmium chloride (Sigma Aldrich, CAS# 654054-66-7, 99.995%) prepared in deionised

34 (DI) water. Dosing solutions were subsequently created from the primary stock via

- 35 serial dilution with DI water.
- 36 Concentrations of dosing solutions and dilution factors varied between experiments
- 37 according to required working volumes. For Experiment 1, where working volumes
- 38 were lower, dosing solutions of concentrations of 0 µg<sub>[Cd]</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>, 250 µg<sub>[Cd]</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>, 2500 µg<sub>[Cd]</sub>
- 39  $L^{-1}$ , 25000  $\mu g_{[Cd]} L^{-1}$  were created. For Experiment 2, dosing solutions of concentrations
- 40 of  $0 \ \mu g_{[Cd]} \ L^{-1}$ , 800  $\mu g_{[Cd]} \ L^{-1}$ , 8000  $\mu g_{[Cd]} \ L^{-1}$ , 80000  $\mu g_{[Cd]} \ L^{-1}$  were utilised (Table S1).
- 41
- 42

Table S1: Details of the dilution series for creation of cadmium dosing solutions

	To Create:	Stock to Use	Quantity of Stock	Volume of deionised water (ml)	Dosing Stock Cd <sup>2+</sup> concentration
Experiment 1					
	Primary stock	CdCl <sub>2</sub>	0.082 g	50.00	1 g L <sup>-1</sup>
	$High_{[Cd]}stock$	Primary Stock	0.833 ml	32.50	25000 μg L <sup>-1</sup>
	Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub> stock	High <sub>[Cd]</sub> stock	3.30 ml	29.70	2500 μg L <sup>-1</sup>
	$Low_{[Cd]}$ stock	$Medium_{[Cd]} stock$	3.00 ml	27.00	250 μg L <sup>-1</sup>
Experiment 2					
	Primary stock	CdCl <sub>2</sub>	0.082 g	50.00	1 g L <sup>-1</sup>
	High <sub>[Cd]</sub> stock	Primary Stock	4.000 ml	46.00	80000 μg L <sup>-1</sup>
	Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub> stock	$High_{[Cd]}stock$	5.00 ml	45.00	8000 μg L <sup>-1</sup>
	$Low_{[Cd]}$ stock	$Medium_{[Cd]} stock$	5.00 ml	45.00	800 μg L <sup>-1</sup>

## 43

# 44 **Dosing dynamics**

- 45 Chemical exposures were conducted under semi-static renewal conditions, with 95%
- 46 water changes and full cadmium renewal each water change. Water changes occurred
- 47 twice weekly during Experiment 1 and daily during Experiment 2.
- 48 At each dosing interval, one millilitre of dosing solution was added to 249ml
- 49 (Experiment 1) and 799 ml (Experiment 2) of UV sterilised seawater, resulting in final
- 50 working volumes of desired nominal concentrations (detailed in section 0). Where
- 51 mortalities occurred in individual replicates, both dosing and dilution volumes were
- 52 adjusted proportionately to maintain comparable stocking densities and exposure
- 53 concentrations.

- 54 In all cases, dosing solutions were diluted into working solutions in the absence of
- 55 larvae to prevent exposure to excessive cadmium concentrations. The large dilution
- 56 factors from dosing stocks to working solutions were similarly chosen to increase
- 57 likelihood of achieving near-nominal cadmium concentrations, as well as to limit the
- 58 undesirable reduction of salinity in the exposure vessels.
- 59 Replication and allocation
- 60 In each study, replication was achieved using conspecific larvae originating from a
- 61 single berried female. Twenty newly hatched larvae (< 24 hours old) were randomly
- 62 allocated to each treat treatment.

# 63 **Experiment 1: Phenomenological effects**

- 64 A total of 160 ZI larvae were utilised. Larvae were evenly distributed between
- 65 treatment groups resulting in n=20 independent replicates per treatment. Due to
- timing of hatching, larvae were allocated over a two-day period, with 80 larvae
- 67 allocated on each of the two days.
- 68 Larvae were maintained individually in 330 ml BPA-free, food-grade virgin
- 69 polypropylene plastic cups containing 250 ml of UV sterilised seawater arranged with
- 70 the exposure system according to their assigned cadmium concentration in a 14 x 6
- 71 Latin-square array to account for environmental factors and sound gradient effects
- 72 (Figure S2).



Figure S2: Schematic showing Latin-square arrangement of cadmium treatments within the exposure
 systems. Alphanumeric indices refer to the equally spaced positions on the acoustically transparent table
 as shown in Figure S1

# 79 Experiment 2: Mechanistic effects

- 80 A total of 672 larvae were utilised for this study. Exposures were undertaken in 1000
- 81 ml borosilicate glass beakers, containing a maximum of 800 ml of UV sterilised
- 82 seawater of a stated nominal cadmium concentration. To provision sufficient tissue
- 83 quantities to enable biomarker analyses, 12 larvae were allocated to each exposure
- 84 vessel, with each exposure vessel being regarded as a single independent replicate.
- 85 Over the course of 12 days, a total of seven replicates was set up for each treatment
- 86 group.
- 87 Exposure vessels were randomly allocated to one of 16 positions within the central
- 88 portion of the exposure system where sound levels were most consistent (Figure S3).

	1	2	3	4	5	67	8	9 10	11	12	13	14
А					1	5	9	13				
В												
С					2	6	10	14				
D					3	7	11	15				
E												
F					4	8	12	16				

Figure S3: Schematic showing available positions of cadmium treatments within the exposure systems. Alphanumeric indices refer to the equally spaced positions on the acoustically transparent table as shown in Figure S1.

# 89 Tissue homogenisation for oxidative stress assays

90 Replicate whole-organism samples were homogenised in 800 μl Tris-HCl (50 mM, 0.15

- 91 M KCl, pH 7.4) buffer solution using a motorised pestle, and spun at 10,000 RPM for
- 92 three minutes in an Eppendorf Mini Spin centrifuge. The resulting supernatant was
- 93 split into aliquots of sufficient volume for each of the oxidative stress assays, and these
- 94 aliquots re-frozen at -80 °C until required. This splitting and aliquoting step minimised
- 95 requirement for repeated freezing/thawing of the samples and assisted with
- 96 standardisation of each tissue sample between assays. Quantitative assays were
- 97 corrected for protein content as determined by Bradford assay (see section XXXX).
- 98 Oxidative stress assays were conducted in 96 well plates using the colorimetric
- 99 methods described below. In all instances, samples were plated and absorbance read
- 100 in triplicate using a Spectramax M5 Multi-Mode Microplate Reader. Where required,
- standards, blanks, and positive controls were likewise conducted in triplicate within
- 102 the same plate as samples for consistency and robustness.

#### 103 Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) inhibition

- 104 Superoxide Dismutase inhibition was quantified using a Sigma-Aldrich SOD
- 105 Determination Kit (19160). Each 20 µl of sample homogenate was combined with 200
- 106 μl of WST Working solution and 20 μl of Enzyme Working solution. In addition, three
- 107 blanks were also created. Blank 1 replaced tissue homogenate with ultrapure (Milli-Q)
- 108 water. Blank 2 replaced the Enzyme Working solution with Dilution buffer. Blank 3
- replaced both the tissue homogenate and dilution buffer. Plates were incubated at 37

110 °C for 20 minutes prior to being read at 450 nm on the plate reader. SOD inhibition

111 was then calculated using the equation:

112 SOD inhibition rate (%) = 
$$\frac{(A_{\text{Blank 1}} - A_{\text{Blank 3}}) - (A_{\text{Sample}} - A_{\text{Blank 2}})}{(A_{\text{Blank 1}} - A_{\text{Blank 3}})} \times 100$$

#### 113 Catalase (CAT)

114 Catalase activity was quantified using a Cayman Chemical Catalase Assay Kit (707002), 115 utilising the peroxidatic conversion of methanol to formaldehyde. Firstly, 20 µl of sample homogenate was combined with 100  $\mu$ l of diluted Assay Buffer, 30  $\mu$ l of 116 117 methanol, and the catalytic reaction initiated by addition of 20  $\mu$ l of diluted hydrogen 118 peroxide. Plates were then covered and incubated at room temperature on a plate 119 shaker for 20 minutes before the reaction was terminated by addition of 30 µl of 120 potassium hydroxide. Colour was developed by addition of 30 μl of Catalase Purpald, a 121 further 10-minute room-temperature incubation on the plate shaker, addition of 10  $\mu$ l 122 of catalase potassium periodate, and a final five-minute incubation on the plate shaker 123 at room temperature. Absorbance was then read at 540 nm, and samples compared to 124 a range of formaldehyde standards and a catalase positive control developed using the 125 same method.

## 126 Glutathione (GSH)

Glutathione concentration was determined according to methods outlined by Smith et
al. (2007) adapted from (Owens and Belcher, 1965). Each 20 μl homogenate sample
was combined with 20 μl of 10 mM 5,5-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DNTB), 260 μl
Tris-HCl (50 mM, 0.15 M KCl, pH 7.4) buffer, and 20 μl of 2U ml<sup>-1</sup> glutathione reductase
(GR). Reaction was initiated by addition of 20 μl NADPH, samples incubated at room
temperature for six minutes, and absorbance read at 412 nm against a 125-1000 μM
GSH standard range.

## 134 Glutathione Peroxidase (GPx)

- 135 Glutathione peroxidase was quantified using Cayman Chemical Glutathione Peroxidase
- Assay Kit (703102). The assay indirectly measures GPx activity through a coupled
- 137 reaction with glutathione reductase (GR), whereby glutathione is oxidised and
- subsequently recycled to a reduced state by GPx and GR respectively. Each 20 µl
- homogenate sample was combined with 50 μl of Assay Buffer, 50 μl of Co-Substrate

140 Mixture, and 50 µl NADPH. Redox reactions were initiated by addition of 20 µl of 141 cumene hydroperoxidase, and the plate absorbance immediately read at 340 nm. 142 Further absorbance readings at 340 nm were then taken at one-minute intervals for 143 five minutes to produce activity curves. Sample absorbance readings were corrected 144 for background non-enzyme related absorbance using background wells which 145 replaced sample homogenate with additional Assay Buffer. GPx activity was then 146 calculated using the following equations between two time-points along the linear 147 proportion of the absorbance curves:

149 
$$\Delta A_{340}/\min = \frac{A_{340}(\text{Time } 2) - A_{340}(\text{Time } 1)}{\text{Time } 2(\min) - \text{Time } 1(\min)} \qquad \text{GPx activity} = \frac{\Delta A_{340}/\min}{0.00373 \,\mu\text{M}^{-1}} \times \frac{0.19 \,\text{ml}}{0.02 \,\text{ml}}$$

148

#### 150 Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS)

151 Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were quantified using a method 152 derived from those described by from those described by (Bouskill et al., 2006; Camejo 153 et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2007). Here, 40 µl tissue homogenate, 10 µl of 1M butylated 154 hydroxytoluene (BHT) in ethanol, 140 µl of 1mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid 155 phosphate buffered saline (EDTA PBS) at pH 7.4, 50 µl of 50% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid 156 (TCA), and 75  $\mu$ l of 1.3% (w/v) thiobarbituric acid (TBA) in 0.3% (w/v) sodium hydroxide 157 (NaOH) were combined. Plates were then incubated at 60 °C for one hour, and 158 absorbance read at 530 nm and 630 nm wavelengths, and absorbance calculated as,  $\Delta A_{\text{TBARS}} = A_{530} - A_{630}$ . Samples were then compared against a 0.5-25 nM 1,1,3,3-159 160 tetraethoxypropane (TEP) in ethanol standard range.

161 **Protein quantification (Bradford assay)** 

162 Sample protein was quantified using the method outlined by (Bradford, 1976). Sample

homogenates were diluted 1:10 using Tris-HCl buffer, and in quadruplicate, 10 μl of

- diluted homogenate combined with 290 µl of Bradford reagent. Plates were incubated
- at room temperature for five minutes, and absorbance read at 595 nm. Samples were
- 166 then compared against a 0-1000  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> bovine serum albumin (BSA) standard range.

#### 167 *Metallothionein (MT)*

- 168 Metallothioenein was quantified in accordance with the methods derived from
- 169 (Viarengo et al., 1997) and (Cenov et al., 2018), excepting the homogenisation buffer
- 170 which was as described in Section 0 with the addition of 0.01% v/v 2-mercaptoethanol

171 as a reducing agent. The tissue homogenate was further centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 172 20 minutes, and 200 µl of supernatant extracted. To the supernatant, 210 µl of cold (-173 20 °C) absolute ethanol and 16 µl of chloroform were added, and the samples 174 centrifuged cold (0-4 °C) at 6000 x g for 10 minutes. The supernatant was extracted, 175 and three volumes of cold (-20 °C) absolute ethanol added, and the solution left to 176 precipitate at -20 °C for one hour, before being centrifuged at 6000 x g for 10 minutes. 177 The resulting pellets were washed using ethanol:chloroform homogenization buffer 178 (87:1:12), centrifuged again at 6000 x g for 10 minutes, and the pellets dried under a 179 nitrogen gas stream to complete evaporation. Dried pellets were resuspended in 300 180 μl of 5mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7, and 20 μl of the resuspended metallothionein 181 fraction combined with 280 µl of 0.43mM DNTB buffered to pH 8 using 0.2 M 182 phosphate buffer. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes, and 183 absorbance read at 412 nm against a 0-1000 μM GSH standard range assuming 18 Cys 184 residues per metallothionein residue (Cenov et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 1994).

#### 186 Results

# 187 Sound exposures

```
A)
```

#### Ambient sound treatment: RMS SPL (dB re: 1 µPa)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
А	117.6	117.3	118.1	117.8	118.1	118.1	118.4	117.9	118.4	118.4	118.1	117.8	117.8	117.4
в	118.4	118.0	118.2	118.2	118.0	118.4	118.5	118.5	118.5	118.5	117.6	117.9	118.0	117.5
с	118.2	118.2	118.1	118.0	118.1	117.9	118.5	118.6	117.6	118.5	117.9	117.8	117.8	117.6
D	118.1	117.9	118.1	118.2	118.0	118.1	117.4	118.5	118.7	118.3	117.7	117.8	117.9	117.7
E	118.0	117.8	117.8	118.0	117.5	118.2	118.4	118.3	118.7	118.0	118.0	117.8	117.7	117.7
F	118.1	117.8	117.5	118.0	118.1	118.2	118.3	118.3	118.6	118.0	117.8	117.9	117.7	117.2

Piling sound treatment - ambient phase: RMS SPL (dB re: 1  $\mu$ Pa)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
A	117.8	117. <b>9</b>	118.0	118.1	118.8	118.1	118.1	117.7	117.8	118.0	118.3	118.0	118.4	118.0
в	118.0	118.1	118.0	118.2	118.4	118.3	117.8	117.7	118.0	118.1	118.1	118.1	118.4	118.0
с	117.9	118.2	118.0	118.0	118.3	118.6	117.6	117.7	118.2	117.9	118.1	118.4	118.4	118.1
D	117.8	118.1	118.1	118.1	118.2	118.3	117.7	118.0	118.2	117.4	118.2	118.5	118.2	118.2
E	117.8	118.3	118.1	118.2	118.4	118.2	117.7	118.1	118.1	118.0	118.0	118.3	118.2	118.0
F	117.8	118.1	118.0	118.5	118.1	118.1	117.8	118.1	117.8	118.0	118.0	118.4	118.1	117.9

B)

#### Piling sound treatment - piling phase: Peak-Peak SPL (dB re: 1 μPa)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
А	167.2	166.1	166.3	169.8	169.0	171.9	170.9	171.7	170.2	168.4	169.7	166.4	167.1	167.7
в	167.0	168.2	168.7	169.7	171.2	172.1	170.7	171.3	171.4	167.7	169.3	168.3	167.2	167.6
с	166.8	165.4	169.3	170.8	171.7	171.3	171.4	171.4	171.2	169.6	169.6	167.4	166.6	166.4
D	165.6	167.8	168.6	169.8	171.7	170.9	171.8	171.8	170.4	170.9	170.8	169.6	168.1	166.4
E	166.9	167.0	168.5	168.6	170.5	170.6	171.8	171.8	169.9	170.8	168.0	168.0	169.1	167.0
F	166.7	169.1	166.3	169.3	170.4	170.9	170.7	171.3	170.6	170.2	169.3	167.7	168.4	168.3

Piling sound treatment - piling phase: RMS SPL (dB re: 1 µPa)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
A	135.7	133.4	134.9	136.6	136.9	139.5	138.9	140.3	137.1	135.9	136.5	133.8	135.1	135.7
в	134.7	135.5	136.4	137.0	138.7	139.9	137.5	138.6	138.3	134.7	136.8	136.2	134.7	135.5
с	134.7	133.0	136.9	138.6	139.0	139.0	138.2	138.2	138.7	136.5	137.1	135.2	134.7	134.8
D	133.5	135.2	136.2	137.9	139.5	138.0	139.1	139.5	138.2	138.3	138.9	136.6	135.9	134.8
E	135.1	134.2	136.1	136.1	137.4	138.6	139.0	139.4	136.5	137.3	135.2	136.0	136.2	135.1
F	134.8	135.8	134.8	136.7	137.7	138.4	138.2	138.7	137.8	137.8	136.5	135.0	136.4	136.7

**Figure S4: Experiment 1 sound pressure measurements.** Heatmap of received sound pressure levels within each exposure vessel as located in the exposure system during A) ambient-playback; B) piling-playback. All measurements are absolute values taken at each location. Alphanumeric indices refer to the equally spaced positions on the acoustically transparent table as shown in Figure S1.



*Figure S5: Relative RMS power spectral density (0.1 second Hann window, 50-percent overlap) of piling as recorded in situ and as received in exposure vessels via playbacks in each experiment.* 

189 *Mortality* 

#### 190 Total Mortality

Table S2: Statistical summary of logistic regression model of overall N. norvegicus mortality. Bold<br/>values signify statistical significance p < 0.05

	Estimate	SE	Z value	p
(Intercept)	-0.162	0.242	-0.668	0.504
Cadmium	0.474	0.218	2.173	0.030
Sound	-0.764	0.398	-1.923	0.055
Sound x cadmium	0.773	0.363	2.130	0.033



Figure S6: Total N. norvegicus larval mortality. Solid and hatched bars represent ambient- and piling-playback sound treatments respectively. Control<sub>1</sub>C<sub>d</sub>, Low<sub>1</sub>C<sub>d</sub>, Medium<sub>1</sub>C<sub>d</sub>, and High<sub>1</sub>C<sub>d</sub> represent Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion concentrations of 0.08  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, 0.71  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, 6.48  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, 63.52  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> respectively Horizontal markers above bars denote significant differences between groups (Fisher's exact test, dashed line uncorrected p <0.05, solid line corrected p <0.05).

#### **193** Temporal patterns of mortality

- 194 Table S3: Statistical summary of N. norvegicus larval mortality curves. Post-hoc log-rank Mantel-Cox
- 195 *comparisons. Uncorrected p values represent those from pairwise comparison. Corrected p values are*
- **196** modified values controlling for false discovery rate using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).
- **197** Bold values signify statistical significance p < 0.05

Contrast tr	eatments	df	Z	Uncorrected <i>p</i> value	Corrected <i>p</i> value
Ambient - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Ambient - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	1.0057	0.314	0.454
	Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	0.300	0.765	0.793
	Ambient - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	2.017	0.043	0.100
Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low[Cd]	1	-0.807	0.420	0.534
	Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	-0.036	0.971	0.971
	Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	4.464	<0.001	<0.001
Ambient - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	-0.643	0.521	0.561
Ambient - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	-2.310	0.020	0.051
Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	-1.039	0.300	0.454
Ambient - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1	2.631	0.005	0.015

Table S4: Statistical summary of N. norvegicus development. Dunn's test post-hoc analysis of timing oftransition to Zoea III (top) and Zoea IV (bottom). Uncorrected p values represent those from pairwisecomparison. Corrected p values are modified values controlling for false discovery rate using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Bold values signify statistical significance p < 0.05

		• • • • •		_	Uncorrected	Corrected
		Contrast treatments	n1, n2	Z	p value	p value
	Ambient - Control[Cd]	Ambient - Low[Cd]	14, 12	-0.177	0.859	0.891
		Ambient - Medium[Cd]	14, 14	1.794	0.073	0.197
		Ambient - High[Cd]	14, 12	2.616	0.009	0.042
_						
ea II	Piling - Control[Cd]	Piling - Low[Cd]	16, 18	-1.568	0.117	0.209
to Zo		Piling - Medium[Cd]	16, 17	-2.630	0.009	0.042
tion		Piling - High[Cd]	16, 5	0.578	0.563	0.717
ransi						
Ē	Ambient - Control[Cd]	Piling - Control[Cd]	14, 16	1.558	0.119	0.209
	Ambient - Low[Cd]	Piling - Low[Cd]	12, 18	0.272	0.786	0.846
	Ambient - Medium[Cd]	Piling - Medium[Cd]	14, 17	-2.837	0.005	0.042
	Ambient - High[Cd]	Piling - High[Cd]	12, 5	-0.306	0.760	0.846
	Ambient - Control[Cd]	Ambient - Low[Cd]	14, 10	0.703	0.482	0.595
		Ambient - Medium[Cd]	14, 12	1.818	0.069	0.212
		Ambient - High[Cd]	14, 5	1.938	0.053	0.212
≥						
oea	Piling - Control[Cd]	Piling - Low[Cd]	15, 17	-1.649	0.099	0.212
to Z		Piling - Medium[Cd]	15, 15	-2.744	0.006	0.050
ition		Piling - High[Cd]	-	-	-	-
[rans						
F	Ambient - Control[Cd]	Piling - Control[Cd]	14, 15	1.678	0.093	0.212
	Ambient - Low[Cd]	Piling - Low[Cd]	10, 17	-0.631	0.528	0.616
	Ambient - Medium[Cd]	Piling - Medium[Cd]	12, 15	-2.823	0.005	0.050
	Ambient - High[Cd]	Piling - High[Cd]	-	-	-	-

#### Behavioural fitness 203







204 Figure S7: Responses of N. norvegicus juveniles to a simulated threat. Top-left: number of escape 205 responses provoked. Top-right: number of non-responses to simulated threat. Bottom-left: escape 206 response rate. Solid and hatched bars represent ambient- and piling-playback sound treatments 207 respectively. Control<sub>[Cd]</sub>, Low<sub>[Cd]</sub>, Medium<sub>[Cd]</sub>, and High<sub>[Cd]</sub> represent  $Cd^{2+}$  ion concentrations of 0.08  $\mu$ g 208  $L^{-1}$ , 0.71 µg  $L^{-1}$ , 6.48 µg  $L^{-1}$ , 63.52 µg  $L^{-1}$  respectively. All bars represent mean values. Error bars 209 represent SE. Absent violins in piling – 100  $\mu g_{ICdI} L^{-1}$  treatment consequent of no larvae surviving to 210 *metamorphosis*)

212 213 experimental treatment; Kruskal-Wallis test. X<sup>2</sup> df Response р 214 Total induced escape responses 5.37 0.498 6 215 Total non-responses 4.55 6 0.602 3.65 0.725 Response rate (%) 6 216 217 218 219 220 221

Table S5: Statistical summary of N. norvegicus responses to simulated threat. Comparison by

Table S6: Statistical summary of juvenile N. norvegicus escape responses. Dunn's test post-hoc

223 analysis of principal component scores of escape behaviour dynamics. Uncorrected p values represent

224 those from pairwise comparison. Corrected p values are modified values controlling for false discovery

225 226

222

rate using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Bold values signify statistical significance  $p < \infty$ 

	Contract t	reatments	n1 n2	7	Uncorrected	Corrected
	Contrast t	reatments	11, 112	Z	p value	p value
	Ambient - $Control_{[Cd]}$	Ambient - $Low_{[Cd]}$	679, 623	-0.206	0.837	0.878
		Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	679, 1076	8.633	<0.001	<0.000
ent 1		$\textbf{Ambient} - \textbf{High}_{[Cd]}$	679, 41	1.470	0.142	0.212
uodu	Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	782, 768	-1.167	0.243	0.301
e Col		Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	782, 849	-2.385	0.017	0.030
Principl		Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	-	-	-	-
	Ambient - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	679, 782	4.661	<0.001	<0.001
	Ambient - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	623, 768	3.648	<0.001	0.001
	Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1076, 849	-6.466	<0.001	<0.001
	Ambient - High $[Cd]$	Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	-	-	-	-
	$\mbox{Ambient} - \mbox{Control}_{[Cd]}$	Ambient - $Low_{[Cd]}$	679, 623	-1.765	0.078	0.116
		Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	679, 1076	-15.860	<0.001	<0.001
		Ambient - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	679, 41	-2.417	0.016	0.027
ient 2	Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	782, 768	-1.664	0.096	0.135
npor		Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	782, 849	-1.317	0.188	0.219
iple Coi		Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	-	-	-	-
Princ	Ambient - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	679, 782	-3.264	0.001	0.003
	Ambient - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	623, 768	-2.927	0.003	0.008
	${\sf Ambient} - {\sf Medium}_{[{\sf Cd}]}$	Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	1076, 849	11.781	<0.001	<0.001
	$Ambient \text{-} High_{[Cd]}$	Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	-	-	-	-

0.05

# 228 Biometry



**Figure S8: Biometric measurements of N. norvegicus.** Solid and hatched bars represent ambient- and piling playback sound treatments respectively. Control<sub>[Cd]</sub>, Low<sub>[Cd]</sub>, Medium<sub>[Cd]</sub>, and High<sub>[Cd]</sub> represent  $Cd^{2+}$  ion concentrations of 0.08 µg  $L^{-1}$ , 0.71 µg  $L^{-1}$ , 6.48 µg  $L^{-1}$ , 63.52 µg  $L^{-1}$  respectively. All bars represent mean values. Error bars represent SE. Absent violin in piling – 100 µg<sub>[Cd]</sub>  $L^{-1}$ treatment consequent of no larvae surviving to metamorphosis.

229

Table S7: Statistical summary of N. norvegicus oxidative stress biomarker measurements.Top:Results of two-way analysis of variance contrasting the effects of sound and cadmium.Bottom: Results of Kruskal-Wallis Rank Sums by experimental treatment groups.

Biomarker	Factor	df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F	p value
<u>GPx</u>	Sound	1	0.07	0.068	0.011	0.917
	Cadmium	3	40.54	13.513	2.181	0.103
	Sound x cadmium	3	36.59	12.197	1.968	0.132
	Residuals	46	285.05	6.197		
<u>GSH</u>	Sound	1	4808	4808	3.790	0.058
	Cadmium	3	2812	937	0.739	0.534
	Sound x cadmium	3	5777	1926	1.518	0.222
	Residuals	46	58358	1269		
SOD	Sound	1	0.30	0.282	0.019	0.890
	Cadmium	3	56.30	18.755	1.294	0.288
	Sound x cadmium	3	21.00	6.996	0.483	0.696
	Residuals	46	666.70	14.494		

	K	ruskal-Wallis Rank Sums	
Biomarker	χ²	df	<i>p</i> value
<u>CAT</u>	2.8044	7	0.902
<b>TBARS</b>	7.3269	7	0.396
MT	14.565	7	0.032

- Table S8: Statistical summary of N.norvegicus metallothionein measurements. Dunn's test post-hoc
- analysis. Uncorrected p values represent those from pairwise comparison. Corrected p values are
- modified values controlling for false discovery rate using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Bold values signify statistical significance p < 0.05

Contrast treatments		n1, n2	7	Uncorrected p	Corrected p	
			Z	value	value	
Ambient - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Ambient - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 6	0.000	1.000	1.000	
	Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	1.580	0.114	0.355	
	Ambient - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	-0.323	0.747	0.863	
Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7,6	-1.137	0.256	0.550	
	Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	-2.718	0.007	0.092	
	Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	-0.408	0.683	0.863	
Ambient - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	0.629	0.530	0.810	
Ambient - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	6, 6	-0.514	0.607	0.810	
Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	-3.669	<0.001	0.007	
Ambient - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	0.544	0.587	0.810	

Table S9: Statistical summary of N. norvegicus oxidative stress biomarker Principal Components

analysis. Results of two-way analysis of variance contrasting the effects of sound and cadmium.

		Factor	df	Sum	Mean	F	р
		Tactor		Sq	Sq		value
Principle Component 1	Ц	Sound	1	4.02	1.341	0.583	0.629
	Cadmium	3	1.62	1.618	0.704	0.406	
	Sound x cadmium	3	6.12	2.041	0.887	0.455	
	Residuals	46	105.8	2.3			
Principle Component 2	Sound	1	2.48	0.826	0.889	0.454	
	Cadmium	3	5.67	5.672	6.104	0.017	
	Sound x cadmium	3	16.25	5.417	5.83	0.002	
	Residuals	46	42.75	0.929			

249 Table S10: Statistical summary of N. norvegicus oxidative stress biomarker PC2 post hoc analysis.

**250** *Dunn's test. Uncorrected p values represent those from pairwise comparison. Corrected p values are* 

**251** modified values controlling for false discovery rate using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

252

Bold values signify statistical significance p < 0.05

Contract treatments		<u></u>	7	Uncorrected	Corrected
Contra		111, 112	p valu		p value
Ambient - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Ambient - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 6	0.188	0.851	0.953
	Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	-1.767	0.077	0.240
	Ambient - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	0.781	0.435	0.692
Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	0.892	0.372	0.651
	Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	2.361	0.018	0.102
	Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	1.002	0.316	0.590
Ambient - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Control <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	-0.238	0.812	0.947
Ambient - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Low <sub>[Cd]</sub>	6, 7	0.459	0.646	0.823
Ambient - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - Medium <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	3.890	<0.001	0.003
Ambient - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	Piling - High <sub>[Cd]</sub>	7, 7	-0.017	0.986	0.986

253

254

#### 255 References

256 Bouskill, N.J., Handy, R.D., Ford, T.E., Galloway, T.S., 2006. Differentiating copper and

257 arsenic toxicity using biochemical biomarkers in Asellus aquaticus and Dreissena

polymorpha. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 65, 342–349.

259 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2005.07.027

260 Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram

261 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Analytical

262 Biochemistry 72, 248–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3

263 Camejo, G., Wallin, B., Enojärvi, M., 1998. Analysis of oxidation and antioxidants using

264 microtiter plates. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.) 108, 377–387.

265 https://doi.org/10.1385/0-89603-472-0:377

266	Cenov, A., Perić, L	., Glad, M., Žurį	ga, P., Lušić, D.V.,	, Traven, L., Linšal	k, D.T., Linšak, Ž.
-----	---------------------	-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	---------------------

- 267 Devescovi, M., Bihari, N., 2018. A baseline study of the metallothioneins content
- 268 in digestive gland of the Norway lobster Nephrops norvegicus from Northern
- 269 Adriatic Sea: Body size, season, gender and metal specific variability. Marine
- 270 Pollution Bulletin 131, 95–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.03.002
- 271 Owens, C.W., Belcher, R. V., 1965. a Colorimetric Micro-Method for the Determination
- of Glutathione. The Biochemical journal 94, 705–711.
- 273 https://doi.org/10.1042/bj0940705
- 274 Smith, C.J., Shaw, B.J., Handy, R.D., 2007. Toxicity of single walled carbon nanotubes to
- 275 rainbow trout, (Oncorhynchus mykiss): Respiratory toxicity, organ pathologies,
- and other physiological effects. Aquatic Toxicology 82, 94–109.
- 277 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.02.003
- 278 Viarengo, A., Ponzano, E., Dondero, F., Fabbri, R., 1997. A simple spectrophotometric
- 279 method for metallothionein evaluation in marine organisms: An application to
- 280 Mediterranean and Antarctic molluscs. Marine Environmental Research 44, 69–
- 281 84. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-1136(96)00103-1
- Zhu, Z., DeRose, E.F., Mullen, G.P., Petering, D.H., Shaw, C.F., 1994. Sequential Proton
- 283 Resonance Assignments and Metal Cluster Topology of Lobster Metallothionein-1.
- 284 Biochemistry 33, 8858–8865. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00196a002