Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Nursing Studies



Deferred cord clamping to improve neonatal blood values: A systematic review and meta-analysis



Nursing Studies

Charifa Zemouri^{a,b}, Eveline Mestdagh^{a,c}, Mieke Stiers^a, Kimberly Torfs^a, Yvonne Kuipers^{a,c,d,*}

^a School of Health and Life Science, Artesis Plantijn University of Applied Sciences, Antwerp, Belgium

^b Zemouri et al, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^c Centre for Research and Innovation in Care, University Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

^d School of Health and Social Care, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 November 2023 Received in revised form 1 January 2024 Accepted 5 February 2024 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Bilirubin Haematocrit Haemoglobin Meta-analysis Neonate Obstetrics Umbilical cord clamping Systematic review

ABSTRACT

Background: Practices related to umbilical cord clamping at birth should be evidence-based. Deferred cord clamping, compared to immediate cord clamping, shows benefits for preterm neonates but this may also apply to healthy term neonates. Different blood sampling techniques are used to measure effect of deferred and immediate cord clamping. *Objective:* To assess the statistical and effect size differences between blood biomarkers from umbilical cord and capillary blood samples of healthy term neonates following either immediate or deferred cord clamping. *Design:* Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods: The databases PubMed, Medline, CENTRAL, CINAHL and EMBASE were systematically searched. We included studies with a randomised clinical trial design comparing deferred and immediate cord clamping among healthy term neonates born by a spontaneous vaginal birth, reporting on blood biomarkers. Studies including caesarean births and premature births/neonates were excluded. Study attributes, sampling technique, blood biomarkers, mean differences, and standard deviations were extracted. The standardised mean differences (SMD) and sampling errors were calculated for effect size estimation. Meta-analyses were performed if ≥ 2 studies reported the same outcome using RevMan 5. Subgroup analyses distinguished effects from umbilical cord and capillary blood samples. Moderator tests and publication bias analyses were performed using JASP.

Results: Fifteen studies were included for analysis. The biomarkers haematocrit, haemoglobin, and bilirubin were reported in ≥2 studies and thus eligible for pooling. No differences were found in haemoglobin (SMD -0.04, 95%CI -0.57 to 0.49) or bilirubin values (SMD 0.13, 95%CI -0.03 to 0.28) between umbilical cord blood samples collected after deferred or immediate cord clamping. Deferred cord clamping led to lower haematocrit values (SMD -0.3, 95%CI -0.53 to -0.07). Higher haematocrit (SMD 0.67, 95%CI 0.37 to 0.97) and haemoglobin values (SMD 0.76, 95%CI 0.56 to 0.97) from capillary blood samples, collected 2 to 72 h postpartum, showed when cord clamping was deferred. No effect was found on bilirubin values (SMD 0.13, 95%CI -0.03 to 0.28) irrespective of the sampling technique.

Conclusions: Blood collected after deferred umbilical cord clamping showed increased haemoglobin and haematocrit values up to 72 h after birth, opposed to bilirubin values. Clinical evaluation of blood biomarkers from the umbilical cord shows different values compared to capillary blood. Sampling time and technique therefore seem essential in estimating the effects of deferred cord clamping.

Tweetable abstract: This meta-analysis shows that sampling time and technique are essential in estimating the effects of deferred cord clamping on neonatal blood values.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

What is already known

• The timing of umbilical cord clamping after birth influences neonatal blood supply and nutrient transfer.

- Deferred cord clamping is associated with health benefits for preterm neonates, including improved blood values.
- · Concerns exist that deferred cord clamping increases the risk of jaundice.

What this paper adds

• Deferred cord clamping is associated with improved blood biomarkers of healthy neonates.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2024.104718

0020-7489/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: School of Health and Social Care, Edinburgh Napier University, Sighthill Campus, Edinburgh EH11 4BN, Scotland, UK. *E-mail address:* y.kuipers@napier.ac.uk (Y. Kuipers).

- There is no evidence of associations between deferred cord clamping and the increased risk of jaundice.
- The clinical evaluation of blood biomarkers from the umbilical cord differs from capillary blood, emphasising the importance of sampling time and technique in estimating the effects of cord clamping based on blood biomarkers.

1. Background

The first moments after birth are crucial for neonates because they must adapt from intra- to extra-uterine life. Immediately after birth, routine active management practices such as skin-to-skin contact, neonatal health assessments (e.g., Apgar), management of the third stage of labour, and umbilical cord clamping (UCC) are carried out. Because of the routine nature of these procedures, a critical reflection is pertinent to ensure the quality of intrapartum/early postpartum care.

UCC is categorised as deferred cord clamping (DCC) and immediate cord clamping (ICC). In terms of terminology, deferred and immediate are regarded as less normative and therefore more neutral, replacing 'delayed' and 'early' (Farrar et al., 2016). Terminology, however, lacks a consistent definition of or guidance on the exact timing of immediate and/or deferred cord clamping and therefore varies (Peberdy et al., 2022): ICC involves cord clamping within the first 15 s after birth (World Health Organization (WHO), 2014; Mercer, 2001) while DCC involves maintaining the connection between the neonate and the placenta for more than 30 s, 1 to 2 min, as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), 2014; Mercer, 2001; American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2020).

DCC is associated with clinically significant health benefits, particularly for preterm neonates (Rabe et al., 2012). These benefits encompass enhancements in blood volume, cell count, and blood components such as ferritin and haemoglobin levels (Aladangady et al., 2006). DCC has shown promises in reducing the risks of necrotising enterocolitis and intraventricular haemorrhage in preterm neonates (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2020; Rabe et al., 2019). However, deferring UCC could potentially increase the risk of jaundice due to elevated bilirubin levels, providing a rationale for ICC practices (Andersson and Mercer, 2021). Numerous studies have highlighted the advantages of DCC in preterm neonates, demonstrating its potential to enhance health outcomes (Fogarty et al., 2018). This health benefit might also be applicable to healthy term neonates born after a lowrisk pregnancy, the extended blood flow from the placenta could confer benefits to the term neonate (McDonald et al., 2013).

Evaluating the timing of UCC seems crucial because of the different attitudes towards the timing of UCC that contribute to differences in practices, recommendations, and methods of evaluation (Peberdy et al., 2022; Weeks, 2007; Winter et al., 2007). Considering the biomarkers from blood collected using different sampling techniques for rigorous analysis and data interpretation might be of value to evaluate neonatal health at birth and postpartum, in order to inform practice (Becker et al., 2022). Neonatal blood biomarkers collected at three to six months postpartum, respectively, did not show statistically significant differences between immediate and deferred cord clamping (McDonald et al., 2013). However, it can be anticipated that blood samples from the umbilical cord or from neonatal capillary blood may show different biomarker values due to their composition and physiological functions (Hansen et al., 2022; Wang and Zhao, 2010). The difference in blood biomarker values from umbilical cord and from neonatal capillary blood samples after birth is yet unknown but might be a critical factor for the clinical evaluation of the timing of UCC (Becker et al., 2022). The full extent or degree of the impact or benefits of DCC is not well understood or quantified. So far, one meta-analysis has been conducted to estimate the effect of DCC on healthy neonates being born at term (McDonald et al., 2013). However, this review pooled data from cases born spontaneously and vaginally and from cases born by caesarean. Moreover, the sampling technique was not considered.

This review aimed to assess the impact of DCC and ICC on blood biomarkers from healthy neonates born at term by conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis. The objectives were to 1) compare the effect from DCC versus ICC on blood biomarkers in umbilical cord and neonatal capillary blood samples, 2) quantify the magnitude of the effect of DCC on neonates' blood profiles; 3) investigate if any differences of impact are due to confounding factors or potential publication bias. By addressing these objectives, this review will contribute to a deeper understanding of the implications of DCC for neonatal health and inform evidence-based intrapartum and early postpartum care practices.

2. Methodology

A systematic review and meta-analysis were performed (Page et al., 2021). The intervention of interest was the UCC timing, which was categorised into two groups: DCC and ICC. To address variations in UCC timing across studies, the timing as specified by the authors in their methods section for defining DCC and ICC was adopted.

The outcome of interest was neonatal blood biomarkers such as haematocrit, bilirubin, ferritin, transferrin, blood cell counts, and haemoglobin collected from the umbilical cord and from neonatal capillary blood. This systematic review was conducted as part of updating the Belgian low-risk intrapartum care guideline, not requiring PROSPERO registration.

2.1. Literature search and selection process

The literature search was conducted (August 2023) in the following databases: PubMed, Medline, CENTRAL, CINAHL and EMBASE, according to the search strategy described in Supplemental file 1, without setting a date limit. The low-risk intrapartum care guideline format instructed not to include grey literature. Two authors, MS and KT, conducted the selection process independently. The authors screened the reference lists of the included studies to ensure relevant papers were included. When disagreement occurred, CZ resolved this through discussing paper eligibility and a subsequent mutual thorough examination of the full text. The study screening and selection of retrieved titles were done according to PRISMA and the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions guidelines, using the Rayyan application (Page et al., 2021; Cumpston et al., 2022; Ouzzani et al., 2016).

2.2. Eligibility criteria

Studies comparing DCC and ICC in randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and reporting neonatal blood biomarker values collected within the first week post-birth were included. If studies used different cutoff values for ICC and DCC, they were still included and extracted as such. Studies including neonates being born at term after a spontaneous vaginal birth (i.e., neonates born without instrumental/surgical procedures such as forceps, ventouse or caesarean section) to mothers classified by the authors of the respective studies as low-risk, and identified as healthy (e.g., no history of smoking, pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, ante/postpartum haemorrhage) were eligible. Studies that did not stratify their data according to method of birth were excluded, as were studies with a mixed cohort of high and low-risk maternal cases and/or births. No language restrictions were applied. Studies with incomplete data, those of which full-text versions were unavailable or not directly attainable from the authors were excluded.

2.3. Risk of bias assessment

The methodological quality of the included studies was assessed using the Risk of Bias-2 tool for RCTs from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins et al., 2022). The results were reported using the Cochrane Revman[©] 5 tools to produce an overview per study and summary graphs.

2.4. Data abstraction

Data were abstracted by CZ and verified by MS and KT. The study characteristics, the number of participants per arm, outcome measures, sampling time and method, mean estimates, standard deviations (SD) and moderators were extracted. Most of the studies used different scales at the various time points as continuous outcomes (levels of specific blood biomarkers). The authors decided to calculate the standardised mean difference (SMD) and sampling error (SE) from the extracted means and SDs rather than the absolute mean difference in blood units (such as g/dL). The rationale being that the SMD allows standardising the effect estimate between studies, which can be used for data pooling and calculating the effect size and magnitude between two interventions rather than focusing only on statistical differences in mean values (Hedges and Olkin, 1985). Additionally, an SMD allows for quantifying the difference between the groups and estimating the magnitude of the effect, e.g., the effect size. The effect size, reported as SMD, can be interpreted as a small, moderate or large effect using the following cutoff values: 0.20 to 0.50 for a small effect, 0.50 to 0.80 for a moderate effect, and ≥ 0.80 for a large effect (Hedges and Olkin, 1985; Murad et al., 2019).

2.5. Statistical analysis

A meta-analysis was conducted when at least two papers ($K \ge 2$) reported the same outcome, i.e., biomarker. If a study reported the same biomarkers over multiple periods (e.g., after 24 h, 48 h, or one week postpartum), only the first time point was included in the meta-analysis to prevent data doubling. There was insufficient data to perform a time series analysis. We pooled the overall effect of DCC and ICC and produced subgroup analyses based on the sampling technique: umbilical cord blood and neonatal capillary blood sampling. The random-effect models calculated the pooled SMD with a 95 % confidence interval (95 % CI). Heterogeneity was tested and reported using the following I² cutoff values: high = >75 %; moderate = 50 to 74 %; low = 25 to 49 %; and none = <25 %.

Instead of a sensitivity analysis, we tested for moderators that may influence the robustness of the data. Pooled analyses with an I² value > 50 % were subjected to a moderator test. We identified several moderators that may affect the heterogeneity and effect size. The neonatal birth weight was regarded as a moderator as the average birth weight varies across regions and countries (Marete et al., 2020). UCC timing was included as a moderator due to the differences between DCC and ICC timing in practice (World Health Organization (WHO), 2014; Mercer, 2001; American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2020). Maternal age was deemed relevant for moderation, considering the regional fluctuations in maternal age at labour and birth (Eurostat, 2021). Furthermore, because of the suggested correlation between DCC and oxytocin administration, this was regarded as a potential moderator (De Angelis et al., 2022).

2.6. Publication bias

Publication bias was considered present if the funnel plot displayed an asymmetry and when the p-value was <0.05 according to either the Rank correlation test or Egger's test. An additional trim-and-fill analysis was conducted to assess the potential impact of publication bias on the pooled effect size. The trim-and-fill analysis was performed to inform on the assumption of missing studies, how they would impact the overall effect and in favour of either DCC or ICC. This analysis was performed regardless of the number of studies included due to its nonparametric nature (Shi and Lin, 2019).

All analyses were considered statistically significant at an alpha level of <5 %. The meta-analyses and forest plots were conducted using

Review Manager© Version 5.4. (RevMan 5.4 [Computer program]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020). For moderators' effect and publication bias analyses JASP© was used (JASP Team (2022) JASP (Version 0.16.3) [Computer software]).

3. Results

3.1. Study selection and characteristics

The literature search resulted in 1635 papers, of which 15 (Al-Tawil MMA-A and Kaddah, 2012; Andersson et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2018; Ofojebe et al., 2021; Salari et al., 2014; Mercer et al., 2017; Mohammad et al., 2021; De Paco et al., 2016; Jahazi et al., 2008; Mercer et al., 2022; Emhamed et al., 2004; Chaparro et al., 2006; Fawzy et al., 2015; Krishnan et al., 2015; van Rheenen et al., 2007) met the eligibility criteria based on full text and included for analysis. The screening and selection of studies are shown in the PRISMA flowchart (Fig. 1) (Haddaway et al., 2022).

3.2. Risk of bias of included studies

The overall risk of bias was evaluated as moderate. Because of the nature of the intervention, it was impossible to blind personnel and therefore evaluated as high risk. However, the laboratory staff who analysed the blood samples was blinded to the intervention, apart from the fact they knew whether it was a cord or capillary sample. No detection bias could have occurred due to the objective measure of biomarkers. There were no self-reported outcomes and therefore this field was left blank. A complete overview of the risk of bias per study and the risk of bias summary are reported in Supplementary file 3. Risk of bias assessment, Figs. 1 and 2.

3.3. Study characteristics

The studies included 1052 participants in the DCC and 1021 participants in the ICC arm. DCC timing varied from 60 to over 300 s (>5 min) or until the cord ceased pulsing. ICC timing varied from <10 s to 30 s. The umbilical cord blood samples were collected following the clamping and cutting of the cord. Capillary blood sampling timing varied between 2 and 48 h after UCC. The overview of included studies, study and sample characteristics, outcomes, sampling time and technique and effect sizes are reported in Table 1. We pooled the following biomarkers based on $K \ge 2$: haemoglobin, haematocrit, and bilirubin, reported in the forest plots. Singular data on transferrin, ferritin, red blood cells, and blood values can be found in Table 1.

3.4. Effect of DCC on haemoglobin

Thirteen studies were eligible for pooling the haemoglobin values (Fig. 2). The overall pooled effect indicated statistically significantly higher haemoglobin levels in the DCC arm compared to the ICC arm, showing a moderate effect: SMD 0.46 (95%CI 0.20 to 0.72, p = 0.0005). No difference of effect was found between DCC and ICC when blood samples were taken from the umbilical cord: SMD -0.04 (95%CI -0.57 to 0.49, p = 0.88). The study of Ofojebe et al. (2021) showed to be a considerable outlier (Fig. 2). A sensitivity analysis, removing the data from Ofojebe et al. (2021), resulted in statistically significantly higher umbilical cord haemoglobin levels in the ICC group: SMD -0.27 (95%CI -0.46 to -0.08, p = 0.005) and no heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0$ %).

Postpartum (between > 2 and 48 h) capillary haemoglobin levels were statistically significantly higher in the DCC group, showing a large effect: SMD 0.76 (95%CI 0.56 to 0.97, p = 0.00001). High study heterogeneity was reported ($I^2 = 71 \%$), and none of the moderators statistically significantly influenced pooled effects for umbilical cord or capillary haemoglobin levels (Supplementary file, analyses 4.1 and 4.4).







Egger's test showed no statistically significant funnel plot asymmetry for the stratified data from the umbilical cord blood samples (z = -0.801, p = 0.012) (Supplementary file, analysis 4.2). The subgroup analyses of capillary samples revealed a statistically significant asymmetric funnel plot suggesting potential publication bias (z = 0.075, p = 0.026) (Supplementary file, analysis 4.5). The trim-and-fill analysis revealed that four additional studies would be necessary to influence the effects of DCC concerning neonatal haemoglobin levels (Supplementary file analysis 4.6). However, these studies would not alter the differences between DCC and ICC.

3.5. Effect of DCC on bilirubin

Six studies were pooled to estimate the effect of DCC on bilirubin levels (Fig. 3). The meta-analysis showed no statistically significant differences between the DCC and ICC arm and a small effect: SMD 0.13 (95%CI -0.03 to 0.28, p = 0.22). The pooled effect size was negligible for umbilical cord bilirubin values: SMD of 0.03 (95%CI -0.24 to 0.31, p = 0.82) and small for neonatal capillary values: SMD 0.15 (95%CI -0.04 to 0.33, p = 0.12). Low statistical heterogeneity (I² = 46%) was reported among the included studies in the capillary blood sampling subgroup.

The Egger's test showed no statistically significant funnel plot asymmetry (z = 0.084, p = 0.933), not indicating potential bias. The trim-and-fill analysis suggested that there were no potential missing studies to adjust for publication bias (Supplementary file, analyses 5.1 and 5.2).

3.6. Effect of DCC on haematocrit

Eight studies were pooled to estimate the effect of DCC on haematocrit levels (Fig. 4). The overall pooled effect size was small-to-moderate: SMD 0.4 (95%Cl 0.00 to 0.80). The haematocrit levels were statistically significantly lower in the DCC arm than the ICC arm when collected from the umbilical cord, with a small effect size: SMD -0.3 (95%Cl -0.53 to -0.07, p = 0.01), showing no heterogeneity (I² = 0%). The haematocrit levels from the capillary blood samples were statistically significantly higher in the DCC arm, showing a large effect size: SMD 0.75 (95%Cl 0.42 to 1.09, p < 0.001) and high heterogeneity (I² = 74%).

The meta-analysis showed statistical significance for the moderator maternal mean age. The overall effect of mean birth weight was statistically insignificant (Supplementary file, analysis 5.1). The Egger's test showed no statistically significant funnel plot asymmetry (z = 1.204,

Author, year, reference, country	Study characteristics	Sample characteristics	Outcome	Sampling time (h)	Sampling technique	Mean DCC	SD DCC	Mean ICC	SD ICC	SMD	SE
(Al-Tawil MMA-A and Kaddah,	Total N = 180	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	24 h	Capillary	19.6	3.8	16.8	2.9	0.82	0.15
2012), Egypt	N DCC = 90	Mean age mother = 25 y	Haematocrit	24 h	Capillary	55.8	5.1	51.4	3.8	0.97	0.15
	NICC = 90 Timing DCC (c) = 180	Mean Dirth weight child $(g) = 3348$	Pilirubin	24 ll 24 h	Capillary	213	81 1	202	/0	0.14	0.14
	Timing LCC (s) $= 180$	Mean age mother $-26 v$	DIIII UDIII	24 11	Capillary	5.5	1	5.1	0.0	0.44	0.15
	Oxytocin use: no/not reported	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3110$									
(Andersson et al. 2011) Sweden	Total $N = 328$	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	48 h	Capillary	18 9	17	175	19	0.77	0.11
(Indersoon et all 2011), offederi	N DCC = 168	Mean age mother $=$ NR	Transferrin	48 h	Capillary	1.76	0.22	1.76	0.26	0.0	0.11
	N ICC = 160	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3620$									
	Timing DCC (s) $= > 180$	0 (0)									
	Timing ICC (s) = <10	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use $=$ yes	Mean age mother $=$ NR									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3530$									
(Chaparro et al., 2006), Mexico	Total N = 358	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	4 h	Capillary	19.9	2.4	19.3	2.3	0.25	0.10
	N DCC = 187	Mean age mother $= 25.8$ y									
	N ICC = 171	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3182$									
	Timing DCC (s) = 120	100									
	$\lim_{s \to \infty} UC(s) = < 10$	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use = no/not reported	Mean age mother = 25.9 y Mean birth weight child $(g) = 2106$									
(Chen et al. 2018) China	Total N $-$ 180	DCC group:	Haematocrit	24 h	Capillary	58.8	5.0	56.5	64	037	0.15
(chen et al., 2018), china	N DCC = 90	Mean age mother $-29 v$	Riliruhin	24 li 24 h	Capillary	97	3.5	95	23	0.07	0.15
	N ICC = 90	Mean high weight child $(g) = 3333$	biii doin	2711	capinary	5.7	5	5.5	2,5	0.07	0.14
	Timing DCC (s) = 30	incan birth weight child (g) 5555									
	Timing ICC (s) = 15	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use = no/not reported	Mean age mother $= 29$ y									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3387$									
(De Paco et al., 2016), Spain	Total N = 95	DCC group:	Red blood cells	0 h	Umbilical cord	3.6	0.4	3.8	0.5	-0.43	0.45
	N DCC = 45	Mean age mother $= 30.18$ y	Haematocrit	0 h	Umbilical cord	31.8	4	33.1	3.8	-0.33	0.20
	N ICC = 45	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3293$	Haemoglobin	0 h	Umbilical cord	10.5	1.4	11	1.4	-0.35	0.20
	Timing DCC $(s) = 120$										
	Timing ICC (s) = <10	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use = no/not reported	Mean age mother = 31.46 y									
(Embamed et al. 2004) Libua	$T_{atal} N = 104$	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3181$	Plood value	0.b	Umbilical cord	07.2	c	00 2	E 1	0.10	0.20
(Eminamed et al., 2004), Libya	$\frac{101a1}{N} = 104$	Mean are mother $= 28.4 v$	Haemoglobin	0 II 0 b	Umbilical cord	07.5 14.0	17	00.5 15.4	5.1 1 /	-0.18	0.20
	N ICC = 46	Mean hirth weight child $(g) = 3390$	Haemoglobin	24 h	Capillary	18.5	21	17.4	1.4	0.69	0.15
	Timing DCC (s) = stop pulsation	wear birth weight child $(g) = 5550$	пастнодгории	2711	capinary	10.5	2.1	17.1	1.5	0.05	0.20
	Timing ICC (s) = <10	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use $=$ yes	Mean age mother $= 28.9$ y									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3428$									
(Fawzy et al., 2015), Egypt	Total $N = 100$	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	0 h	Umbilical cord	14.82	1.98	14.99	1.87	-0.08	0.45
	N DCC = 50	Range age mother $= 20$ to 35	Bilirubin	72 h	Capillary	6.95	2.01	7.01	2.31	-0.02	0.45
	N ICC = 50	Range birth weight child $(g) = 3000$ to 4500									
	Timing DCC $(s) = stop pulsation$										
	Timing ICC (s) = <30	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use $=$ NR	Range age mother = 25 to 34									
(Interview at 2000) Jaco	Tetel N C4	Range birth weight child $(g) = 3300$ to 4000	11	0.1	Track III and a soul	50		51.0	2.4	0.20	0.05
(Janazi et al., 2008), fran	10tar N = 04 $N DCC = 30$	Mean are mother $= 22 \text{ y}$	Haematocrit	0 II 2 h	Capillary	3U 61.6	4.4	51.2 61	3.4 10	-0.30	0.25
	N ICC = 30	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3008$	Haematocrit	∠ 11 18 h	Capillary	56.2	30	560	4.9 1	_0.12	0.25
	Timing DCC (s) -180	incan birtil weight child (g) – 5008	iacilidiucili	10 11	Capillary	JU.2	5.5	20.9	4.1	-0.17	0.25
	Timing ICC (s) = 30	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use $=$ ves	Mean age mother = 21.3 v									
	J	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3272$									

Table 1

Study characteristics and data overview.

رى (continued on next page) م

Table 1 (continued)

Author, year, reference, country	Study characteristics	Sample characteristics	Outcome	Sampling time (h)	Sampling technique	Mean DCC	SD DCC	Mean ICC	SD ICC	SMD	SE
(Krishnan et al., 2015), India	Total N = 76	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	24 h	Capillary	19.2	1.86	17.5	1.96	0.89	0.49
	N DCC = 37	Mean age mother $= 26.4$ y	Bilirubin	24 h	Capillary	6.9	2.4	5.8	2.4	0.46	0.48
	N ICC = 39	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 2962$									
	Timing DCC $(s) = 180$										
	Timing ICC (s) = <10	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use = yes	Mean age mother $= 25.15$ y									
		Mean age birth weight child $(g) = 3072$									
(Mercer et al., 2022), USA	Total N = 41	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	48 h	Capillary	19.6	1.9	17.6	2	1.02	0.24
	N DCC = 21	Mean age mother $= 30$ y	Haematocrit	48 h	Capillary	59	6	52	5	1.26	0.25
	N ICC = 20	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3507$									
	Timing DCC (s) $= 300$										
	Timing ICC (s) = <20	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use = no/not reported	Mean age mother $= 30$ y									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3321$									
(Mercer et al., 2017), USA	Total N = 73	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	0 h	Umbilical cord	14.8	2	15.2	2	-0.2	0.23
	N DCC = 37	Mean age mother $= 28.3$ y	Haemoglobin	24 h to 48 h	Capillary	19.4	2	17.8	2	0.8	0.24
	N ICC = 36	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3584$	Haematocrit	0 h	Umbilical cord	44.2	6.3	45.9	4.7	-0.30	0.23
	Timing DCC (s) $= >300$		Haematocrit	24 h to 48 h	Capillary	58	6.2	53	5.4	0.85	0.24
	Timing ICC (s) = <20	ICC group:	Ferritin	0 h	Umbilical cord	154.3	115	143.6	81	0.10	0.23
	Oxytocin use = no/not reported	Mean age mother $= 27.2$ y									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3584$									
(Mohammad et al., 2021), Jordan	Total N = 128	DCC group:	Bilirubin	12 h	Capillary	3.48	1.23	3.73	2.05	-0.14	0.17
	N DCC = 64	Mean age mother $= 28.9$ y	Bilirubin	72 h	Capillary	8.85	3.85	8.42	3.91	0.11	0.17
	N ICC = 64	Mean birth weight child $(g) = NR$	Haemoglobin	12 h	Capillary	18.57	1.8	16.7	1.68	1.07	0.18
	Timing DCC $(s) = 90$										
	Timing ICC (s) $= 30$	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use $=$ yes	Mean age mother $= 28.9$ y									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = NR$									
(Ofojebe et al., 2021), Nigeria	Total N = 204	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	0 h	Umbilical cord	15.65	0.29	15.25	0.48	0.48	0.14
	N DCC = 102	Mean age mother $= 27.93$ y	Haemoglobin	24 h	Capillary	16.51	1.71	15.16	2.27	0.67	0.14
	N ICC = 102	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3210$	Bilirubin	0 h	Umbilical cord	3.13	1.35	3.09	1.07	0.03	0.14
	Timing DCC $(s) = 60$		Bilirubin	24 h	Capillary	3.88	1.54	3.71	1.2	0.15	0.14
	Timing ICC (s) = <15	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use $=$ yes	Mean age mother $= 27.82$ y									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3240$									
(Salari et al., 2014), Iran	Total N = 56	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	2 h	Capillary	17.2	2	15.7	1.6	0.82	0.27
	N DCC = 27	Mean age mother $= 27.1$ y	Haematocrit	2 h	Capillary	49.5	4.4	45.1	4	4.0	0.27
	N ICC = 29	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3040$	Haemoglobin	18 h	Capillary	18.7	1.7	16.7	2	1.07	0.28
	Timing DCC $(s) = 180$		Haematocrit	18 h	Capillary	52.9	4.3	47.7	5.5	1.05	0.28
	Timing ICC $(s) = 10$	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use $=$ yes	Mean age mother $= 27.5$ y									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3029$									
(van Rheenen et al., 2007),	Total N = 91	DCC group:	Haemoglobin	0 h	Umbilical cord	14.3	1.7	14.9	1.5	-0.37	0.46
Zambia	N DCC = 46	Median age mother $= 20.5$									
	N ICC = 45	Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3142$									
	Timing DCC $(s) = stop pulsation$										
	Timing ICC $(s) = 20$	ICC group:									
	Oxytocin use $=$ yes	Median age mother $= 22.9$									
		Mean birth weight child $(g) = 3119$									

Abbreviations: DCC, deferred cord clamping; ICC, immediate cord clamping; g, grams; h, hours; s, seconds; y, years; N, sample size, NR, not reported; SD, standard deviation; SMD, standardised mean difference; SE, standard error.

	Deferred	cord cla	mping	Immediat	e cord cla	amping		Std. me	an difference	Std. mear	difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	ean SD Total		Mean SD Total			Weight	IV, Ran	IV, Random, 95% CI		om, 95% Cl
2.1.1 Sample from ur	nbilical cor	d blood									
De Paco 2016	10.5	1.4	45	11	1.4	50	6.6%	-0.3	35 [-0.76 , 0.05]	_	1
Emhamed 2004	14.9	1.7	58	15.4	1.4	50	6.7%	-0.3	32 [-0.70 , 0.06]		1
Fawzy 2015	14.82	1.98	50	14.99	1.87	50	6.7%	-0.0	09 [-0.48 , 0.30]	_	-
Mercer 2017	14.8	2	21	15.2	2	20	5.5%	-0.2	20 [-0.81 , 0.42]		<u> </u>
Ofojebe 2021	15.65	0.29	102	15.25	0.48	102	7.2%	1.	.00 [0.71 , 1.30]		
Van Rheenen 2007	14.3	1.7	46	14.9	1.5	45	6.6%	-0.3	37 [-0.79 , 0.04]		-
Subtotal (95% CI)			322			317	39.2%	-0.0	04 [-0.57 , 0.49]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.39; Chi ² =	53.13, df	f = 5 (P < 0	.00001); I²	= 91%						1
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.16 (P	= 0.88)									
2.1.2 Sample from ca	apillary bloc	bd									
Al-Tawil 2012	19.6	3.8	90	16.8	2.9	90	7.1%	0.	.82 [0.52 , 1.13]		
Andersson 2011	18.9	1.7	168	17.5	1.9	160	7.4%	0.	78 [0.55 , 1.00]		
Chaparro 2006	19.9	2.4	187	19.3	2.3	171	7.5%	0.	.25 [0.05 , 0.46]		
Emhamed 2004	18.5	2.1	58	17.1	1.9	46	6.6%	0.	.69 [0.29 , 1.09]		
Mercer 2017	19.4	2	37	17.8	2	36	6.2%	0.	.79 [0.31 , 1.27]		
Mercer 2022	19.6	1.9	37	17.1	2	36	6.1%	1.	.27 [0.76 , 1.77]		
Mohammed 2019	18.57	1.8	64	16.7	1.68	64	6.8%	1.	.07 [0.70 , 1.44]		
Ofojebe 2021	16.51	1.71	102	15.16	2.27	102	7.2%	0.	.67 [0.39 , 0.95]		
Salari 2014	17.2	2	27	15.7	1.6	29	5.8%	0.	.82 [0.27 , 1.37]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			770			734	60.8%	0.	76 [0.56 , 0.97]		◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.07; Chi ² =	= 27.48, df	= 8 (P = 0)	.0006); I ² =	= 71%						
lest for overall effect:	Z = 7.22 (P	< 0.00001	1)								
Total (95% CI)			1092			1051	100.0%	0.	46 [0.20 , 0.72]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.22; Chi ² =	= 115.08, c	if = 14 (P <	0.00001);	l² = 88%				_		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.50 (P	= 0.0005)							-2	-1	0 1 2
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: Chi	² = 7.69, c	if = 1 (P =)	0.006), I² =	87.0%				Immediate co	rd clamping	Deferred cord clampir
	Defer	red cord	clamping	lmn	nediate co	ord clam	ping		Std. mean differen	nce	Std. mean difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Tota	l Mea	in S	DI	otal V	Veight	IV, Random, 95%	CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.1.1 Sample from u	umbilical c	ord bloo	d								
✓ De Paco 2016	10	.5	1.4	45	11	1.4	50	7.1%	-0.35 [-0.76 , 0	.05]	
 Emhamed 2004 	14	.9	1.7	58	15.4	1.4	50	7.3%	-0.32 [-0.70 . 0	.06]	_
✓ Fawzy 2015	14.8	82 1	.98	50 1	4.99	1.87	50	7.2%	-0.09 [-0.48 . 0	.30]	
✓ Mercer 2017	14	.8	2	21	15.2	2	20	5.9%	-0.20 [-0.81 , 0	.42]	
X Ofojebe 2021	15.6	65 0	.29 1	02 1	5.25	0.48	102	0.0%	1.00 [0.71.1	.30]	
✓ Van Rheenen 200	7 14	.3	1.7	46	14.9	1.5	45	7.1%	-0.37 [-0.79 . 0	.041	
Subtotal (95% CI)			2	20			215	34.5%	-0.27 [-0.46 -0	.081	
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 0 00 [.] Chi	² = 1.34	df = 4 (P =	0.86). 12	= 0%		2.5	2			
Test for overall effect	7 = 2.81	P = 0.001	5)	0.00), 1	570						
rescior overall effect	. 2 - 2.01 ((F = 0.00	5)								



p = 0.228), and the trim-and-fill analysis suggested that there were no potential missing studies to adjust for publication bias (Supplementary file, analyses 5.2 and 5.3).

4. Discussion

This review examined the impact and effect size differences between blood biomarkers from umbilical cord and capillary blood samples of healthy term neonates collected after either immediate or deferred cord clamping. This is the first review to show that the blood sampling technique, umbilical cord blood sampling versus neonatal capillary blood sampling, is crucial for measuring biomarkers and, therefore, the effect of UCC. We found that capillary blood haematocrit and haemoglobin values improved in favour of DCC with a moderate-to-large intervention effect. There was no difference in effect between DCC and ICC on bilirubin values regardless of sampling technique. Our findings support the recommendation of the World Health Organization (2014) and of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (2020) to defer UCC to improve neonatal blood biomarkers.

A major finding from the meta-analysis is that the timing and sampling technique of blood matter. When the blood values were evaluated from the cord blood, sampled early postpartum, no difference in effect between DCC and ICC was found. However, the neonatal blood values from capillary samples, sampled later postpartum (between 2 and 72 h), showed a moderate-to-high effect in favour of DCC for haematocrit and haemoglobin levels. The differences in blood values and the sampling methods could be attributed to circulatory changes transitioning from oxygen and blood supply from the umbilical cord to pulmonary blood flow (Hooper et al., 2015). Since UCC affects cardiopulmonary transition at birth, the cord clamping effect may only be accurately estimated from the neonatal capillary blood samples (Crossley et al., 2009). This might explain why biomarkers at birth from the umbilical cord blood samples do not show a difference between DCC and ICC. Furthermore, DCC improves the shift to pulmonary blood flow, which could partially explain the enhanced blood values (Hooper et al., 2015).

In this study no difference in bilirubin levels was found, either in cord blood or capillary blood samples. The liver and elimination processes regulate bilirubin. Neonates' bilirubin levels can vary due to red blood cell breakdown rate, liver function, and efficiency, with limited impact from cord clamping timing. Other factors, such as health status and intricate interactions, contribute to neonatal bilirubin levels and overall wellbeing beyond the cord clamping (Olusanya et al., 2015). Our findings align with previous studies, which indicate that DCC is unrelated to a rise in bilirubin levels or risk of jaundice, affirming its safety for practice (McDonald et al., 2013; Kemper et al., 2022; Kc et al., 2017; Rana et al., 2020).

Deferred cord clamping increased the levels of haematocrit and haemoglobin, two crucial blood biomarkers. Both biomarkers inform on the blood quality and measure different aspects of the neonatal condition. Our findings support earlier evidence that neonates in the DCC group had statistically significant higher haematocrit and haemoglobin values within the clinically relevant thresholds, when compared with ICC (Fogarty et al., 2018; McDonald et al., 2013). Improved haematocrit C. Zemouri, E. Mestdagh, M. Stiers et al. / International Journal of Nursing Studies 153 (2024) 104718

	Deferred	cord cla	mping	Immediate cord clamping				Std. mean difference	Std. mean difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
3.1.1 Sample from um	bilical cor	d clood							
Ofojebe 2021	3.13	1.35	102	3.09	1.07	102	17.3%	0.03 [-0.24 , 0.31]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			102			102	17.3%	0.03 [-0.24 , 0.31]	•
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable								T
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 0.23 (P	= 0.82)							
3.1.2 Sample from cap	pillary bloc	bd							
Al-Tawil 2012	3.5	1	90	3.1	0.8	90	16.0%	0.44 [0.14 , 0.74]	
Chen 2018	9.7	3	90	9.5	2.3	90	16.2%	0.07 [-0.22 , 0.37]	_ _ _
Fawzy 2015	6.95	2.01	50	7.01	2.31	50	11.1%	-0.03 [-0.42 , 0.36]	
Krishnan 2015	6.9	2.4	37	5.8	2.4	39	8.9%	0.45 [-0.00 , 0.91]	
Mohammed 2019	3.48	1.23	64	3.73	2.05	64	13.1%	-0.15 [-0.49 , 0.20]	
Ofojebe 2021	3.88	1.54	102	3.71	1.2	102	17.3%	0.12 [-0.15 , 0.40]	_ _ _
Subtotal (95% CI)			433			435	82.7%	0.15 [-0.04 , 0.33]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	0.02; Chi ² =	9.29, df =	= 5 (P = 0	10); l ² = 46	%				·
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 1.55 (P	= 0.12)							
Total (95% CI)			535			537	100.0%	0.13 [-0.03 , 0.28]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	0.02; Chi ² =	9.84, df	= 6 (P = 0	.13); l² = 39	%				
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 1.60 (P	= 0.11)							-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for subgroup differ	ences: Chi	² = 0.46, 0	if = 1 (P =	0.50), l ² =	0%			Immediate	cord clamping Deferred cord clamp

Fig. 3. Forest plot of the pooled SMD on outcome bilirubin.

levels can help reduce the need for blood transfusions by 10 % and do not affect polycythaemia (Fogarty et al., 2018; McDonald et al., 2013). Our findings are not comparable with those of preterm neonates or neonates being born via caesarean section, where the risk of polycy-thaemia is higher (Fogarty et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2021). Therefore, the clinical relevance of the effect should be interpreted based on the gestational age of the neonate and method of birth.

4.1. Strengths and limitations

This meta-analysis yields relatively high power, with at least 700 participants per arm per analysis. The review's strength lies in the stratification of data based on the blood sampling technique, which seemed to determine if and when the health effects of DCC become notable. Furthermore, the current review included only cases born after a spontaneous vaginal birth instead of combining vaginal and caesarean births, as done in a previous meta-analysis (McDonald et al., 2013). This review conducted extensive publication bias analysis to ensure the results were representative. Publication bias was established in one outcome although the trim-and-fill analysis showed that the potential missing studies would not have affected our overall effect.

There were discrepancies in the timing of UCC reported by the authors of the included studies; the exact timing, e.g. <20 s or after pulsation ceases, sometimes not specified at all. Even though the moderator analysis did not show any statistically significant impact on the point estimate, the interpretation of results relies on these cutoff periods. The chosen moderators are clinical maternal and neonatal core characteristics. However, we are aware we could have missed moderators that affect timing of cord clamping related to parental choices such as umbilical nonseverance and cord blood donation and storage, but also the management and philosophy of care and varying attitudes and practices have been identified between midwifery and medical professionals towards cord clamping, affecting patient involvement and decision-making (Peberdy et al., 2022; Peberdy et al., 2020; Rost et al., 2022; Monroe et al., 2019) and thus potentially affecting the outcomes of the meta-analyses. Considering these moderators in future metaanalyses is recommended.

The meta-analyses showed high heterogeneity but we were unable to determine if these factors had a clinical, methodological or statistical origin (Melsen et al., 2014). There was variety in methodology and sampling time between the studies, which we tried to adjust by standardising the mean differences and applying a random-effect

	Exp	periment	al		Control			Std. mean difference	Std. mean difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	
4.1.1 Sample from un	nbilical co	rd blood								_
De Paco 2016	31.8	4	45	33.1	3.8	50	11.3%	-0.33 [-0.74 , 0.07]	_ -	
Jahazi 2009	50	4.4	30	51.2	3.4	34	10.7%	-0.30 [-0.80 , 0.19]		
Mercer 2017	44.2	6.3	337	45.9	4.7	36	11.7%	-0.28 [-0.62 , 0.07]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			412			120	33.7%	-0.30 [-0.53 , -0.07]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² :	= 0.04, df	= 2 (P =	0.98); l² =	0%				•	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.53 (P	9 = 0.01)								
4.1.2 Sample from ca	pillary blo	od								
AI-Tawil 2012	55.8	5.1	90	51.4	3.8	90	11.9%	0.97 [0.66 , 1.28]		
Chen 2018	58.8	5.9	90	56.5	6.4	90	12.0%	0.37 [0.08 , 0.67]		
Jahazi 2009	61.6	4.5	30	61	4.9	34	10.7%	0.13 [-0.37 , 0.62]	_ .	
Mercer 2017	58	6.2	37	53	5.4	36	10.8%	0.85 [0.37 , 1.33]		
Mercer 2022	59	6	37	52	5	36	10.6%	1.25 [0.75 , 1.76]		
Salari 2014	49.5	4.4	27	45.1	4	29	10.2%	1.03 [0.47 , 1.59]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			311			315	66.3%	0.75 [0.42 , 1.09]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.13; Chi ² :	= 19.33, (if = 5 (P =	: 0.002); l ²	= 74%				•	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.40 (P	< 0.000	1)							
Total (95% CI)			723			435	100.0%	0.40 [0.00 , 0.80]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.33; Chi ² :	= 68.70, 0	df = 8 (P <	0.00001)	; l² = 88%				•	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.97 (P	= 0.05)							-2 -1 0 1 2	
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Ch	i² = 25.58	8, df = 1 (F	<pre>< 0.0000</pre>	1), I² = 96	6.1%		Immediat	e cord clamping Deferred cord	clampir

Fig. 4. Forest plot of the pooled SMD on outcome haematocrit.

model. Although most of the included RCTs had low power (<100 participants per arm per study), pooling improved the power of our meta-analysis (Cohn and Becker, 2003) albeit that the confidence intervals of the pooled estimates were broad, ranging from a small to a large effect size. Future studies should aim to generate high-powered RCTs or methodologically robust retrospective data. Our findings cannot be generalised to neonates from high-risk pregnancies, born preterm or born via a caesarean section. Also, we only pooled data on three biomarkers, while other biomarkers, such as ferritin of blood volume, could provide additional insights.

4.2. Implications

The main implication of this review is that the blood sampling technique, either the umbilical cord or neonatal capillary blood sampling, impacts on the effect of DCC. This emphasises that the moment of blood sampling and assessment is crucial in understanding the clinical status of the neonate. In the included studies, the postpartum capillary sampling times varied from 2 to 72 h, identifying a gap in knowledge about the optimal time of capillary blood sampling. Since blood biomarkers' values differed between the sampling technique and timing (early or later postpartum), a discussion point may arise about which values are clinically relevant to assess neonatal health. For future studies, researchers should consider the sampling technique and timing when interpreting blood values. More importantly, this also applies to maternity care professionals who use blood values to evaluate and monitor neonatal health. The discussion and decision about DCC versus ICC can thus be biased by selective use of evidence to underpin the debate and utilisation of clinical management. It is vital to critically reflect on the physiological explanation and meaning of the blood marker value differences between the blood sampling techniques and sampling times to benefit the neonate. In addition, practitioners need to reflect on standard procedure practices entailing the timing of UCC and the use of evidence resulting from sampling time and sampling technique to inform parents about the management of care, to actively engage parents and care professionals and or change management of care (Melsen et al., 2014; Mercer and Erickson-Owens, 2012; Gams et al., 2017). DCC is a non-invasive, minimally time-consuming, low-cost intervention that can be applied to achieve positive neonatal health outcomes (Bates et al., 2019).

5. Conclusions

Deferring clamping of the umbilical cord is a form of neonatal healthcare management in intrapartum care to improve blood supply in healthy neonates who are born vaginally and spontaneously. According to our analyses, DCC has a moderate and statistically significant effect on neonatal capillary blood values. The sampling technique is a crucial factor for the clinical evaluation. Evaluation of the umbilical cord blood biomarker values shows no immediate effect of UCC. However, when neonatal capillary blood is evaluated, DCC has a clinically significant and positive impact. More high-powered studies are required and comprehensive using standardised time frames to study the effects of DCC in healthy neonates who are spontaneously and vaginally born at term. Our findings cannot be generalised to preterm neonates or neonates born via caesarean section.

Funding

No external funding.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Charifa Zemouri: Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Eveline Mestdagh:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Project administration. **Mieke** **Stiers:** Validation, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Kimberly Torfs:** Validation, Investigation, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Yvonne Kuipers:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Conceptualization.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Deferred cord clamping to improve neonatal blood values. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dataset complete at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10443328.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the following persons involved in developing the research question: Mieke Embo, PhD, Roxanne Bleijenbergh, MSc, and Charlotte Brosens, MSc. The authors also thank Jaczek Buczny, PhD, for his input and advice on the meta-analyses.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2024.104718.

References

- Aladangady, N., McHugh, S., Aitchison, T.C., Wardrop, C.A., Holland, B.M., 2006. Infants' blood volume in a controlled trial of placental transfusion at preterm delivery. Pediatrics 117 (1), 93–98.
- Al-Tawil MMA-A, M.R., Kaddah, M.A., 2012. A randomized controlled trial on delayed cord clamping and iron status at 3–5 months in term neonates held at the level of maternal pelvis. J. Neonatal-Perinatal Med. 5, 319–326.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2020. Committee on obstetric practice. Delayed umbilical cord clamping after birth: ACOG Committee opinion, number 814. Obstet. Gynecol. 136 (6), e100–e106.
- Andersson, O., Mercer, J.S., 2021. Cord management of the term newborn. Clin. Perinatol. 48 (3), 447–470.
- Andersson, O., Hellstrom-Westas, L., Andersson, D., Domellof, M., 2011. Effect of delayed versus early umbilical cord clamping on neonatal outcomes and iron status at 4 months: a randomised controlled trial. BMJ 343, d7157.
- Bates, S.E., Isaac, T.C.W., Marion, R.L., Norman, V., Gumley, J.S., Sullivan, C.D., 2019. Delayed cord clamping with stabilisation at all preterm births - feasibility and efficacy of a low cost technique. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. 236, 109–115.
- Becker, M., Gscheidmeier, T., Gross, H.J., Cario, H., Woelfle, J., Rauh, M., et al., 2022. Differences between capillary and venous blood counts in children-a data mining approach. Int. J. Lab. Hematol. 44 (4), 729–737.
- Chaparro, C.M., Neufeld, L.M., Tena Alavez, G., Eguia-Liz Cedillo, R., Dewey, K.G., 2006. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping on iron status in Mexican infants: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 367 (9527), 1997–2004.
- Chen, X., Li, X., Chang, Y., Li, W., Cui, H., 2018. Effect and safety of timing of cord clamping on neonatal hematocrit values and clinical outcomes in term infants: a randomized controlled trial. J. Perinatol. 38 (3), 251–257.
- Cohn, L.D., Becker, B.J., 2003. How meta-analysis increases statistical power. Psychol. Methods 8 (3), 243–253.
- Crossley, K.J., Allison, B.J., Polglase, G.R., Morley, C.J., Davis, P.G., Hooper, S.B., 2009. Dynamic changes in the direction of blood flow through the ductus arteriosus at birth. J. Physiol. 587 (Pt 19), 4695–4704.
- Cumpston, M.S., McKenzie, J.E., Welch, V.A., Brennan, S.E., 2022. Strengthening systematic reviews in public health: guidance in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, 2nd edition. J. Public Health (Oxf.) 44 (4), e588–e592.
- De Angelis, C., Saccone, G., Sorichetti, E., Alagna, M., Zizolfi, B., Gragnano, E., et al., 2022. Effect of delayed versus immediate umbilical cord clamping in vaginal delivery at term: a randomized clinical trial. Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet. 159 (3), 898–902.
- De Paco, C., Herrera, J., Garcia, C., Corbalan, S., Arteaga, A., Pertegal, M., et al., 2016. Effects of delayed cord clamping on the third stage of labour, maternal haematological parameters and acid-base status in fetuses at term. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. 207, 153–156.
- Emhamed, M.O., van Rheenen, P., Brabin, B.J., 2004. The early effects of delayed cord clamping in term infants born to Libyan mothers. Trop. Dr. 34 (4), 218–222.
- Eurostat, 2021. Women in the EU are having their first child later. Available from: https:// ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/-/ddn-20210224-1.

- Farrar, D., Tuffnell, D.J., West, J., West, H.M., 2016. Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion versus multiple daily injections of insulin for pregnant women with diabetes. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 6.
- Fawzy, A.E.-M.A., Moustafa, A.A., El-Kassar, Y.S., Swelem, M.S., El-Agwany, A.S., Diab, D.A., 2015. Early versus delayed cord clamping of term births in Shatby Maternity University Hospital. Progresos de Obstetricia y Ginecología 58 (9), 389–392.
- Fogarty, M., Osborn, D.A., Askie, L., Seidler, A.L., Hunter, K., Lui, K., et al., 2018. Delayed vs early umbilical cord clamping for preterm infants: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 218 (1), 1–18.
- Gams, R.L., Popp, K.K., Cramer, J., George, T.N., Rauk, P.N., Sommerness, S.A., Sublette, J.A., 2017. How to engage your team to implement delayed cord clamping. Nurs. Womens Health 21 (6), 489–498.
- Haddaway, N.R., Page, M.J., Pritchard, C.C., McGuinness, L.A., 2022. PRISMA2020: an R package and Shiny app for producing PRISMA 2020-compliant flow diagrams, with interactivity for optimised digital transparency and open synthesis. Campbell Syst. Rev. 18 (2), e1230.
- Hansen, A.P., Haischer-Rollo, G.D., Shapiro, J.B., Aden, J.K., Abadie, J.M., Mu, T.S., 2022. The novel use of umbilical cord blood to obtain complete blood counts for critical neonatal assessment. Cureus 14 (8), e28009.
- Hedges, L., Olkin, I., 1985. Statistical Methods for Meta-analysis. Academic Press, New York, NY [Google Scholar].
- Higgins, J.P.T.S.J., Page, M.J., Elbers, R.G., Sterne, J.A.C., 2022. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.3. Cochrane.
- Hooper, S.B., Te Pas, A.B., Lang, J., van Vonderen, J.J., Roehr, C.C., Kluckow, M., et al., 2015. Cardiovascular transition at birth: a physiological sequence. Pediatr. Res. 77 (5), 608–614.
- Jahazi, A., Kordi, M., Mirbehbahani, N.B., Mazloom, S.R., 2008. The effect of early and late umbilical cord clamping on neonatal hematocrit. J. Perinatol. 28 (8), 523–525.
- Kc, A., Rana, N., Malqvist, M., Jarawka Ranneberg, L., Subedi, K., Andersson, O., 2017. Effects of delayed umbilical cord clamping vs early clamping on anemia in infants at 8 and 12 months: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr. 171 (3), 264–270.
- Kemper, A.R., Newman, T.B., Slaughter, J.L., Maisels, M.J., Watchko, J.F., Downs, S.M., et al., 2022. Clinical practice guideline revision: management of hyperbilirubinemia in the newborn infant 35 or more weeks of gestation. Pediatrics 150 (3).
- Krishnan, L., Kommu, P.P.K., Thomas, B.J., Akila, B., Daniel, M., 2015. Should delayed cord clamping be the standard of care in term low risk deliveries? A randomized controlled trial from a medical college hospital in South India. J. Clin. Neonatol. 4 (3).
- Marete, I., Ekhaguere, O., Bann, C.M., Bucher, S.L., Nyongesa, P., Patel, A.B., et al., 2020. Regional trends in birth weight in low- and middle-income countries 2013-2018. Reprod. Health 17 (Suppl. 3), 176.
- McDonald, S.J., Middleton, P., Dowswell, T., Morris, P.S., 2013. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping of term infants on maternal and neonatal outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2013 (7), CD004074.
- Melsen, W.G., Bootsma, M.C., Rovers, M.M., Bonten, M.J., 2014. The effects of clinical and statistical heterogeneity on the predictive values of results from meta-analyses. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 20 (2), 123–129.
- Mercer, J.S., 2001. Current best evidence: a review of the literature on umbilical cord clamping. J. Midwifery Womens Health 46 (6), 402–414.
- Mercer, J.S., Erickson-Owens, D.A., 2012. Rethinking placental transfusion and cord clamping issues. J. Perinat. Neonatal Nurs. 26 (3), 202–217 (quiz 18-9).
- Mercer, J.S., Erickson-Owens, D.A., Collins, J., Barcelos, M.O., Parker, A.B., Padbury, J.F., 2017. Effects of delayed cord clamping on residual placental blood volume, hemoglobin and bilirubin levels in term infants: a randomized controlled trial. J. Perinatol. 37 (3), 260–264.
- Mercer, J.S., Erickson-Owens, D.A., Deoni, S.C.L., Dean Iii, D.C., Tucker, R., Parker, A.B., et al., 2022. The effects of delayed cord clamping on 12-month brain myelin content and neurodevelopment: a randomized controlled trial. Am. J. Perinatol. 39 (1), 37–44.
- Mohammad, K., Tailakh, S., Fram, K., Creedy, D., 2021. Effects of early umbilical cord clamping versus delayed clamping on maternal and neonatal outcomes: a Jordanian study. J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med. 34 (2), 231–237.

- Monroe, K.K., Rubin, A., Mychaliska, K.P., Skoczylas, M., Burrows, H.L., 2019. Lotus birth: a case series report on umbilical nonseverance. Clin. Pediatr. 8 (1), 5–125.
- Murad, M.H., Wang, Z., Chu, H., Lin, L., 2019. When continuous outcomes are measured using different scales: guide for meta-analysis and interpretation. BMJ 364, k4817.
- Ofojebe, C.J., Eleje, G.U., Ikechebelu, J.I., Okpala, B.C., Ofojebe, B.A., Ugwu, E.O., et al., 2021. A randomized controlled clinical trial on peripartum effects of delayed versus immediate umbilical cord clamping on term newborns. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. 262, 99–104.
- Dusanya, B.O., Osibanjo, F.B., Slusher, T.M., 2015. Risk factors for severe neonatal hyperbilirubinemia in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 10 (2), e0117229.
- Ouzzani, M., Hammady, H., Fedorowicz, Z., Elmagarmid, A., 2016. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst. Rev. 5 (1), 210.
- Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., et al., 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Rev. Esp. Cardiol. (Engl. Ed.) 74 (9), 790–799.
- Peberdy, L., Young, J., Massey, D., Kearney, L., 2020. Maternity health professionals' perspectives of cord clamp timing, cord blood banking and cord blood donation: a qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 20 (410).
- Peberdy, L., Young, J., Massey, D., Kearney, L., 2022. Integrated review of the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of maternity health care professionals concerning umbilical cord clamping. Birth 49 (4), 595–615.
- Rabe, H., Diaz-Rossello, J.L., Duley, L., Dowswell, T., 2012. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping and other strategies to influence placental transfusion at preterm birth on maternal and infant outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. (8), CD003248.
- Rabe, H., Gyte, G.M., Diaz-Rossello, J.L., Duley, L., 2019. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping and other strategies to influence placental transfusion at preterm birth on maternal and infant outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 9 (9), CD003248.
- Rana, N., Ranneberg, L.J., Malqvist, M., Kc, A., Andersson, O., 2020. Delayed cord clamping was not associated with an increased risk of hyperbilirubinaemia on the day of birth or jaundice in the first 4 weeks. Acta Paediatr. 109 (1), 71–77.
- van Rheenen, P., de Moor, L., Eschbach, S., de Grooth, H., Brabin, B., 2007. Delayed cord clamping and haemoglobin levels in infancy: a randomised controlled trial in term babies. Tropical Med. Int. Health 12 (5), 603–616.
- Rost, M., Stuerner, Z., Niles, P., Arnold, L. 2022. "Real decision-making is hard to find" -Swii perinatal care providers' perceptions of and attitudes towards decision-making in birth: a qualitative study. SSM - Qual. Res. Health 2 (100077).
- Salari, Z., Rezapour, M., Khalili, N., 2014. Late umbilical cord clamping, neonatal hematocrit and Apgar scores: a randomized controlled trial. J. Neonatal-Perinatal Med. 7 (4), 287–291.
- Shao, H., Gao, S., Lu, Q., Zhao, X., Hua, Y., Wang, X., 2021. Effects of delayed cord clamping on neonatal jaundice, phototherapy and early hematological status in term cesarean section. Ital. J. Pediatr. 47 (1), 115.
- Shi, L., Lin, L., 2019. The trim-and-fill method for publication bias: practical guidelines and recommendations based on a large database of meta-analyses. Medicine (Baltimore) 98 (23), e15987.
- Wang, Y., Zhao, S., 2010. Chapter 2, placental blood circulation. Vascular biology of the placenta. Integrated Systems Physiology: From Molecules to Function to Disease (San Rafael (CA)).
- Weeks, A., 2007. Umbilical cord clamping after birth. BMJ 335 (7615), 312-313.
- Winter, C., Macfarlane, A., Deneux-Tharaux, C., Zhang, W.H., Alexander, S., Brocklehurst, P., et al., 2007. Variations in policies for management of the third stage of labour and the immediate management of postpartum haemorrhage in Europe. BJOG 114 (7), 845–854.
- World Health Organization (WHO), 2014. Guideline: Delayed Umbilical Cord Clamping for Improved Maternal and Infant Health and Nutrition Outcomes (Geneva. Report No.: 978-92-4-150820-9).